Historical Child Sex Offences

Repealed Criminal Code provisions

From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: This table is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

Glossary:

agg aggravated att attempted

CEM Child exploitation material

conc concurrent cum cumulative ct count

CRO conditional release order

EFP eligible for parole imp imprisonment indec indecent

ISO intensive supervision order

PG plead guilty

sex pen sexual penetration without consent

susp suspended

SOTP sex offender treatment program

TES total effective sentence

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
6.	Walsh v The State	35–40 yrs at time offending.	Cts 2–8, 10–12, 14, 17, 19, & 21–23:	Cts 2–7: 15 mths imp (cum).	Appeal dismissed (leave refused).
	of Western	75 yrs at time sentencing.	Indec deal girl U17 yrs.	Ct 8: 6 mths imp (cum).	
	Äustralia		Cts 13,15, 16 & 20: Unlawful carnal	Ct 10: 4 mths imp (conc).	Sentence appeal concerned both limbs of the totality principle.
		Convicted after trial.	knowledge girl U17 yrs.	Ct 11: 2 yrs imp (conc).	
	[2024] WASCA 78			Ct 12: 15 mths imp (conc).	At [176] 'the absence of any closely comparable cases does not
		No criminal history.	Between 1982 and 1988 the appellant	Ct 13: 3 yrs imp (HS).	preclude this court from reaching a conclusion that the total effective
	Delivered		was employed as a drama teacher at a	Ct 14: 2 yrs imp (conc).	sentence in this case was, or was not, unreasonable or plainly unjust.'
	03/07/2024	Left school at 15 yrs and pursued	secondary school for girls. At the	Ct 15: 3 yrs imp (conc).	
		employment; returned to school	relevant time, the appellant was the	Ct 16: 12 mths imp (cum).	At [177] 'the appellant's offending was very serious. Apart from K
		and attained two undergraduate	drama teacher of the victims.	Ct 17 4 mths imp (conc).	the appellant persistently engaged in sexual conduct with much
		degrees; studying master's at time		Ct 19: 4 mths imp (conc).	younger female students who were under his care, supervision, and
		sentencing.	Cts 2–7:	Ct 20: 3 yrs imp (cum).	authority.'
		8		Ct 21 & 22: 4 mths imp (conc).	, J
		Worked for several years in	The victim was T, a 16-yr old student.	Ct 23: 15 mths imp (cum).	At [178] 'the was a predatory quality about the appellant's conduct.
		theatre and television; served on	Cts 2–4, & 7 concerned the appellant	r	Apart from K, the appellant groomed his complainants, and he took
		numerous committees and boards	procuring the victim to masturbate his	TES: 10 yrs imp.	advantage of the good impression he made on them.'
		for organisations connected to the	penis. Cts 5 & 6 were another incident		
		arts; later worked as a marriage	of the appellant procuring T to	EFP.	At [179] 'the offences were committed in circumstances in which the
		celebrant.	masturbate him, as well as touching of		appellant had created opportunities to satisfy his sexual desires. Some
			the victim's vagina.	The sentencing judge found that the offending	of the offences were committed on school grounds. On occasions, the
		Two long term marriages; two		was serious. As the element of the offences	appellant committed sexual offences while he had isolated a victim and
		daughters; continual support from	<u>Ct 8:</u>	was that the appellant was the victims'	was alone with them in his car. On others, he offended after he had
		daughter and second wife.		teacher, it was not an aggravating factor.	taken a victim to an office, or to an apartment or hotel room. He also
			The victim of ct 8 was K, at the relevant	However, the victims were vulnerable, and	brazenly committed some sexual offences at victims' homes'
		Long standing member of the	time she was 15 or 16 yrs old. The	the offending concerned grooming of all	
		RSL and recipient of military	appellant touched the victim's vagina,	victims.	At [182] 'there were very few mitigating factorsIn any event, the
		medals.	over her underwear.	O'	appellant's personal circumstances carried less weight, although they
				The sentencing judge found that the offending	were not irrelevant.'
		Diagnosed with chronic	<u>Cts 10–16:</u>	had long-lasting effects on the victims: T had	
		lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL);		difficulties forming and maintaining	At [185] 'taking into account all relevant facts and circumstances, we
			The victim was F, at the relevant time	relationship and turned to alcohol to cope	are of the view that the total effective sentence of 10 years'
		yrs; prescribed several rare	she was under 17 yrs. Ct 10 arose from	with the abuse; F experiences feelings of	imprisonment is not unreasonable or plainly unjust'
		medications for illnesses.	the appellant kissing the victim on the	isolation, anger and grief, and experienced	
			lips. Cts 11 and 12 concerned the	difficulties in maintaining relationships; M	At [188] ' Although the appellant was 75 yrs old at the time of
			appellant penetrating the victim's	had experienced depression and anxiety, and	sentencing and was suffering from several health issues, the evidence
			vagina as she masturbated him. Cts 13	turned to addiction to cope; D was diagnosed	about the prognosis for the appellant's CLL was of most relevance to
			and 15 related to sexual intercourse	with depression and anxiety, and had	the question of whether the total effective sentence was crushing.'
			between the appellant and F. Ct 14 was	attempted to take her life shortly after the	
			the penetration of the victim's anus by	offending.	At [190] ' while it is certainly possible that the appellant will die
			the appellant with his finger.		while he is still in custodyand even if that does not occur that he
			G. 17, 10, 0, 20	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	may not have any prospect of a useful life upon release, whether either
			Cts 17, 19, & 20:	took advantage of his position as a teacher,	of those possibilities would eventuate could not be definitively
			THE SECOND SECOND	and of the fact that his students looked up to	predicted at the time of sentencing.'
			The victim was M, at the relevant time	him.	A4 [101] C
			she was 15 yrs old. Cts 17 and 19		At [191] 'in any event, we are of the view that the facts and
			concerned the appellant kissing the	The sentencing judge found that the	circumstances of this case are such that very little, if any, leniency can
			victim on the lips. Ct 20 was sexual	appellant's offending was not diminished	be afforded to the appellant.'
			intercourse.	because they occurred 40 yrs prior; nor was	
				the conduct less morally reprehensible at that	

			Cts 21–23:	time.	
			The victim was D, at the relevant time	The sentencing judge found that adequate	
			she was 13 yrs old. Cts 21 and 22	steps could be put in place to ensure the	
			concerned the appellant kissing the	appellant had access to treatment and	
			victim on the lips. Ct 23 arose from the	medication as required in prison.	
			appellant procuring the victim to		Ċ.
			masturbate his penis.		
			resident and present		
5.	The State of	47-48 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1 & 5: Unlawful indec deal child	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (conc).	Allowed - on grounds concerning manifest inadequacy and totality.
	Western Australia	86 yrs at time sentencing.	U14 yrs.	Ct 5: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	
	v BNY		Ct 6: Unlawful carnal knowledge girl	Ct 6: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Resentenced:
	, 21, 2	Convicted after trial.	U13 yrs.	ever e jus imp (cone).	
	[2023] WASCA 84	Convicted after that.	C13 yis.	TES 3 yrs imp.	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (conc).
		Prior criminal history.	The victim, aged 5 or 6 yrs, was one of	TEG 5 yrs mp.	Ct 5: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).
	Delivered	Thoreimmar mstory.	BNY's three step-granddaughters who	EFP.	Ct 6: 5 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).
	24/05/2023	Marriage ended in about 1984	would occasionally stay at his home.	LII.	Ct o. 5 yrs o mais mip (cone).
	2 1 /03/2023	after offending against victim's	would occasionally stay at his holle.	Despondent convicted and contanged in 1004	TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.
		sisters was discovered; later	On one accession DNV want into the	Respondent convicted and sentenced in 1994	TES 5 yrs 6 mais mip.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	On one occasion BNY went into the	to a TES of 5 yrs imp for unlawful and indec	EED
		relationship ended 2003.	room where the victim was sleeping. He	dealings with a girl U13 yrs and unlawful and	EFP.
			reached under the blankets, pulled her	indec dealings with a girl U14 yrs, committed	A. FOGUNY 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		Good work history.	underwear aside and touched and then	against the victim's sisters, KR and KE.	At [85] We are persuaded that her Honour used the word 'delay', in
			'played with' her vagina (ct 1). BNY		the course of reducing the sentences she would otherwise have
		Alcohol 'problematically' from	told the victim he loved her and to keep	The trial judge found the delay of about 30	imposed for cts 5 and 6 Her Honour did not make the basic error of
		time to time.	it a secret.	yrs since the respondent was sentenced for the	treating 'mere delay' as, in and of itself, mitigatory.
				offending against KR and KE should be taken	
		Suffers angina; triple bypass	On another occasion, when the victim	into account because of the combination of	At [95] The facts and circumstances of the respondent's offending on
		surgery recommended; requires	was in his bed, BNY put his penis in her	the respondent's age and the delay;	ct 6 were egregious the respondent was aged 47 or 48 and the
		treatment for skin cancers.	mouth. He held her head and moved it	'appropriate adjustments to the terms of imp'	complainant was aged 5 or 6. The respondent penetrated the
			up and down until he ejaculated (ct 5).	should be made and a sentence of at least 5	complainant's vagina with his penis The penetration caused the
			The victim vomited.	yrs additional would have been imposed.	complainant physical pain The complainant was distressed and
					wept. The respondent sought to induce the complainant's silence by
			On another occasion the victim was	The trial judge found offending occurred	telling her that what he had done to her was 'a secret' and that 'it was
			staying at BNY's home and playing	when the victim was very vulnerable because	because [he] loved her'. Those comments would, no doubt, have
			with makeup. She asked BNY if she	of her young age; she was in the respondent's	caused [her] emotional conflict. At the material time, the respondent
			looked pretty, and he picked her up and	care; he was in a position of trust and he used	was entrusted with the complainant's care. He abused that trust by
			placed her on the dining room table. He	that position to facilitate the offending; he	exploiting [her] for his own sexual gratification and without regard for
			then sexually penetrated her. The victim	told her what he had done to her was 'a	her welfare.
			felt pain and began to cry and there was	secret' and that 'it was because he loved her'.	
			some vaginal bleeding.		At [100] In our opinion, the individual sentence imposed on the
			ICAU T	Offending profound effect on the victim;	respondent for ct 6 was not commensurate with the seriousness of the
			Later that same day the victim had a	harrowing VIS; altered the course of her life;	offence the length of the sentence was unreasonable or plainly
			bath at home. She cried, as her vagina	struggled with severe mental illness and never	unjust
			was still stinging and was red and	held employment.	
			swollen. She told her mother it was	r J · J	At [117] In our opinion, the TES of 3 yrs immediate imp imposed
			because it hurt.	Respondent continued to maintain his	resulted in an overall TES that did not bear a proper relationship to the
				innocence; completion of SOTP; unlikely to	overall criminality involved in all of the respondent's offences against
			The victim reported the offending in	reoffend.	the complainant, KR and KE, having regard to all relevant facts and
			1995. However, it was not until 2019	100110Hd.	circumstances and all relevant sentencing factors.
			· ·		encommunices and an relevant sementing factors.
			that she was able to speak 'properly' to		

			the police.		At [119] The facts and circumstances of the respondent's offending on ct 5 were a very serious instance of offending against s 183 (repealed) of the Code. The facts and circumstances of the respondent's offending on ct 1 were serious.
					At [121] Each of the offences alleged in cts 1, 5 and 6 occurred on a separate date. Significant weight had to be given to the importance of properly marking the respondent's offending against the complainant on three separate occasions. The objective facts and circumstances of the respondent's offending against the complainant, viewed as a whole, were extremely serious.
					At [124] The overall TES was substantially less than the overall TES that was open to the trial judge on a proper exercise of the sentencing discretion.
					At [125] Unfortunately, from the respondent's perspective, the extremely serious nature of his overall offending, considered as a whole, and the necessity for denunciation of his criminal conduct and the demands of general deterrence, significantly reduced the extent to which humanitarian considerations could be accommodated in the overall sentencing disposition. Notwithstanding that it is possible that
					the respondent may die in custody or that upon release he may not have any prospect of a useful life, the overall TES for the offending against the complainant, KR and KE was unreasonable or plainly
	GLEO WIL G				unjust.
4.	SMO v The State	75 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 1-5: Unlawful indec deal girl U13	Ct 1: 12 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed.
	of Western		yrs.	Ct 2: 15 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	Ct 6: Unlawful carnal knowledge girl	Ct 3: 18 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned totality principle.
	[2022] XXA C.C.A. 70	No sois a soissis at history	U3 yrs.	Ct 4: 13 mths imp (conc).	A4 [42] The counties of the first transfer o
	[2022] WASCA 70	No prior criminal history.	The victim N was and 11 or 12 was of	Ct 5: 16 mths imp (conc).	At [43] The appellant's overall offending was plainly serious. [He] was
	Delivered	Born UK; emigrated to Australia	The victim, N, was aged 11 or 12 yrs of age. SMO was her uncle.	Ct 6: 5 yrs imp (cum).	a trusted figure in N's life. The offending involved a gross abuse of that trust. The offending was not isolated but occurred in three separate
	23/06/2022	aged 21 yrs.	age. Sivio was her uncle.	TES 6 yrs 6 mths imp.	incidents over a period of approx one yr The offending occurred in
	23/00/2022	aged 21 yrs.	All of the offences were committed	TES 6 yrs 6 mais mip.	N's own home with family members nearby He sought to secure
		Qualified chef; good employment	when SMO was visiting N's family	EFP.	N's silence in respect of cts 4 to 6 by telling her 'this is just between
		history; now retired.	home.		you and I'
				The trial judge found the offending was	
		Married to N's aunt until 2004;	Cts 1 and 2	repeated and involved a degree of persistence;	At [44] A significant agg feature of this case is the adverse impact that
		one child and two grandchildren	SMO approached N, who was standing	was a gross abuse of trust, by virtue of being	the offending has had on the victim
		from relationship; now lives	by herself. He put his hand on her breast	her uncle and the offending occurring in N's	A. 5407 A
		alone.	and fondled it beneath her top. He then	family home, when family were nearby and	At [48] In our opinion, having regard to the two separate and earlier
		Poor physical health.	touched her vagina, first over her underwear and then beneath it.	she was in an environment in which she was entitled to feel safe.	incidents of sexual offending which were the subject of cts 1 to 3, some accumulation of the sentences imposed for those offences was
		1 ooi piiysicai ficatai.	under wear and then beneath it.	Children to root sure.	appropriate. The offending the subject of ct 3 was serious offending
			<u>Ct 3</u>	The trial judge accepted the appellant's	involving as it did the touching of N's vagina In doing so, the
			About one month later, SMO rubbed the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	appellant took advantage of her obvious vulnerability. Separate and
			inside of N's vagina over her	health meant imp would be more onerous.	additional punishment over and above the sentence the subject of ct 6
			underpants.		was well justified In our opinion, the TES bears a proper
				Victim's mental and physical health severely	relationship to the overall criminality involved in all of the offences

	T	T	1 ~	T	
			<u>Cts 4-6</u>	impacted; receiving psychological and	viewed in their entirety and having regard to all relevant facts and
			N had celebrated her 12 th birthday. In	psychiatric help some 40 yrs after the	circumstances (including, most importantly, his age and ill health)
			the evening SMO entered her bedroom	offending.	
			and touched her breasts under her top		
			for a short period. He then took his		
			penis out of his pants and made her		
			touch it. N withdrew her hand.		<u> </u>
			toden it. IV withdrew her hand.		
			CMO there are an N2 about and malled be a		
			SMO then sat on N's bed and pulled her		· () ^y
			towards him. He made N sit on his erect		
			penis and penetrated her vagina. This		
			caused her pain so he pushed her off. As		
			he left the room SMO told N, 'This is		
			just between you and I'.		
			All of this offending occurred over a		
			few minutes.		
			Tev minutes.		
			A short time later SMO and his wife left	ric Prosect	
			N's house. N's mother heard her crying		
			and found her in a state of extreme		
2	JYL v The State of	25-31 yrs and 37-43 yrs at time	distress, sobbing, crying and vomiting. Cts 1-3; 6-13: Indec deal child U14 yrs.	Cts 1 & 3: 12 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refused).
3.	v		1	_	Distrissed (leave refused).
	Western Australia	offending.	Cts 4-5: Incest.	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	A
	[2021] WA CCA	73 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 14: Agg indec assault.	Ct 4: 5 yrs imp (cum).	Appeal concerned totality principle.
	[2021] WASCA			Ct 5: 5 yrs imp (conc).	1.514616
	222	Convicted after trial.	Over a number of yrs JYL perpetrated a	Ct 6: 18 mths imp (conc).	At [146] Some accumulation in the individual sentences imposed was
			serious and prolonged course of intra-	Cts 7-8: 2 yrs imp (cum).	necessary to reflect not only the different types of offending against
	Delivered	No prior criminal history.	familial sexual offending against two	Cts 9 & 11: 2 yrs imp (conc).	each complainant but also to reflect that there were two complainants
	30/12/2021		victims.	Ct 10: 3 yrs imp (cum).	who had been subjected to offending conduct over different and
		One of eight children to parents'		Ct 12-13: 3 yrs imp (conc).	comparatively lengthy periods of time.
		union.	The first victim, ER, was aged 10-15	Ct 14. 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	
			yrs. She was JYL's sister and 15 yrs		At [148] The fact that ER became pregnant is a significant agg
		No medical conditions that could	younger than. JYL. The second victim,	TES 14 yrs imp.	feature of the appellant's offending against her.
		not be adequately dealt with in	MM, was aged 8-14 yrs and JYL's		
		prison.	natural daughter.	The trial judge found the significant features	At [150] the appellant's offending involved a high level of
				of the appellant's offender were the young	criminality, particularly when regard is had to ER's pregnancy.
			The offending against ER was separated	age of the victims and the fact that one was	Terminally, Figure 1
			in time from the offending against MM.	his natural daughter; the long periods of time	At [159] The appellant groomed the complainants and engaged in
			in time from the orienting against why.	over which the offending took place; he	offending of an increasingly serious nature In the case of ER, the
			All cts are a representative of a	groomed the victims and engaged in	offending only ceased after ER became pregnant. ER was required
			prolonged course of abuse in each case.	increasingly more serious offences over time;	to have a termination procedure as a 16-yr-old girl and has suffered
			Ct- 1.5	he was in a position of trust and abused that	ongoing significant psychological effects as a result of the offending.
			Cts 1-5	trust; the offending were not isolated	In the case of MM, the offending involved representative cts of fellatio
			During the school holidays ER would	instances; were separated by yrs and showed	and cunnilingus, as well as att digital and penile penetration Like
			live with JYL and his wife. Shortly after	that his actions cannot be said to have been an	ER, MM suffered ongoing psychological trauma as a result of the
			she began staying at the home JYL	aberration or out of character, rather an	offending The appellant took advantage of [their] vulnerabilities
			began sexually abusing her. The abuse	expression of a disordered sexual interest in	and offended against them in a gross breach of trust reposed in him
			escalated in seriousness over time and	young female family members in which he	
			as she developed through puberty,	indulged himself 'purposefully, persistently	

			ending only when she fell pregnant to JYL.	and remorselessly for yrs' for his own sexual gratification.	
			Cts 6-14 MM lived in the family home when her father, JYL, began to sexually abuse her. The first offence occurred when JYL made her put her hand on his erect penis and move it up and down. After this, he made MM engage in this type of conduct several times a month.	Significant and profound negative effects on the victims; suffered rejection by their families.	
			When MM was about 9 yrs old JYL commenced making her perform fellatio on him. This conduct occurred several times a month, sometimes in conjunction with the masturbatory conduct.	a dollic Ricosec	
			From the time MM was 9 or 10 yrs old JYL was performing cunnilingus on MM several times a month.	0110110	
			By the time MM was aged 10 yrs old JYL att digital and penile/vaginal penetration on a number of occasions.		
2.	NE v The State of	26-32 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1-3; 9-10 & 12: Indec deal child	Cts 1; 3 & 10: 18 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed.
	Western Australia	53 yrs at time sentencing.	U13 yrs.	Ct 2: 3 mths imp (cum).	
			Cts 4-5; 7-8 & 11: Sex pen child U13	Cts 4; 7; 8 & 12: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal concerned totality principle.
	[2021] WASCA	Convicted after late PG (20%	yrs.	Ct 5: 3 yrs imp (cum).	
	172	discount).	Ct 6: Procured child U13 yrs to do	Cts 6 & 9: 2 yrs imp (conc).	At [57] The appellant's tetraplegia did not give him a license to engage
	Delivered	Minor oriminal history	indec act.	Ct 11: 5 yrs imp.	in a course of very serious child sexual offending without appropriate
	17/09/2021	Minor criminal history.	The cts on the ind representative of an	TES 8 yrs 3 mths imp.	punishment
	17/07/2021	Two siblings; lived with various	ongoing course of conduct over a period	TES 6 yrs 5 mais mp.	At [59] there are a number of features of the appellant's offending
		family members after death of his	of six yrs.	EFP.	which, even in light of his early PG, would ordinarily make a sentence
		mother aged 5 yrs; portion of his			in excess of 10 yrs appropriate. These include the very young age of
		childhood spent living in	The victim was NE's de facto daughter.	The sentencing judge found the offending agg	the victim, who was only about 6 yrs old when the abuse began, the
		children's homes and with foster families; no meaningful	The sexual abuse commenced when she was 6 yrs old and continued until she	by the appellant's repetitive, sustained and persistent conduct; the gross breach of trust	persistence and nature of the offending, and the devastating effect which the offending had on the victim. The victim was also in a
		relationship with his father since	was 11 yrs old.	and the manipulation and grooming of a	particularly vulnerable position, even after the appellant and the
		mother's death.	, 10 012	young and vulnerable victim and subjecting	victim's mother separated In our view, the agg features of the
			NE is, and was at the time of the	her to a high level of psychological coercion	offending which the sentencing judge identified placed the offending
		Seriously injured motor vehicle	offending, a tetraplegic.	and, given his medical condition, she had to	in this case at the higher end of the range of seriousness of sexual
		accident aged 18 yrs; requires 16-	Cto 1 % 2	be an active physical participant in her own	offending against a single child complainant.
		18 hrs care a day; faces serious health issues and future surgical	Cts 1 & 2 When the victim was about 6 yrs old	abuse; the offending the subject of ct 12 involved another child and the large age	At [60] We are not persuaded that the sentencing judge erred in
		intervention; physical health	NE asked her to select and watch a	disparity between him and the victim.	balancing the mitigating and agg factors in this case. To the contrary,
		continuing to deteriorate.	pornographic video with him. During		in our view, the TES imposed properly reflected the overall
			the video he got the victim to remove	The sentencing judge found prison would be	criminality involved in all of the appellant's offences viewed in their

Not in a relationship at time sentencing; two sons with victim's mother; primary carer of his children during their childhood.

Drug use when young.

her underwear. He then placed his hand on her vagina.

Cts 3 & 4

On another date, when the victim was aged about 7 yrs old, NE asked her to put on a pornographic video depicting a man performing cunnilingus on a woman. He then told the victim to remove her underwear and lay down on a bench. He then positioned his wheelchair alongside the bench and performed cunnilingus on her.

Ct 5

NE was lying in bed when he asked the victim, aged 8 yrs, to sit on his face. The victim complied and he performed cunnilingus on her.

Ct 6

On another occasion, when the victim was 8 yrs old, NE told her to pull out a vibrator and turn it on. On his instructions she placed the vibrator on the outside of her vagina.

Cts 7 & 8

On another occasion, when the victim was 8 yrs old, NE asked her to look at his erect penis. He then told her to kiss his penis with her lips and put his penis in her mouth. She complied.

Cts 9 & 10

When the victim was 11 yrs old NE's relationship with her mother ended. She and her mother moved out of NE's home, but after a few wks she returned to live with NE.

The victim was sleeping on a mattress in NE's room when he asked her to come on the bed next to him. He then asked her to masturbate his penis, which she did. As she did so he rested his hand on her vagina.

Ct 11

NE's disability required him to wear a

more onerous for the appellant due to his tetraplegia and ongoing deterioration of his physical health; however the seriousness of the offending such that imp the only appropriate sentencing option.

Remorseful and accepting of responsibility; insight into his offending; negligible risk of reoffending.

Continuing devastating impact on victim.

entirety, having regard to all of the circumstances of the case including those personal to the appellant. ...

1.	DRH v The State of Western Australia [2021] WASCA 97 Delivered 02/06/2021	35-37 yrs at time offending. 58 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after trial. No prior relevant criminal history. Single. Partner in a cleaning business at time of sentencing. Character references describe the appellant as a kind, caring and supporting person.	condom to hold the tubes of his urinary bag in place. It was changed regularly as part of his care. When the victim was 11 yrs old NE asked the victim to remove the condom. He then asked her to sit on his penis and put it into her vagina as far as she could without it hurting. The victim complied. Ct 12 The victim was 11 yrs old when she and a friend went to NE's house. The victim's friend was asked and encouraged to change NE's condom while the victim instructed her how to do it. In order to remove the condom NE's penis needed to be erect, so the victim told her friend how to do that. They both then played with his penis until it became erect. Ct 5: Encourage child 13-16 yrs to engage in sexual behaviour. The victim, BM, was aged 13-14 yrs. In 1996 DRH was BM's grade 7 primary school teacher. At the beginning of 1997 BM entered secondary school and around this time he began meeting with DRH. BM would regularly visit DRH at his home and he would also occasionally spend the night. DRH would sometimes speak to BM about nudity and other matters and give him cigarettes and alcohol.	3 yrs imp. EFP. The trial judge was satisfied beyond reasonable doubt that the offending the subject of ct 5 was not an isolated occasion. The trial judge found the offending serious; there was an age difference of 22 yr between the appellant and BM; BM was vulnerable as a result of his personal circumstance; BM trusted the appellant, which trust arose originally out of the appellant having been his teacher before the sexual activity	Dismissed. Appeal concerned length of sentence and finding offence charged on ct 5 not an isolated incident. At [90] In our opinion, the trial judge's finding that the appellant had engaged in acts of a sexual nature with BM before the appellant committed ct 5 was not inconsistent with the jury's verdicts of not guilty on cts 1, 2 and 7 or with the directed acquittals on cts 3, 4 and 6 At [99] In our opinion, the appellant's offending on ct 5 was serious The offending was not isolated or an aberration The offending was preceded by the grooming of BM. At all material times BM was, to the appellant's knowledge, vulnerable. The offending involved
		time of sentencing. Character references describe the appellant as a kind, caring and	he began meeting with DRH. BM would regularly visit DRH at his home and he would also occasionally spend the night. DRH would sometimes speak	there was an age difference of 22 yr between the appellant and BM; BM was vulnerable as a result of his personal circumstance; BM trusted the appellant, which trust arose originally out of the appellant having been his	guilty on cts 1, 2 and 7 or with the directed acquittals on cts 3, 4 and 6 At [99] In our opinion, the appellant's offending on ct 5 was serious The offending was not isolated or an aberration The offending was preceded by the grooming of BM. At all material times BM was,
		In good health time of sentencing.	At the time of the offending BM was staying at DRH's house because he had been kicked out of home. They both drank alcohol and were naked. DRH was on all fours and bent over a bed when BM tried to anally penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration. Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.	having become accepting of the sexual acts between them and he permitted BM to drink and smoke cannabis so that he would be more accommodating. Detrimental and enduring impact on victim. No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.	The state of the s
			In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.		

Transitional provisions repealed – 14/01/2009						
Transitional provisions enacted – 31/08/2003						