Possess child exploitation material and child pornography

s220 Criminal Code

s 60(1) Classification (Publications, Films and Computer Games) Enforcement Act

From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: Each of the two tables is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

Glossary:

agg aggravated att attempted

CEM child exploitation material

conc concurrent cum cumulative ct count

CRO conditional release order

EFP eligible for parole imp imprisonment indec indecent

PCJ pervert the course of justice

PG plead guilty

sex pen sexual penetration without consen

susp suspended

SOTP sex offender treatment program

TES total effective sentence

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
10.	The State of	24 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Poss CEM.	Ct 1: 12 mths CSIO (conc).	Appeal dismissed (leave granted).
	Western Australia	25 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Poss CEM.	Ct 2: 18 mths CSIO.	
	v Doyle				Appeal concerned type of sentence.
	•	Convicted after PG (25%	Ct 1 related to images and videos	TES: 18 mths CSIO (susp for 2 yrs).	
	[2024] WASCA	discount).	located on the respondent's mobile		At [33] 'the general principles relating to sentencing for offences
	161	,	phone. 741 images (436 in category 1	The sentencing judge accepted that the	against s 220 of the <i>Criminal Code</i> were discussed by Mazza JA
		Limited criminal history; two	and 305 in category 2) were found on	respondent showed some remorse; however, it	(McLure P & Buss JA agreeing) in The State of Western Australia v
	Delivered	traffic offences.	the phone.	was limited.	McCarthy [2014] WASCA 210.'
	17/12/2024				
		Born to the short relationship		The sentencing judge did not accept the	At [34] 'these principles have been applied by this court on many
		between his parents; mother used	Ct 2 related to images and videos	respondent's explanation that he sought	occasions.'
		heroin and methyl during	located on the respondent's desktop	pornographic material that reminded him of	
		pregnancy; surrendered to	computer, second mobile phone, and a	his ex-partner (who is a similar age to the	At [38] 'there will be cases where immediate imprisonment is the only
		maternal grandparents from 2	hard drive. 309 images (107 in category	respondent). The images and videos in the	sentencing option commensurate with the seriousness of the offence,
		mths old; father died from drug	1 and 202 in category 2) and 44 videos	respondent's possession obviously contained	even though it is counterproductive from the perspective of
		overdose.	(nine in category 1 and 35 category 2)	very young children, including children who	rehabilitation.'
			were found on the three devices. One of	were about 7 yrs old, who could not be	
		Completed yr 11 of high school;	the videos was 7 minutes and 43	mistaken for an adult.	At [39] 'as is illustrated by the decision in <i>McCarthy</i> , and the review
		struggled at school; was bullied	seconds in length. It was found in a		of sentencing decisions under s 220 of the Criminal Code in that case,
		and ostracised.	folder which stated that the victim was	The sentencing judge was not able to predict	sentences other than immediate imprisonment are, as a matter of fact,
		XX 1 1 · 1 · 1 · 1	12 years old.	the respondent's prospects of reoffending or	unusual.'
		Worked since leaving high		prospects of rehabilitation.	A ([41] () () () () () () () () () (
		school; latest employment ended		The respondent had completed even seven	At [41] ' this court has repeatedly recognised that possession of
		after being charged with current offences.		The respondent had completed over seven	child exploitation material will ordinarily, as a matter of fact, be met
		offences.		sessions with a clinical psychologist and continued to engage with both a psychologist	with immediate imprisonment'
		Diagnosed ADHD; experienced	X	and general practitioner.	At [42] in the present case. It like respondent was in possession of
		withdrawals from drug	20	and general practitioner.	At [43] 'in the present case [t]he respondent was in possession of over a thousand images and videos which depicted the sexual abuse of
		dependency for the first 18 mths			many children. While there was no finding as to the period over which
		of life; medicated for ADHD,			the respondent accessed this material, the volume of child exploitation
			\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \		material and the variety of locations in which it was stored indicated at
		univery, and depression.			least some degree of persistence in the offending.'
		Two previous relationships.	e ce of the		reast some degree of persistence in the offending.
		Two previous returnismps.			At [44] 'apart from his pleas of guilty at the first reasonable
		No issues with alcohol or illicit	C. Vy		opportunity, there are significant mitigating factors to be found in the
		drugs.			respondent's personal circumstances, many of which relate to his
					difficult entry into the world.'
			(2)		
			4.0		At [48] 'in the present case, the fact that the respondent has largely
					been able to overcome the difficulties following the circumstances of
		X			his birth is a mitigating factor. The respondent's neurodevelopmental
			Y		vulnerabilities resulting from those circumstances have a causal
					relationship to the offending and can be seen to reduce the
					respondent's moral culpability to some extent. The proactive steps the
					respondent has taken to engage available medical support are also
					mitigatory.'
					At [49] 'however, the respondent has not been deprived of the capacity

			Prosection of the second secon	The Damocles sword represented by a conditionally suspended imprisonment order would provide a significant incentive to the respondent to avoid reoffending and comply with the onerous conditions of the order. It was open to the sentencing judge to take the view that the interests of the protection of the community against future offending by the respondent were best served by making a conditionally suspended imprisonment order.' At [57] ' the decision to impose a sentence of conditionally suspended imprisonment was not unreasonable or plainly unjust.'
•	32 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Distribute CEM. 1 x Poss CEM.	Cum	Appeal dismissed (leave granted).
[2024] WASCA 35	Convicted after PG (20% discount).	21 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 yrs.	1 x Distribute CEM (10 mths imp). 1 x Possess CEM (8 mths imp).	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle.
	No criminal history.	19 x Indec deal child lineal relative U16 yrs.7 x Sex pen child lineal relative U16	imp).1 x Sex pen child lineal relative (5 yrs imp).	At [85] 'it is beyond doubt, and not disputed by the appellant, that the totality of his offending was extremely serious and deserving of a substantial term of imprisonment.'
	Born in NZ; youngest of three children; moved to Australia at 9 yrs old; positive upbringing; parents and sister supportive.	yrs. 2 x Att sex pen child lineal relative U16 yrs. 1 x Indec record child U13 yrs.	1 x Sex pen child lineal relative (3 yrs imp). 1 x Sex pen child lineal relative (5 yrs imp). 1 x Indec deal child lineal relative (2 yrs imp)	At [87] ' the appellant's offending was, taken as a whole, extremely serious. It involved persistent sexual offending over approximately one year against the appellant's two very young children.'
	Struggled at school; completed yr 12.	The victims, A and K were the children of AAE. At the relevant time A was 4 yrs old and K was between 7 and 8 yrs	TES 17 yrs 6 mths imp.	At [88] 'the offending involved a gross breach of the appellant's trusted role as a father. As a parent, he had privileged access to the children and was able to misuse their love for him to obtain their
	finishing school: hospitality industry.	An UC from Department of Homeland	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	compliance with his sexual demands and to ensure their silence. It is telling that neither of the children revealed the offending and that the prosecution case relied entirely on recordings.'
	Met his wife at 16 yrs; relationship continued until arrest; three children, one of which was born after arrest.	with the appellant on a social media application. The substance of these communications constituted the distribute CEM offence.	had groomed the victims, encouraged and convinced them to allow his offending and used scare tactics and bribes to prevent disclosure.	At [89] 'in respect of the appellant's 4-year-old daughter there was an element of depravity in this offending. It is apparent that the appellant's sexual interest prevailed over any concern for the physical or psychological welfare of his children.'
	No significant mental health issues; emotional detachment and socially avoidant.	A WAPOL SW at the appellant's parent's home located a USB thumb drive containing CEM. The contents of the USB constituted the poss CEM	The sentencing judge did not accept the appellant's disclosure to the psychologist that A was a willing participant; the footage clearly showed A recoiling during the	At [90] 'his communications with the law enforcement officer revealed a callous disregard for the welfare of his children and a willingness to exploit them for his own deviant purpose.'
		offence. The appellant's hard drive and phone	offending. In particular, the offending against A was 'towards the upper end of the scale.'	At [91] 'the appellant also possessed and distributed child exploitation material. The material he possessed was at all levels of seriousness and included 12 still images and 20 videos in the most serious category. In addition, he indecently recorded other children. This reveals that his
ן ב	AAE v The State of Western Australia [2024] WASCA 35 Delivered 09/04/2024	Convicted after PG (20% discount). Delivered 09/04/2024 Born in NZ; youngest of three children; moved to Australia at 9 yrs old; positive upbringing; parents and sister supportive. Struggled at school; completed yr 12. Gainfully employed since finishing school: hospitality industry. Met his wife at 16 yrs; relationship continued until arrest; three children, one of which was born after arrest. No significant mental health issues; emotional detachment and	Convicted after PG (20% discount). Delivered D9/04/2024 No criminal history. Born in NZ; youngest of three children; moved to Australia at 9 yrs old; positive upbringing; parents and sister supportive. Struggled at school; completed yr 12. Struggled at school; completed yr 12. Gainfully employed since finishing school: hospitality industry. Met his wife at 16 yrs; relationship continued until arrest; three children, one of which was born after arrest. No significant mental health issues; emotional detachment and socially avoidant. 1 x Poss CEM. 21 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 yrs. 19 x Indec deal child lineal relative U16 yrs. 1 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 yrs. 1 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 yrs. 1 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 yrs. 1 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 yrs. 1 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 yrs. 2 x Att sex pen child lineal relative U16 yrs. 1 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 yrs. 2 x Att sex pen child lineal relative U16 yrs. 1 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 yrs. 2 x Att sex pen child lineal relative U16 yrs. 1 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 yrs. 2 x Att sex pen child lineal relative U16 yrs. 1 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 yrs. 2 x Att sex pen child lineal relative U16 yrs. 1 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 yrs. 2 x Att sex pen child lineal relative U16 yrs. 1 x Indec record child U13 yrs. The victims, A and K were the children of AAE. At the relevant time A was 4 yrs old and K was between 7 and 8 yrs old. An UC from Department of Homeland Security engaged in communication with the appellant on a social media application. The substance of these communication with the appellant on a social media application. The substance of these communication with the appellant on a social media application. The substance of these communication with the appellant on a social media application. The substance of these communication with the appellant on a social media application. The subst	1 x Poss CEM. 21 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 giscount). 1 x Poss CEM. 21 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 grs. 1 x Poss CEM. 21 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 grs. 1 x Poss CEM. 21 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 grs. 1 x Poss CEM. 21 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 grs. 1 x Poss CEM. 21 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 grs. 2 x Att sex pen child lineal relative U16 grs. 2 x Att sex pen child lineal relative U16 grs. 2 x Att sex pen child lineal relative U16 grs. 2 x Att sex pen child lineal relative (3 yrs imp). 1 x Sex pen child lineal relative (5 yrs imp). 1 x Sex pen child lineal relative (2 yrs imp). 1 x Indec deal child lineal relative (2 yrs imp). 1 x Indec deal child lineal relative (2 yrs imp). 1 x Indec deal child lineal relative (3 yrs imp). 1 x Indec deal child lineal relative (2 yrs imp). 1 x Sex pen child lineal relative (2 yrs imp). 1 x Indec deal child lineal relative (3 yrs imp). 1 x Indec deal child lineal relative (2 yrs imp). 1 x Indec record child lineal relative U16 grs. 2 x Att sex pen child lineal relative (3 yrs imp). 1 x Indec deal child lineal relative (2 yrs imp). 1 x Indec record child lineal relative (2 yrs imp). 1 x Indec record child lineal relative (3 yrs imp). 1 x Indec record child lineal relative (2 yrs imp). 1 x Indec record child lineal relative (3 yrs imp). 1 x Indec record child lineal relative (2 yrs imp). 1 x Indec record child lineal relative (2 yrs imp). 1 x Indec record child lineal relative (2 yrs imp). 1 x Indec record child lineal relative (2 yrs imp). 1 x Indec record child lineal relative (2 yrs imp). 1 x Indec record child lineal relative (2 yrs imp). 1 x Indec record child lineal relative (3 yrs imp). 1 x Indec record child lineal relative (3 yrs imp). 1 x Indec record child lineal relative (3 yrs imp). 1 x Indec record child lineal relative (3 yrs imp). 1 x Indec record child lineal relative (3 yrs imp). 1 x Indec re

to control his actions, to appreciate right from wrong or to learn and reform. His childhood development is not such as to make this case a less appropriate vehicle for general deterrence or to remove the significance of personal deterrence as a relevant sentencing consideration.'

			explicit recordings of the appellant and his daughter, A. As well as explicit recordings of the appellant and his son, K. The recordings located by police identified 20 separate incidents of offending by the appellant. The offending included numerous occasions of penile-vaginal penetration of A, digital penetration of A, use of sex toys on A, indec touching of A, as well as A stroking the appellant's penis. On numerous occasions A is recoiling from the appellant during the offending. The offending against K consisted of indec touching, K fondling the appellant's penis, and genital-genital touching.	made no significant admissions to police during the searches and pleaded guilty during negotiations. The sentencing judge found that the appellant was genuinely remorseful, though he lacked genuine insight into the severity of the offending. Offending had caused great stress to the appellant's wife; vomits when she thinks of the offences; financially impacted; difficult to gauge the impact on the children, have not disclosed the offending during interviews.	sexual interest in children extended beyond his own children.' At [96] 'we do not accept the appellant's submission to the effect that the sentence of 22 yrs 6 mths' imprisonment imposed in <i>SCN</i> operates as a ceiling for sentences of child sexual offending.' At [103] 'having regard to the maximum penalties, the seriousness of the offending taken as a whole, the personal circumstances of the appellant and the limited guidance afforded by comparable cases, the appellant has failed to establish that the total effective sentence of 17 yrs and 6 mths imprisonment breached the first limb of the totality principle.'
			Further images were located of the appellant's 4-year-old niece, as well as numerous photos surreptitiously taken of unknown female victims at the appellant's workplace.	c Pulolic F	
8.	JTR v The State of Western Australia	47 yrs at time sentencing.	43 x Sex pen child U13 yrs. 1 x Att sex pen child U13 yrs.	TES 25 yrs.	Dismissed (leave refused on ground 2).
		Convicted after early PG (25%	221 x Indec deal child U13 yrs.	EFP.	Appeal concerned length of sentence and totality principle.
	[2023] WASCA 131 Delivered 01/09/2023	discount). No prior criminal history. Youngest of four siblings; positive childhood; supportive	 122 x Indec recording child U13 yrs. 6 x Producing CEM. 25 x Poss CEM. 1 x Procuring child U13 yrs to do indec act. Over a period of six yrs, and on an	The sentencing judge found the appellant's offending, viewed as a whole, one of the worst cases of its kind to come before the WA courts; the mere reference to the number of offences committed did not reveal that on many occasions the offending was prolonged	At [148] ' the appellant's offending, when viewed overall, is disturbing and of the utmost seriousness the appellant persistently engaged in predatory behaviour over a substantial period of time and in relation to an extraordinary number of children driven by an entrenched sexual interest in children.'
		parents.	Over a period of six yrs, and on an enormous number of occasions, JTR	or involved multiple offences; the number of	At [149] 'in almost every instance, the appellant's offending
		Schooling a positive experience; completed university degree.	sexually abused 22 children, including his four biological children, niece and	offences did also not reveal the truly egregious and deprayed nature of the	constituted a breach of trust. Four of the victims were his ow children, who were entitled to expect his love and protection'
		Good employment history; developed own business; successful for a long period of time before experiencing financial	nephew and the children of family friends and neighbours. The children's ages ranged from 2 yrs of age to 13 yrs of age. The majority of	offending. The sentencing judge referred to four factors that required a 'very significant measure of accumulation in the sentences'; firstly, on	At [150] 'of all the appellant's 419 offences, 274 of them were committed against his youngest daughter, over about six yrs and in the course of 153 separate events'
		difficulties, business eventually	the offences were committed against	many occasions one episode of offending	At [153] 'most of the offences were committed with a brazen
		failed, millions of dollars in debt.	children under the age of 10 yrs.	against a particular victim involved multiple offences; secondly, the offending against	assurance'
		Married; four children together; separated before offending uncovered; commenced another relationship.	JTR recorded all his offending conduct. Sometimes he used a hidden camera and on other occasions he used a handheld camera.	many of the children involved multiple offences and occurred on multiple occasions; thirdly, the sheer magnitude of the offending and fourthly, the poss of a significant quantity	At [154] 'the fact that the appellant recorded all the offences that he committed against children also marks the seriousness of his offending conduct'
		r		of CEM on so many devices.	At [155] 'it must also be remembered that the appellant was convicted

		Sustained serious injuries in an accident in 2021, which also resulted in the death of his new partner. History of self-harm; att suicide time of separation from former wife; experienced suicidal ideation following death of his partner; engaged in serious self-harm when arrested; diagnosed with major depressive disorder with anxious distress at time sentencing. History of alcohol abuse and misuse of prescription medication; resorted to drug and alcohol use as a means of managing stress; in remission at time sentencing due to his detention.	In addition to his acts of child sexual abuse JTR was found in possession of approx 1 million images and 30,000 videos of CEM, which he had methodically classified across 26 separate electronic devices. The offences charged were based on the review of the large number of USBs and hard drives, as well as the 26 recording devices found in his home and business. None of the children offended against made any disclosures to police.	Offending had, and continues to have, a destructive effect on the lives of the children offended against. Appellant not genuinely remorseful; no acceptance of responsibility for his offending; nature and extent of the offending precluded a finding that the offending was an aberration, or that unlikely to offend again.	of a considerable number of offences relating to his poss of CEM those offences concerned the poss and categorisation of approx 1 million images and 30,000 videos depicting CEM. The appellant had collected a massive database of CEM which recorded offending that had taken place against real children, including highly degrading and painful abuse.' At [172] ' the objective seriousness of the appellant's overall offending is at the very highest level, and there was a very clear need for sentences to be imposed that satisfied the obvious requirement for both general and specific deterrence' At [176] 'the TES had to reflect the fact that the appellant committed a considerable number of offences against a total of 22 children. Many of the offences were not at the high end of the scale of seriousness when viewed in isolation. However, when taken as a whole, they establish that the appellant persistently and frequently acted on an entrenched sexual interest in very young and vulnerable children, and in doing so breached the trust reposed in him as a father, a family member, and a friend.' At [177] 'additionally, substantial cumulation was necessary to reflect the repetitive and prolonged sexual offending against the appellant's youngest daughter, which occurred on 153 separate occasions'
				31. O x	At [178] 'finally, a further degree of cumulation was called for in order to adequately reflect the extreme serious nature of the offences concerning the appellant's poss of CEM and give some effect to the principles applicable in sentencing for such offences.'
					At [207] 'in our opinion, the TES was not crushing. It follows that the second limb of the totality principle was not infringed.'
7.	OMC v The State	30-31 yrs at time offending.	IND X	IND X	Dismissed (leave refused).
	of Western	33 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 1-6 & 8-9: Indec deal child U13 yrs.	Cts 1; 2 & 5: 18 mths imp (conc).	Annual concerned totality reviewing
	Australia	IND X	Ct 7: Att indec deal child U13 yrs. IND Y	Ct 3: 2 yrs imp. Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal concerned totality principle.
	[2023] WASCA 86	Convicted after trial.	Ct 1: Poss CEM.	Ct 4. 2 yrs his (conc). Ct 5: 18 mths imp (conc).	At [39] The appellant took advantage of a vulnerable young child
		IND Y		Cts 6 & 9: 2 yrs imp (cum).	by persistently sexually abusing her over a period of at least 18 mths.
	Delivered	Convicted after late PG.	The victim was aged between 10 and 11	Ct 7: 12 mths imp (conc).	The offences were particularly agg by the use of a degree of force and
	30/05/2023		yrs at the time of the offending. She was	Ct 8: 8 mths imp (conc).	that the appellant frequently persisted when the victim made it clear to
		Short criminal history; no prior	the daughter of OMC's then partner and	IND Y	him that she did not want him to touch her. The appellant sought to
		convictions for violence or sexual offending.	he was a father figure to her.	Ct 1: 12 mths imp (conc).	manipulate the victim by telling her that if she complained about his actions he would be out of her life and he would be unable to pay for
		oriending.	The offences were representative of a	TES 6 yrs imp.	the things that she liked [he] was undeterred by her protests and
		Aged 12 mths when parents	course of ongoing sexualised conduct	122 0 Jis imp.	attempts to resist this behaviour.
		separated; lived with his mother	towards the victim over a period of 18	EFP.	
		until aged 12 yrs, then resided	mths.		At [40] The appellant's actions have had and are likely to have an
		with his father; prosocial		IND X	ongoing adverse effect upon the victim.
		upbringing; suffered adverse	The offending occurred in the family	The sentencing judge characterised the	
		psychological effects from	home, when OMC was alone with the	offending against the victim as 'very serious';	At [46] In our opinion, having regard to all of the relevant facts and

			:	14 1 1 - C + 1 - C + 1	1 11 1
		parents' conflict.	victim.	it was a gross breach of trust; the victim was	circumstances of the present case and all relevant sentencing factors,
		Cood family summent	OMC indee dealt with the wintim by	aged between 10-11 yrs; a degree of force	the TES bears a proper relationship to the overall criminality in all
		Good family support.	OMC indec dealt with the victim by	was used in the offending and it must have	of the offences committed by the appellant
		Good ampleyment history	rubbing her vagina with his fingers or	been clear to the appellant that the victim was	
		Good employment history.	squeezing her breasts (cts 1-6). He touched her vagina both over and under	unhappy as she repeatedly asked him to stop and leave her alone; he manipulated her by	
		Partner miscarried around time	her clothing.	telling her she could not tell her mother or he	
		offending began; stress of FIFO	her clouding.	would be in trouble and would no longer be in	
		work impact on his relationship.	On one occasion OMC pulled the	her life and the period of time over which the	
		work impact on his ferationship.	victim onto her bed and att to touch her	offending occurred.	
			vagina (ct 7).	oriending occurred.	
			vagina (ct 7).	IND Y	V
			On another occasion OMC called out to	The sentencing judge found this offence	
			the victim to come into his bedroom.	serious and the material 'graphic and	
			When the victim eventually did so he	revolting'.	
			was standing, naked, in the doorway (ct	Terotting .	
			8).	Offending significant negative impact on the	
				victim.	
			The victim would try to prevent what	A. A. O.	
			was happening to her and would tell	No acceptance of responsibility; continued to	
			OMC to go away.	deny the offending.	
			When arrested OMCs laptop was seized		
			and was found to contain six videos	X Y	
			depicting penetrative sex of a female		
			child, including very young children,	· 0	
			one of whom looked no more than 3 or		
			4 yrs old.		
				*	
	C II I MI	26.40	DID 1475	DVD 4.455	D: : 1/
6.	Guagliardo v The	36-40 yrs at time offending.	IND 1475	IND 1475	Dismissed (on papers).
	State of Western	44 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 3-4; 6-8: Indec deal child U13 yrs.	Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).	A most - most dispath of south most 4 (INID 2100) and 4 (4.15)
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	Cts 5; 9-10: Sex pen child U13 yrs.	Ct 4: 12 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of sentence ct 4 (IND 2189) and totality
	[2022] WASCA 71	Convicted after trial.	IND 2190	Ct 5: 3 yrs imp (conc).	principle.
	[2023] WASCA 71	No criminal history.	IND 2189 Cts 1-4: Poss CEM.	Ct 6: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 7: 12 mths imp (conc).	At [60] In the present case the seriousness of the contact sex offences
	Delivered	110 Cililliai ilistory.	Cts 1-4. 1 055 CEIVI.	Ct 8: 18 mths imp (conc).	was reflected in the fact that there were four victims and that the
	02/05//2023	Positive childhood; youngest of	IND 1475	Ct 9: 4 yrs imp (cum).	offences involved significant breaches of trust. In each case the
	02/03//2023	two children; strong relationship	The four female victims, P, M, R and S,	Ct 10: 4 yrs imp (conc).	appellant had access to the children because he was a trusted friend of
		with his mother; family remain	were all aged 10 yrs or under at the time	cone,	the family. He obtained access by causing the families to believe that
		supportive.	of the offending.	TES 7 yrs 6 mths imp.	he was providing massages for therapeutic purposes. He used this
		FP	ontonumg.	, ,,,,,,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	access, and the opportunity to touch the children without arousing
		Educated to yr 12; commenced	Guagliardo was friends with the	IND 2189	suspicion, to satisfy his own perverted sexual desires. Whilst no
		university studies before	victims' parents.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc).	physical or verbal coercion was involved, none was needed. On three
		completing TAFE diploma.	1	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	occasions the touching advanced to actual sex pen. The victims were
			P, aged 10 yrs, was travelling as a	Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).	vulnerable having regard to their age. S was particularly vulnerable
		Employed computing field a	passenger seated in the front of	Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc).	due to her autism.
		number of yrs; past 19 yrs worked	Guagliardo's car. During the trip he put	· · · · · · ·	
		as a labourer, delivery driver and	his hands on her inner thigh. He then	TES 2 imp (cum with IND 1475).	At [67] Having regard to the max penalties for the offences, the
_					

storeman; sole financial provider; struggles financially.

Married 22 yrs; wife remains supportive; two young children.

Diagnosed and medicated for ADHD from aged 12 yrs; suffers chronic fatigue; gall bladder issues; abdominal pain; migraines; anxiety and depression.

No issues with alcohol or illicit substance use.

touched and rubbed her vagina over her clothing (ct 3).

Sometime later Guagliardo was with M. While she was sitting on the armrest of a couch he told her he would massage her. During the massage he placed his hand under her underwear and around her genital area, without touching it. He then touched her just above the clitoris. M asked him to stop, but he continued. (ct 4).

M got up and returned a short time later. Guagliardo again placed his hand under her underwear. She asked him to stop, but he did not do so. While his hands were inside her underwear he penetrated her labia with his fingers (ct 5).

R, aged between 7 and 9 yrs of age, was on her bed. Guagliardo offered to give her a massage and she agreed. He commenced massaging her, groping her breasts above her shirt. He stopped when R's mother came into the room (ct 6).

On another occasion, R, aged 9-10 yrs of age, was sitting next to Guagliardo. She agreed to a massage. When he commenced doing so she told him to stop, but he continued. He grabbed R's breasts under her shirt (ct 7) then moved down towards her hips. She again told him to stop but he continued. Guagliardo then put his hands in her pants and started rubbing her vaginal area (ct 8).

S, aged 7 yrs, has autism. She suffered from stomach pains. In consultation with her mother Guagliardo would sometimes massage her stomach to relieve her pain. On one occasion he was massaging her he put his fingers inside her vagina, causing her pain (ct 9). On another occasion he kissed and licked her vulva (ct 10).

TES 9 yrs 6 mths imp.

EFP.

The trial judge found the offending very serious and not at the lowest or lower end of the scale; the quantity of the CEM was significant; some of the material was classified in the worst category, including material that displayed a significant level of perversion or debauchery; the appellant committed the offending over a lengthy period, being a three-yr-period of consistent interaction with CEM files: the material was downloaded on numerous occasions and he copied it across other devices, indicative of a person with a real and significant interest in CEM; the children involved were vulnerable and he preyed on that vulnerability in order to take possession of the CEM; the offending ended only when the CEM was seized, it was not a case of him voluntarily desisting.

Offending profound emotional and psychological effects on the victims; all required counselling to cope with the effects of the offending.

Appellant continued to deny the offending; no demonstrated remorse; real risk of reoffending; guarded prospects of rehabilitation.

seriousness of the offending conduct (including the number of offences and the number of victims), the personal circumstances of the appellant and the sentences imposed in broadly comparable cases, it is not reasonably arguable that the TES ... imposed on IND 1475 was plainly unreasonable or unjust.

At [69] In respect of the CEM offences committed by the appellant the seriousness is reflected in the very large number of images and videos, the period of time over which the material was collected and the nature of that material. It included numerous images and videos in the most serious categories. Whilst there was no evidence that [he] had engaged in this activity for commercial reward, the factors referred to place this into a serious category of offending of this type.

At [75] Having regard to the max penalty for the offence of poss of CEM, the seriousness of the offending conduct in this case (including the number of images and the nature of those images), the personal circumstances of the appellant and sentences imposed in broadly comparable cases, it is not reasonably arguable that the sentence ... on ct 4 on IND 2189 was manifestly excessive.

At [76] As to whether the overall TES of 9 yrs and 6 mths imp infringed the first limb of the totality principle, the offending on both indictments occurred within the same time period but involved separate and distinct conduct. In the circumstances cum sentences were appropriate, ...

			IND 2189 On the investigation of Guagliardo in relation to allegations of sexual offending, his mobile telephone, and a number of his computer devices were seized. His mobile phone and three of the devices were found to contain CEM at Cat 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. The material depicted children in the 8-13 yr age category engaging in sexual activity. The total number of images was 35,435 and 323 videos.		
			When spoken to by police Guagliardo denied the offending.		
5.	NSA v The State of	49-55 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Persistently engaged in sexual	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (cum).	Allowed.
	Western Australia	57 yrs at time sentencing.	conduct child U16 yrs.	Ct 2: 1 yr imp (cum).	
	[2022] \$X/ASCA 52	Consisted often PC (200)	Ct 2: Sex pen child U13 yrs (digital).	Ct 4: 8 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned error in law (cum of sentence of ct 2 with ct 1).
	[2023] WASCA 53	Convicted after PG (20% discount).	Ct 3: Poss CEM. Ct 4: Att PCJ.	Ct 4: 8 mths imp (cum).	Individual sentences not challenged.
	Delivered	discount).	Ct 4. Att I CJ.	Ct 2 reduced from 3 yrs imp for totality and	Resentenced (20% discount):
	06/04//2023	Short and minor criminal history.	The victims, S and T, are brother and	Ct 4 reduced from 18 mths imp for totality.	resembled (20% discount).
			sister and NSA's children. T has a		Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (cum).
		Good childhood; supportive	cognitive impairment.	TES 7 yrs imp.	Ct 2: 3 yr imp (conc).
		parents and younger siblings.		O [×]	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cum).
		V::	By reason of a Family Court order S	EFP.	Ct 4: 11 mths imp (cum).
		Victim of sexual abuse aged 10 yrs.	was placed in the care of her father. Over a period of five yrs, from the time	The sentencing judge found the offending	Ct 4 reduced from 18 mths for totality.
		y15.	she was 11 or 12 yrs old, NSA engaged	against S was prolonged and insidious having	Ct + reduced from 16 mais for totality.
		Dyslexic; left school yr 10.	in varying kinds of sexual conduct with	regard to the pretexts created by the appellant	TES 6 yrs 3 mths imp.
			S (ct 1).	in order to cover his offending and his	
		Regular employment history;		ongoing sexualisation of S; S was particularly	EFP.
		worked variety of jobs.	When S was 12 yrs old NSA penetrated	vulnerable and T a very vulnerable young	
		T 11/1/11 1 11/1/11	her vagina with his finger (ct 2).	person by reason of his cognitive impairment.	At [49] s 321A(13) precluded the sentencing judge from ordering
		Two adult children in addition to S and T; at time of sentencing	In addition to the conduct the subject of	The sentencing judge found the att to PCJ	the sentence she imposed on ct 2 to be served cum upon the term imposed on ct 1 it was not open to the sentencing judge to order the
		with current partner four yrs.	cts 1 and 2 NSA would engage in other	serious; he enlisted the assistance of others	accumulation of the sentence on ct 2 with the sentence on ct 1
		with contone paramet 1862 year	inappropriate conduct towards S.	close to his daughter to guilt her into	
		Reasonable physical health.		withdrawing her assertions.	At [75] the sexual acts the subject of ct 1, did not include the
			NSA's mobile phone was found to		offending the subject of ct 2.
			contain three photographs of T, aged	Demonstrated lack of victim empathy and	
		X	about 12 years old, posing in women's	insight into consequences of his behaviour.	At [120] the appellant's offending the subject of ct 1 had a number
			lingerie and high-heeled shoes. The photographs were classified at Cat 1 (ct		of serious elements. The appellant's offending involved an egregious breach of the position of trust occupied by the parent of a child. As the
			3).		appellant's daughter, S was, 'particularly vulnerable'. The
			,		offending was extremely prolonged, occurring over a period spanning
			In custody, NSA used intermediaries to		five yrs. The appellant engaged in a series of pretexts to facilitate his
			suborn S to not cooperate in the		carrying out of the various sexual acts. Further, the offending has
			prosecution against him (ct 4).		had a profound adverse effect upon S.

4.	De Mouilpied v	50 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Producing CEM.	Ct 1: 2 yrs 10 mths imp.	Dismissed (leave refused).
	The State of		Ct 2-10 & 12: Indec deal child 13-16	Ct 2-10 & 12: 5 mths imp (conc, cum ct 1).	
	Western Australia	Convicted after early PG (25%	yrs.	Ct 11 & 13: 2 mths imp (conc, cum ct 1).	Appeal concerned error (characterisation of seriousness of offending
		discount).	Ct 11 & 13: Indec act.	Ct 14: 15 mths imp (conc).	subject of ct 1); length of sentence on ct 1 and totality principle.
	[2023] WASCA 22		Ct 14: Poss CEM.		
	D 11 1	No prior criminal history.	TT	TES 3 yrs 5 mths imp.	At [52] It cannot reasonably be said that the offending the subject of ct
	Delivered	g	The three female victims, all aged 15	FED	1 was at the lower or lowest end of the scale of offending of its kind.
	07/02//2023	Supportive parents.	yrs, would walk past De Mouilpied's	EFP.	Over an extended period of time, the appellant produced 62 video clips
		Bachelor of Nursing.	home on the way to school. De Mouilpied would stand at his window	The sentencing judge found the offending the	in which he filmed himself masturbating while a significant number of young children watched. The appellant's behaviour had the capacity to
		Bachelof of Nurshig.	facing the street and masturbate. His	subject of ct 1 'a very serious offence and is	disturb, shock and corrupt his young victims. Moreover, eight of the
		Good employment history; police	behaviour was seen by the victims (cts	not offending at the lower or lowest level end	video clips involved the appellant enticing children, who were very
		officer aged 19-26 yrs; paediatric	2-13).	of the scale of offending of this kind'.	young, to engage in sexual behaviour. The worst instance was the
		nurse time of arrest.	2 13).	of the scale of offending of this kind.	video clip the appellant produced that showed a very young child being
		naise time of arrest.	During a search of De Mouilpied's	The sentencing judge found the appellant's	sex pen twice by an adult.
		Married 16 yrs; separated; two	home his mobile phone was located.	offending serious; he procured or encouraged	The part of the state of the st
		further relationships; single at	Sixty-two video clips of CEM were	children to engage in sexual behaviour, one	At [53] Although the appellant was not in the same room as his child
		time sentencing.	found on the device. These recordings	child as young as four yrs of age; by this	victims, nor did he touch them, the use of video chat rooms to remotely
			were made when he would interact over	conduct he exploited, humiliated and	entice victims to engage in sexual behaviour or to watch an adult
		Suffered stroke aged 26 yrs; heart	webcam with female children under 16	corrupted the children; he also deliberately	engage in sexual behaviour involves serious and substantial
		condition, not causing any	yrs of age on an internet chat site and he	masturbated and exposed children to that	criminality
		significant long-term health	would invite the children to 'play', that	sexual behaviour; he recorded the conduct on	
		issues; experienced number of	is engage in sexual activity.	his mobile phone to do with it as he saw fit;	At [54] It is clear the appellant produced the CEM for his sexual
		traumatic events, including		continually re-victimising the children	gratification and for the thrill it provided. While there is nothing to
		episodes of violence and suicide	On at least eight occasions the child or	involved every time he viewed the footage.	suggest [he] intended to distribute the CEM he produced, had [he]
		as police officer and nurse;	children complied with De Mouilpied's	Demonstrate developed insight into his	intended to distribute the CEM, whether or not for profit, his offending would have been worse. The absence of these circumstances does not
		antidepressants at time	requests. On other occasions, the child	Remorseful; developed insight into his offending on undertaking psychological	
		sentencing.	or children did not engage in sexual activities but were present and watched	treatment.	mean that his actions did not constitute serious, or very serious, offending.
			De Mouilpied masturbate (cts 1 & 14).	treatment.	oriending.
			De Mountpied mastaroate (ets 1 et 14).		At [64] it is clear that the sentence imposed on ct 1 properly
			Eight of the video clips were classified		reflected the seriousness of the appellant's offending The sentence
			at Cat 1; 2 and Cat 4. The Cat 4 video		is not manifestly excessive.
			clip depicted a child of about 4 yrs of		
			age engaging in sexual acts with an		At [67] The offending the subject of cts 2 – 13 involved deliberate,
			adult male.		persistent and highly offensive behaviour towards three separate
			X		victims over the course of eight days. The victims were vulnerable
			Also located on De Mouilpied's mobile		children walking to school, as the appellant well knew and relied upon.
			phone were video clips of him		Given its separate and distinct nature, the offending required
			masturbating to school children walking		additional overall punishment to the offending the subject of ct 1.
			past his window. These videos were not		A. 1601 Y
			the subject of any of the charges dealt		At [68] In our opinion, the TES was a proper reflection of the
			with.		appellant's overall criminality involved in all of the offences, viewed
2	Newton v The	21 24 yrs at time offending	Ct 1, 29, 20, 22, 25, 27 & 20, Indee	Cto 1: 20: 20: 22: 27 8: 20: 10 mths imm	in their entirety, having regard to the circumstances of the case, Dismissed.
3.	State of Western	31-34 yrs at time offending. 36 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1; 28; 30; 33; 35; 37 & 39: Indec deal child U13 yrs.	Cts 1; 28; 30; 33; 37 & 39: 18 mths imp	Dishiisseu.
	Australia	50 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 2-6; 9; 10; 14; 16; 20; 22; 24 & 26:	(conc). Ct 2: 5 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned length of sentence (individual sentences not
	1 Lusti alla	Convicted after PG (25%	Sex pen child U13 yrs.	Cts 3; 4 & 20: 5 yrs imp (conc).	challenged).
	[2023] WASCA 7	discount).	Cts 7; 8, 11-13; 15; 17-19; 21; 23; 25;	Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (cum).	Chancing Ca).
	[27; 29; 31; 32; 34; 36; 38 & 40: Indec	Cts 6; 7; 9; 11-13; 15; 17-19; 21; 23; 25; 27;	At [7] While we accept that the TES imposed on the appellant was
	1	1	, , , , , - ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, p

	Delivered 17/01//2023	No prior criminal history.	recording child U13 yrs. Cts 41 & 42: Poss CEM.	29; 31; 32; 34; 36; 38; 40 & 42: 12 mths imp (conc).	certainly high, and at the upper end of the range of sentences customarily imposed following pleas of guilty for offending of this
	17/01//2023	Only child from parents' union;	Ct 43: Fail to obey data access order.	Cts 8 & 35: 12 mths imp (cum).	type, we are not satisfied that the TES was so high as to manifest
		three older half-siblings; parents	or 13.1 an to obey data access order.	Cts 10; 14; 16; 26; 22 & 24: 4 yrs imp (conc).	error. The sexual offending involved a high degree of criminality
		profoundly deaf; mother suffering	Newton was a close friend of the	Ct 41: 15 mths imp (conc).	and the fact that he recorded the offending, for his own gratification,
		cancer time of sentencing.	victim's mother and her stepfather.	Ct 43: 3 mths imp (cum).	distinguished his offending from a number of the previous cases relied
			Over a period of about four and a half		upon by him. The offending, as a whole, called for a very substantial
		Left school yr 11; TAFE studies;	yrs Newton repeatedly sexually abused	TES 12 yrs 6 mths imp.	term of imp and we are not satisfied that the learned sentencing judge
		university degree.	the victim from when she was eight yrs	EED	erred in imposing the sentence that she did.
		Employed verious reless most	old.	EFP.	At [62] The centence was certainly severe It nevertheless fits
		Employed various roles; most recent work ceased following	The sexual activity occurred in a	The sentencing judge found the offending	At [63] The sentence was certainly severe. It nevertheless fits broadly within the range of sentences imposed for offending of this
		charges.	caravan occupied by Newton and at	serious; the victim was very young; the	type, and the present case had a number of particular features not
		charges.	another address at which he resided.	significant age disparity between her and the	present in many of the authorities.
		Number of short-term		appellant; the gross breach of trust; the	Francisco de la company de la
		relationships; no significant	The offences involved the penetration	persistence of the offending and the fact the	At [64] the offending itself was very serious. In particular it
		unions since aged 20 yrs.	of the victim's vagina with his penis.	appellant recorded much of it.	involved four distinct categories of offending, the presence of which
			He also penetrated her mouth and		called for accumulation of terms of imp, thus increasing the TES. The
		History of cannabis and alcohol	vagina with his penis and took	The sentencing judge found the CEM material	presence of these additional categories serves to distinguish the present
		use.	photographs of the offending.	in the appellant's poss included material in the more serious category of CEM.	case from many of the cases on which the appellant relied.
			On other occasions Newton took		At [65] the sexual offending against the victim was itself very
			photographs standing naked over the	Accepting of responsibility; evidence of	serious, given the victim's young age, the significant age disparity
			victim, while her legs were in the air	remorse; average risk of reoffending.	between the appellant and the victim, the gross breach of trust for his
			and his penis was pointed toward her	O y	own sexual gratification and the significant period over which and
			vagina and while the victim was kneeling in front of his erect penis.	1	numerous (18) occasions on which the offending occurred. The seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently
			kneering in front of his creet penis.) ×	and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual
			On the execution of a SW at Newton's		gratification.
			address, a computer and hard drive were		
			located, which later revealed 11,009		At [66] the offending included recording and retaining
			images or videos of CEM material.		photographs of his offending on the victim. That conduct contributed
					substantially to [his] overall criminality. [He] recorded his abuse of the
			Six comic books depicting children		victim for his own sexual gratification, in essence to extend and
			engaged in sexual poses or activities were also found.		prolong his gratification from abusing the victim into the future. In this
			were also found.		way, the victim could be said to have been re-victimised each time [he] viewed, and used, those images for his sexual gratification.
			Also located was Newton's tablet		viewed, and used, those images for his sexual gratification.
			device, for which he refused to provide		At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's poss
			the passcode.		called for a further increase in the TES.
		\$	\		At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often
_	O 771 C	10.40	0. 12 (0.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	G. 100 A. d. i	be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order.
2.	Oreo v The State of Western	48-49 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1-2; 6-8: Indec deal child 13-16 yrs.	Cts 1 & 2: 4 mths imp (conc).	Allowed.
	oj western Australia	50 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 3-5; 9: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs. Ct 10: Procured a child U13 yrs to do	Cts 3 & 4: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 5: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Appeal concerned miscarriage of justice (erroneous understanding
	LINDS WILL	Convicted after early PG (25%	indec act.	Cts 6 & 7: 4 mths imp (conc).	conduct in relation to J was criminal in that J was U18 yrs and any
	[2022] WASCA 62	discount).	Ct 11: Poss CEM.	Cts 8 & 10: 12 mths imp (conc).	belief J was at least 16 yrs not mitigating).
				Ct 9: 18 mths imp (cum).	
	Delivered	Minor prior criminal history.	The offending involved two separate	Ct 11: 12 mths imp (cum).	Sent back to District Court for re-sentencing.

03/06/2022

Two siblings; loving and caring parents; not subjected to abuse; father alcohol-dependent; witnessed his father assault his mother.

Parents deceased; supportive sister.

Homosexual; came out 3 yrs prior to sentencing; difficulties dealing with his sexuality.

Completed yr 10 high school.

Sound work history; employed at time of offending.

History of amphetamine and methyl abuse.

victims, J and T, both 14-yr old boys, and three separate incidents.

The first victim, J, met Oreo on an online dating application. On the site J indicated he was about 20 yrs old. When Oreo questioned J as to his age he told Oreo he was 16 yrs old.

Oreo met J at a public toilet. J was wearing his school uniform. Inside a toilet stall they kissed and engaged in a number of sexual acts (cts 1-6).

Oreo and J continued to communicate with each other about meeting for sex.

A few days later they again arranged to meet. Oreo picked J up in his car before he went to school. J was again wearing his school uniform. After parking the car Oreo kissed J on the mouth, touched his penis and performed oral sex on him, before dropping J near his school (cts 7-9).

After this incident J's mother found text messages on her son's phone about meeting men for sex and contacted the police. When interviewed J disclosed the offending and identified Oreo from a digiboard.

About a month later Oreo met the second victim, T, through a dating application. They began communicating by text and in one text message T told Oreo he was 14 yrs-old.

Oreo then sent T multiple sexually explicit text messages and arranged to meet him, implicitly for the purpose of engaging in sexual activity (ct 10).

During the text messages Oreo asked T to send him a photo of his penis and he complied. On receiving the photo Oreo messaged another phone contact stating, 'I have a horny 14-yr-old for you tomorrow'. He then att to send the

TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.

EFP.

The sentencing judge found there was a significant likelihood the appellant was aware J was under the age of 16 yrs and that he was aware T was 14-yrs old.

The sentencing judge found the offending aggravated by the fact it involved two different 14-yr-old males; the offending and surrounding text messages indicated a sexual interest in underage males and his willingness to act on that interest; it was premediated; involved unprotected pen sexual activity; there was a significant age disparity; he sent messages and intended to distribute the image of T's penis in an att to enlist other adult males to engage in sexual activity with T and he suggested J use illicit drugs as a sexual aid.

The sentencing judge concluded that some accumulation was appropriate to reflect the fact that there were two separate complainants and three separate incidents.

High risk of reoffending; some acceptance of responsibility; no insight into the impact of his offending behaviour or taken full responsibility for his offending behaviour.

At [48] ... it was an admitted fact that J had told the appellant he was 16 yrs old. While we accept that the appellant may have faced some challenges in proving an honest belief, we cannot conclude that he had no reasonable prospect of doing so. The fact that counsel's misapprehension effectively deprived the appellant of the opportunity to att to prove that fact constitutes a miscarriage of justice in these circumstances.

At [52] ... we are satisfied that the misunderstanding of defence counsel gave rise to a miscarriage of justice in all the circumstances of this case.

ipers.
le.
offending was very serious. The
respect of four victims, all of whom
erable. B was a toddler. E and C were
at took advantage of the relationships
sexually abuse the victims. The
ed over about a yr in the case of E and
C. While the offending in relation to
eriod and was less physically
e and all the circumstances of the
ree of criminality.
•
t commit the offences the subject of
e had done The only reasonable
the appellant's recording of the
h it in the future for his sexual
ellant committed the offence against
very substantial amount of CEM
having regard to the number of
by the appellant, to the seriousness of
reduction of the individual sentences
the totality principle
7 1 1

	images; Cat 4: 731 videos and 1,418 and in Cat 5: 178 videos and 328	
	images.	