Possess prohibited drug with intent to sell or supply

s 6(1)(a) *Misuse of Drugs Act* MDMA, Heroin, Cocaine, Methylamphetamine/Amphetamine/Ketamine

From 1 January 2021

Note: This chart is arranged in ascending order of the total weight of prohibited drugs. Weights of drugs the subject of attempts to possess or offer to sell or supply offences are included in the total weight, together with those amounts actually possessed, supplied or sold, and are separately noted in the weight column as well. Please refer to the summary of facts or the judgement itself for the circumstances of those offences. Weights of cannabis have not been included in the total weights.

Glossary:

att attempt
agg aggravating
conc concurrent
cum cumulative
ct count

EFP eligible for parole imp imprisonment

MDMA 3,4-Methylenedioxy-n, Alpha Dimethylphenylethylamine (Ecstasy)

methyl methylamphetamine OMG outlaw motorcycle gang

PG plead guilty

SIO suspended imprisonment order

susp suspended SW search warrant

TES total effective sentence UCO undercover officer

VRO violence restraining order wiss with intent to sell or supply

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal	Quantity
49.	Croxford v The	43 yrs at time offending.	1 x Poss methyl wiss 4.04 g.	9 mths imp.	Allowed.	4.04 g.
	State of Western	44 yrs at time sentencing.				
	Australia		Croxford was a passenger in a motor	EFP.	Appeal concerned error of fact (sentenced on erroneous basis low-	
		Convicted after early PG (25%	vehicle stopped by police. The		level dealer for profit).	
	[2021] WASCA	discount).	vehicle and its occupants, including	The sentencing judge found the offending	Č-	
	159		Croxford, were searched.	so serious that only a term of imp was	Resentenced (25% discount):	
		Criminal history; prior drug		warranted; the offending could not be		
	Delivered	offending.	A water bottle with a hidden	viewed as an uncharacteristic aberration.	8 mths imp.	
	06/09/2021		compartment, scales and a large		EFP.	
		History of childhood trauma	quantity of clipseal bags were found	The sentencing judge accepted imp would		
		and abuse; under psychiatric	in the vehicle. These items belonged	be more difficult for the appellant due to	At [50] While by no means as serious as many other cases of its	
		care and treatment at various	to the driver.	her physical and mental impairments.	type, the appellant's offending conduct was serious. It is clear from	
		times from aged 12 yrs;		T J	the Facebook messages which were downloaded from the	
		multiple periods of	Also located was \$25,800 in cash in	Some remorse and acceptance of	appellant's mobile tablet that she was involved in the sale or	
		hospitalisation.	the possession of another occupant of	responsibility for the offending;	supply of small quantities of methyl to others over a period of	
		nospitansation.	the vehicle.	undertaken rehabilitation.	time. In other words, the offending was not an isolated occurrence.	
		Resides alone, shares care of	the veinere.	differences residentiation.	time. In other words, the orienting was not an isolated occurrence.	
		her teenage son; able to live	Croxford and the other occupants of			
		independently with	the vehicle were conveyed to a police		At [51] Her low-level drug dealing was not motivated by the	
		considerable assistance from	station. There, Croxford gave police		desire to make a profit. Of the 4.04 g she possessed, 3.1 g was	
		her parents; parents remain	three clipseal bags she had concealed		being temporarily held by her The balance would have been	
			down the front of her pants. The bags	C. X		
		supportive; provided with some			partly used by the appellant and partly sold at cost price to friends.	
		assistance by NDIS.	contained 4.04 g of methyl.	Oy	Her mental disabilities reduced her moral culpability The	
		Come time in the weathforce.	Chantend told malian she wood mother	A C	appellant's mental and physical disabilities, including brain	
		Some time in the workforce;	Croxford told police she used methyl		damage, have consequences which make imp more difficult to her.	
		recent yrs in receipt of a	as a medication for her arthritis and	XO		
		disability pension.	was stocking up on the drug because			
		D' 1 'A ADID 1	it was very hard to get.			
		Diagnosed with ADHD and				
		Borderline Personality	Later examination of Croxford's			
		Disorder; 1998 acquired brain	mobile tablet showed messages that			
		injury resulting from overdose;	revealed, in the period leading up to			
		number of medical conditions	the offence, she was engaged in low-			
		including depression and	level drug dealing.			
		asthma; experiences debilitating	X			
		pain and stiffness as a result of				
		rheumatoid arthritis.				
		Lengthy history of illicit drug	2,0			
		use commencing at early age;	CX			
		including heroin and methyl.	X			
48.	Wade v The State	31 yrs. at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 9.71 g.	Ct 1: 2 yrs 3 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed – on papers- leave refused.	9.71 g.
	of Western		Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained	Ct 2: 15 mths imp (cum).		
	Australia	Convicted after PG (20%	property (\$112,750 cash).		Appeal concerned length of sentence ct 1 and totality principle.	
		discount ct 1 & 25% discount ct		TES 3 yrs 6 mths imp.		
	[2022] WASCA	2).	A SW was executed at Wade's home.	- J	At [16]-[17] There is no merit in the appellant's contention that the	
	68	-/-	During the search \$2,000 cash and	EFP.	sentence of imp in respect of ct 1 was manifestly excessive. The	
		Significant prior criminal	2.99 g of methyl was located in the		individual sentence represents only 9% of the available max term	

Delivered 21/06/2022	history; prior drug offending. Dysfunctional childhood; very young when father committed suicide; mother subsequently lived unsettled nomadic lifestyle; lived between mother's care and foster care from aged 6 mths. Living my himself aged 13 yrs. Left school yr 8. Completed trade apprenticeship and certificate in business management. Good employment history. Stable 3 yrs relationship. Sporadic methyl use from young age; at times using 1.7 g	lounge room. A further 0.43 g of methyl was found in another room. Also located, buried under pavers in the backyard inside a large container, was 6.29 g of methyl and \$110,750 in cash stored in cryovac packages. An encrypted cypher mobile phone was also found, along with smoking implements, a cryovac machine and associated packaging. Wade admitted the items, other than the mobile phone, were his. He was going to use some of the 9.71 g of methyl, share some with other people and sell some. He was 'warehousing' the money found in the backyard, which was destined for other people.	The sentencing judge found that a term of imp was the only appropriate disposition; not sentenced on the basis that the money was the proceeds of his own sale of methyl.	of imp Further, the sentence imposed on the appellant is broadly consistent with customary sentencing standards for drug offences of the present kind At [19] While the offences were detected at the same time, the poss of the methyl concerned a separate criminal activity to the poss of the cash. The appellant was in poss of the methyl for the purpose of using and distributing the drug. He was sentenced on the basis that the money buried in his backyard was not his and was not the product of his drug dealing. Rather, the appellant was storing money reasonably suspected of being the result of drug distribution by other persons. The amount being stored was over \$110,000. Given the qualitatively different nature and order of the criminality involved in the two offences, at least some accumulation of the sentences was appropriate.	
47. Pearman v The State of Western Australia [2021] WASCA 106 Delivered 22/06/2021	per day. 34 yrs at time sentencing. Late PG (10% discount). Modest criminal history. Born UK; emigrated to Australia with parents aged 17 yrs. Challenging childhood; domestic violence; poor maternal attachment; bullying and physical and emotional and sexual victimisation; emotionally vulnerable. Estranged from family. Completed equivalent of yr 10 high school. Employed various low-level positions; ability to work affected by drug use.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 13.38 g. Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained property (\$2,280 cash). In the early hrs of the morning police stopped and searched a motor vehicle, of which Pearman was the only occupant. Methyl was located in two clip-seal bags in Pearman's purse. Also located was \$2,280 in cash. In the vehicle police also found empty clip-seal bags, electronic scales, measuring straws, a 'tick list' and a mobile phone with messages evidencing the sale of illicit drugs. Pearman had been selling drugs for a number of months in order to fund her own drug habit.	Ct 1: 2 yrs 4 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (conc). TES 2 yrs 4 mths imp. EFP. The sentencing judge found the offending was not isolated, but rather was part of an ongoing course of selling methyl for profit. Accepting of responsibility; remorseful; wished to mend her ways.	Dismissed – on papers. Appeal concerned length of sentence. At [12] Given the general sentencing principles as to the appropriate type of sentences for serious drug offence the conclusion that a term of immediate imp was the only appropriate sentence was inevitable in the present case. Nor can it reasonably be argued that the length of the sentence imposed in this case was not broadly consistent with customary sentencing standards for offending of this kind. At [19] The sentence imposed is a moderate sentence, In all of the circumstances of this case, it is not reasonably arguable that a sentence of less than 2 yrs 4 mths' immediate imp would be commensurate with the seriousness of the drug offence of which the appellant was convicted.	13.38 g.

		Two sons; aged 13yrs and 10				
		yrs; no or limited contact with her children.				
		Illicit drug use.				
		Chronic mental health challenges.				
46.	Turner v The	27 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Poss MDMA wiss 8.57g at 85%	Ct 1: 18 mths imp (cum).	Allowed (length of sentence ct 2).	13.53 g.
	State of Western Australia	28 yrs at time sentencing.	purity. Ct 2: Poss cannabis wiss 362.45 g.	Ct 2: 2 yrs 8 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned length of individual sentences and totality	
	Australia	Convicted after very late PG	Ct 2. Poss calliables wiss 302.43 g. Ct 3: Poss methyl wiss 4.96g at 80%	Ct 3. 3 yrs 6 mais mip (cum).	principle.	
	[2021] WASCA	(5% discount) – TOI to resolve	purity.	TES 5 yrs imp.	principle.	
	132	dispute as to appellant's intent		3 1	Resentenced (5% discount):	
		to sell or supply.	Turner was stopped by police driving	EFP.		
	Delivered		a vehicle. His 10-yr-old son was a		Ct 1: 12 mths imp (cum).	
	28/07/2021	Criminal history; no prior drug	passenger in the vehicle.	The sentencing judge found at the TOI that	Ct 2: 10 mths imp (conc).	
		offences.	A search of the vehicle located four	the appellant was a mid-level user/dealer;	Ct 3: 2 yrs 10 mths imp (cum).	
		Parents separated when aged 18	vacuum sealed bags containing	while some of the drugs were for his personal use, he intended to sell or supply	TES 3 yrs 10 mths imp.	
		yrs.	41.88g; 280g; 26.4g and 14.07g of	the majority of the drugs.	TES 5 yrs 10 mms mp.	
		J	cannabis.		EFP.	
		Completed yr 10 high school.		The sentencing judge found immediate		
			In a further vacuum sealed bag 8.57g	imp was the only appropriate sentence.	At [23]-[24] The element of commerciality involved in the	
		Became father aged 17 yrs;	of MDMA was located.		offending was limited However, the offending was not fleeting,	
		separated from son's mother	I I 10 400 6 4 1	Not remorseful; some credit given for	unplanned or out of character. The appellant engaged in a course	
		shortly after his birth.	In a clip seal bag 4.96g of methyl was found.	limited cooperation.	of distributing three different types of prohibited drug. While he dealt with drug users known to him, he did so regularly and to	
		Consistent work history;	was found.		some extent for commercial gain. It was an aggravating feature of	
		gardener and handyman.	• . «	\supset	the offending that the appellant's 10-yr-old son was present in the	
		garactics and many man			vehicle [he] used to transport the prohibited drugs.	
		Regular user of illicit drugs;				
		taking and sharing drugs with			At [27] the sentence imposed for the cannabis offence is	
		friends a normal way of life.	c the		so far in excess of that which is properly capable of being regarded	
			C		as commensurate with the seriousness of the cannabis offence so	
					as to drive us to the conclusion that the sentence is manifestly	
			0		excessive, notwithstanding that it is to be served conc with other sentences.	
45.	ENW v The State	35 yrs at time offending.	1 x Poss methyl wiss 22.44 g at 74%	12 mths imp.	Allowed.	22.44 g.
	of Western	38 yrs at time sentencing.	purity.	r		
	Australia	·		EFP.	Appeal concerned type of sentence.	
		Prior criminal history;	Police executed a SW at ENW and			
	[2021] WASCA	numerous drug offences; no	her partner's home.	The sentencing judge found the appellant	Resentenced:	
	213	previous sentences of imp.	Wilson and a surface I DAINY?	was 'momentarily' in joint possession with	15 males in an arm divisorables areas 10 males	
	Dolivarad	Convicted often BC (100/	When police arrived ENW's partner	her partner of the big bag of methyl; she	15 mths imp, conditionally susp 12 mths.	
	Delivered 15/12/2021	Convicted after PG (10% discount) (25% discount for	told her there was a bag of methyl in the bedroom, but he was uncertain as	aware her partner was engaged in dealing drugs and, while she was not involved in	At [68] a term of imp to be served immediately was not the	
	13/14/4041	assistance provided).	to its exact location. ENW att to find	aiding him in his drug dealings, she	only appropriate sentencing option in relation to the appellant and	
		assistance provided).	the bag to assist him to hide it more	permitted him to use her mobile telephone	the offence she committed; and the appellant's case is, as a	

		Childhood adversely affected	securely.	from time to time in connection with his	matter of fact, exceptional.	
		by trauma. Exposed to a number of tragic	During the search police located the bag, containing 20.8 g of methyl. A	drug dealing business and she benefited from his drug dealing by receiving from time to time small quantities of methyl for	At [69]-[72] the appellant's joint possession with her partner of the big bag of methyl was fleeting. She did not at any time have	
		events as an adult.	second clipseal bag containing 0.64 g of methyl was also found.	her own use.	physical possession of the drugs. Her unfulfilled intention, for less than one minute, was to take control of the drugs and hide them	
		Completed high school; some TAFE studies.	Two digital scales and clipseal bags	The sentencing judge found it was not appropriate to suspend the term of imp.	from the police before the police arrived at the appellant's home to execute the SW, the appellant was unaware of the	
		Employed in hospitality until birth of first child in her early	with a white residue were also found. Forensic analysis of the clipseal bag	Remorseful; accepting of responsibility for her offending; engaged in and positive	existence of the big bag of methyl the appellant's offending was very unusual having regard to what she did and what she did not do in relation to the big bag of methyl, including the very short	
		20s; son now aged 17 yrs.	containing the 20.8 g returned a DNA match to ENW's partner. ENW's	response to counselling.	period of her offending conduct and the very short period that she was aware of the existence of the drugs Further, it appears that	
		Second child to current partner born 2021; care of her baby	DNA was not found on the bag.		[she] was not aware of the full extent of her partner's drug dealing.	
		while in custody; imp significant impact on her children and elderly parents.	ENW falsely informed police the drugs were hers.	Richard		
		History of drug use; not used				
		methyl since becoming pregnant September 2020.				
44.	The State of	30 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Poss heroin 2.38 g.	Ct 1: \$500 fine.	Appeal allowed.	25.88 g.
	Western Australia v	34 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Poss heroin wiss 23.5 g at 71%	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of sentence imposed on at 2 and first	
	Gholizadeh	Convicted after PG (20%	purity. Ct 3: Poss unlawfully obtained	Ct 3: 6 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of sentence imposed on ct 2 and first limb of totality principle.	
	Gnouzaaen	discount).	property \$4,990.	TES: 12 mths imp.	inno or totality principle.	
	[2024] WASCA	discounty.	property \$4,770.	TES. 12 mais imp.	Resentenced:	
	45	Criminal history; minor drug	After police were called to the scene	EFP.		
		and traffic offences.	of a traffic incident, the respondent		Ct 1: \$500 fine.	
	Delivered		was found slumped over the steering	Sentenced as a low-level dealer.	Ct 2: 3 yrs imp (conc).	
	30/04/2024	Born in Iran; travelled to	wheel of his car. A search of the car		Ct 3: 6 mths imp (conc).	
		Australia as a refugee at 21 yrs;	was undertaken. Police located a	The sentencing judge accepted that the		
		detained in immigration detention for 12 mths.	small black bag containing 2.38 g of heroin and a pink bag containing 23.5	respondent had taken positive steps towards rehabilitation. The respondent was	TES: 3 yrs imp.	
			g of heroin.	found to be remorseful and at low risk of	At [48] ' the quantity of heroin possessed by the respondent was	
		Completed compulsory military service in Iran; worked as a	Police also legated a set of digital	reoffending.	significant in terms of size, purity and value. The respondent was	
		carpenter and cabinet maker in	Police also located a set of digital scales, and the respondent's mobile	The sentencing judge found that the	not a mere courier or bailee. His involvement in the possession of the heroin was not brief or limited in scope. He was in possession	
		Australia; lost work due to	phone contained numerous messages	respondent was only dealing in small	of the heroin because he was actively engaged in drug dealing for	
		COVID-19.	connected with the sale and supply of drugs.	amounts to fund his habit.	profit.'	
		Commenced using drugs			At [49] 'the respondent's admission that he was a drug dealer was	
		following the death of his	Police also searched the respondent's		amply confirmed by the telephone messages, the scales found in	
		brother; sold drugs to fund his	house and found \$6,990 in cash; it		his possession and the cash found at his houseThe respondent	
		drug use.	was accepted \$4,990 of that cash was		was plainly a retail or street level dealer, but the telephone	
			the proceeds of drug dealing.		messages reveal that he had many customers and was active in seeking to source and sell his product. This places ct 2 in its proper context.'	

43.	O'Malley v The State of Western Australia [2021] WASCA 8 Delivered 14/01/2021	35 yrs time offending. 36 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after PG (20% discount). Lengthy criminal history; prior convictions for drug offending. Completed yr 10 high school; Certificate 3 in Warehousing and Distribution. Employed as a mechanic and spray-painter; more recently his own automotive and bodywork business. Child from prior relationship; current partner young daughter and expecting a baby early 2021. History of illicit drug use; cannabis use early teens; methyl in his twenties; using methyl daily in his thirties. Suffers coeliac disease; treated with diet; otherwise in good physical health.	1 x Poss methyl wiss 26.49 g at 78% purity. O'Malley drove from Geraldton to Perth and purchased a quantity of methyl for \$4,800. The methyl was jointly purchased by him and two others. On the return journey O'Malley was stopped by police. A search located 2.6 g of methyl contained within two small bags in his pants and 23.8 g of the drug in the boot of his car. A search of O'Malley's home located smoking implements, digital scales, unused clipseal bags and one clipseal bag with methyl in it. O'Malley claimed the methyl discovered was for his personal use.	3 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP. The sentencing judge found the appellant's offending was 'really to sustain his drug habit'; by the appellant's own admission he intended to distribute two lots of methyl (approx 8.3 g) to each of his co-purchases; he was to make a profit measured more in terms of the actual drug itself than in dollar notes by getting a one-third share of the drug at a heavily discounted price. Steps taken towards rehabilitation; alcohol and drug counselling; self-reporting to a psychologist.	At [50] 'as regards the respondent's personal circumstances, his history as a refugee deserves sympathy, but it cannot, of course, excuse or justify engaging in drug dealing for a profit.' At [51] 'as to comparable cases, the cases referred to by the State support a conclusion that the sentence imposed in this case was manifestly inadequate. The fact that those cases relate to methyl rather than heroin does not deprive them of utility. Heroin and methyl are comparable in terms of seriousness and attract the same maximum penalty at the quantities involved in this case. It must also be recognised that methyl has become a much more common drug and that there are comparatively few recent cases dealing with heroin.' At [62] 'the sentence imposed was inconsistent with sentencing standards established in other comparable cases. Further, the sentence on count 2 failed to adequately reflect the maximum penalty for that offence.' Dismissed – on papers. Appeal concerned length of sentence. At [31] The offence involved planning and organisation. It cannot be overlooked that the appellant intended to supply to each of his co-purchases approx 8.3 g of methyl. There remained a real risk that the drugs supplied to the co-purchasers would be further supplied into the community. Even if the methyl was to be used by the co-purchasers themselves, the drug's deleterious effect posed a significant risk to the health of the co-purchases and potentially a risk to the public, given the negative effect methyl has on the behaviour of many who consume it. At [32] Moreover, it cannot be said that the appellant did not obtain some commercial benefit for what he did. The appellant profited in the sense that he got his one-third portion of the methyl at a heavily discounted price, even allowing for the expense of travelling to and from Perth.	26.49 g.
42.	Monisse v The	18 yrs 11 mths time offending.	Ct 1: Att poss ketamine wiss 27.8 g.	Ct 1: 22 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed.	27.8 g.

State of Western	19 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained	Ct 2: 10 mths imp (conc).		
Australia	15 yrs at time senteneing.	property (\$1,820 cash).	et 2. To mais imp (cone).	Appeal concerned type of sentence; length of individual sentence	
	Convicted after PG (20%	FF (+-,	TES 22 mths imp.	ct 1 and TES.	
[2021] WASCA	discount).	Monisse sourced ketamine on the	1		
52	,	Dark Web and purchased a quantity	EFP.	At [58]-[59] the appellant's offending was serious. It involved	
	No prior criminal history.	of the drug for about \$2,500.		attempting to possess, wiss, 27.8 g of ketamine. The appellant was	
Delivered			The sentencing judge found a number of	a dealer as well as a user of the drug. The offending on ct 1 was	
26/03/2021	Raised loving family; parents	The parcel, addressed to Monisse,	serious factors to the appellant's offending;	not isolated, opportunistic or impulsive the appellant had been	
	and extended family very	was intercepted at a post office	the significant quantity of ketamine; its	selling or supplying prohibited drugs for some time [He] was	
	supportive.	outlet. It was found to contain 27.8 g	commerciality, in that he was, at least in	selling or supplying prohibited drugs, in part, to make a profit and,	
		of ketamine. The ketamine was	part, selling prohibited drugs in order to	in part, to fund his own drug habit the fact that he had a history	
	Completed yr 12; academically	removed and the parcel was returned	make a profit; it was not an isolated one-	of low level drug dealing demonstrated that the current offences	
	successful; university studies.	to the outlet (ct 1).	off incident and his involvement in the sale and distribution of prohibited drugs had a	were not aberrations and informed his culpability.	
	Worked part-time from aged 14	The following day Monisse collected	level of persistence to it.	At [62] it was reasonably open for the sentencing judge to	
	_	the parcel. He was arrested a short	level of persistence to it.	conclude that it was inappropriate to susp or conditionally susp	
	yrs.	time later.	The sentencing judge characterised the	(wholly or partly) the sentences of imp The types of individual	
	Relationship; partner very	time rater.	appellant a low level drug user-dealer.	sentences were not unreasonable or plainly unjust	
	supportive.	In Monisse's wallet police located	appendit a low level drug user dealer.	sentences were not unreasonable of planify unjust	
	supportive.	\$550 cash and a search of his home	Genuinely remorseful; meaningful steps	At [67]-[68] the TES imposed on the appellant was appropriate	
	Experienced bout of depression	revealed a further \$1,270 cash (ct 2).	taken towards rehabilitation; drug and	The mitigating factors in the present case were properly	
	at university.	1,2 / 0 0 0 0 1 0 2 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	psychological counselling since arrest;	reflected in the length of the individual terms of imp and the length	
		Numerous items associated with	abstained from illicit substance use; low	of the TES.	
	Illicit substance use from aged	illicit drugs, including ketamine,	risk of reoffending.		
	17 yrs; ketamine addiction time	MDMA, cannabis and Xanax were			
	offending;	also located in the bedroom.	A. O		
		Monisse told police that about one			
		quarter of the ketamine was for his			
		own use and he would have sold the			
		balance.			
		Maniage admitted the manay the			
		Monisse admitted the money the			
		subject of ct 2 was the proceeds of			
C1 T1 C4 4		the sale of prohibited drugs.			
	20 44:		C(1 4 '	A 11' ' 1/1 C 1)	27.05
Sharp v The State	32 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Att supp methyl 27.85 g at 80%	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp.	Appeal dismissed (leave refused).	27.85 g.
of Western	32 yrs at time sentencing. 30–31 at time offending.	Ct 1: Att supp methyl 27.85 g at 80% purity.	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc).		27.85 g.
_	30–31 at time offending.	Ct 1: Att supp methyl 27.85 g at 80% purity. Ct 2: Att supp cannabis 23.41 g.	-	Appeal dismissed (leave refused). Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle.	27.85 g.
of Western Australia	30–31 at time offending. Convicted after PG (20%	Ct 1: Att supp methyl 27.85 g at 80% purity.	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 6 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle.	27.85 g.
of Western Australia [2023] WASCA	30–31 at time offending.	Ct 1: Att supp methyl 27.85 g at 80% purity. Ct 2: Att supp cannabis 23.41 g. Ct 3: Fail to obey data access order.	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle. At [35] 'that this was an attempt to introduce drugs into a detention	27.85 g.
of Western Australia	30–31 at time offending. Convicted after PG (20% discount).	Ct 1: Att supp methyl 27.85 g at 80% purity. Ct 2: Att supp cannabis 23.41 g. Ct 3: Fail to obey data access order. The appellant threw two tennis balls	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 6 mths imp (cum). TES: 4 yrs 6 mths imp.	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle. At [35] 'that this was an attempt to introduce drugs into a detention centre was a significant aggravating factorThere is an obvious	27.85 g.
of Western Australia [2023] WASCA 142	30–31 at time offending. Convicted after PG (20% discount). Extensive criminal history;	Ct 1: Att supp methyl 27.85 g at 80% purity. Ct 2: Att supp cannabis 23.41 g. Ct 3: Fail to obey data access order. The appellant threw two tennis balls into the Yongah Hill Detention	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 6 mths imp (cum). TES: 4 yrs 6 mths imp. The sentencing judge described the	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle. At [35] 'that this was an attempt to introduce drugs into a detention centre was a significant aggravating factorThere is an obvious risk that illicit drugs will create the risk of violence and further	27.85 g.
of Western Australia [2023] WASCA 142 Delivered	30–31 at time offending. Convicted after PG (20% discount). Extensive criminal history; drug, violence, traffic, and	Ct 1: Att supp methyl 27.85 g at 80% purity. Ct 2: Att supp cannabis 23.41 g. Ct 3: Fail to obey data access order. The appellant threw two tennis balls	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 6 mths imp (cum). TES: 4 yrs 6 mths imp. The sentencing judge described the offending as very serious. The appellant	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle. At [35] 'that this was an attempt to introduce drugs into a detention centre was a significant aggravating factorThere is an obvious	27.85 g.
of Western Australia [2023] WASCA 142	30–31 at time offending. Convicted after PG (20% discount). Extensive criminal history;	Ct 1: Att supp methyl 27.85 g at 80% purity. Ct 2: Att supp cannabis 23.41 g. Ct 3: Fail to obey data access order. The appellant threw two tennis balls into the Yongah Hill Detention Centre.	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 6 mths imp (cum). TES: 4 yrs 6 mths imp. The sentencing judge described the offending as very serious. The appellant attempted to introduce illicit drugs into a	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle. At [35] 'that this was an attempt to introduce drugs into a detention centre was a significant aggravating factorThere is an obvious risk that illicit drugs will create the risk of violence and further offending within a confined environment.'	27.85 g.
of Western Australia [2023] WASCA 142 Delivered	30–31 at time offending. Convicted after PG (20% discount). Extensive criminal history; drug, violence, traffic, and property offences.	Ct 1: Att supp methyl 27.85 g at 80% purity. Ct 2: Att supp cannabis 23.41 g. Ct 3: Fail to obey data access order. The appellant threw two tennis balls into the Yongah Hill Detention Centre. Each of the tennis balls had been	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 6 mths imp (cum). TES: 4 yrs 6 mths imp. The sentencing judge described the offending as very serious. The appellant	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle. At [35] 'that this was an attempt to introduce drugs into a detention centre was a significant aggravating factorThere is an obvious risk that illicit drugs will create the risk of violence and further offending within a confined environment.' At [36] 'the appellant's role was not limited to merely throwing the	27.85 g.
of Western Australia [2023] WASCA 142 Delivered	30–31 at time offending. Convicted after PG (20% discount). Extensive criminal history; drug, violence, traffic, and property offences. Born in WA; only child; parents	Ct 1: Att supp methyl 27.85 g at 80% purity. Ct 2: Att supp cannabis 23.41 g. Ct 3: Fail to obey data access order. The appellant threw two tennis balls into the Yongah Hill Detention Centre. Each of the tennis balls had been wrapped in tape and had lighters	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 6 mths imp (cum). TES: 4 yrs 6 mths imp. The sentencing judge described the offending as very serious. The appellant attempted to introduce illicit drugs into a detention centre.	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle. At [35] 'that this was an attempt to introduce drugs into a detention centre was a significant aggravating factorThere is an obvious risk that illicit drugs will create the risk of violence and further offending within a confined environment.' At [36] 'the appellant's role was not limited to merely throwing the drugs over the detention centre fence. The presence of his DNA on	27.85 g.
of Western Australia [2023] WASCA 142 Delivered	30–31 at time offending. Convicted after PG (20% discount). Extensive criminal history; drug, violence, traffic, and property offences. Born in WA; only child; parents separated; did not get along	Ct 1: Att supp methyl 27.85 g at 80% purity. Ct 2: Att supp cannabis 23.41 g. Ct 3: Fail to obey data access order. The appellant threw two tennis balls into the Yongah Hill Detention Centre. Each of the tennis balls had been	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 6 mths imp (cum). TES: 4 yrs 6 mths imp. The sentencing judge described the offending as very serious. The appellant attempted to introduce illicit drugs into a detention centre. The sentencing judge found that the	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle. At [35] 'that this was an attempt to introduce drugs into a detention centre was a significant aggravating factorThere is an obvious risk that illicit drugs will create the risk of violence and further offending within a confined environment.' At [36] 'the appellant's role was not limited to merely throwing the drugs over the detention centre fence. The presence of his DNA on the packaging inside the tennis balls indicated that he was involved	27.85 g.
of Western Australia [2023] WASCA 142 Delivered	30–31 at time offending. Convicted after PG (20% discount). Extensive criminal history; drug, violence, traffic, and property offences. Born in WA; only child; parents	Ct 1: Att supp methyl 27.85 g at 80% purity. Ct 2: Att supp cannabis 23.41 g. Ct 3: Fail to obey data access order. The appellant threw two tennis balls into the Yongah Hill Detention Centre. Each of the tennis balls had been wrapped in tape and had lighters	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 6 mths imp (cum). TES: 4 yrs 6 mths imp. The sentencing judge described the offending as very serious. The appellant attempted to introduce illicit drugs into a detention centre.	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle. At [35] 'that this was an attempt to introduce drugs into a detention centre was a significant aggravating factorThere is an obvious risk that illicit drugs will create the risk of violence and further offending within a confined environment.' At [36] 'the appellant's role was not limited to merely throwing the drugs over the detention centre fence. The presence of his DNA on	27.85 g.

		9; frequently truant and	The second tennis ball contained		a serious offence. The sentencing judge was correct to view this as	
		involved in fights.	14.03 g of methyl and 11.34 of cannabis.	The sentencing judge accepted that the appellant's addiction made him more	separate offending deserving of additional punishment. This court has noted in the past that unless those whole fail to comply with	
		Worked in various trade roles;	Califiabls.	vulnerable to being taken advantage of;	data access orders receive some additional punishment there will	
		owned his own business before	The appellant's DNA was located	however, the appellant offended for	be no effective incentive to comply.'	
		it deteriorated; unemployed	inside the plastic clip seal bags in	personal gain — the supply of drugs.	be no effective incentive to comply.	
		since 2018.	which the drugs were packaged. A	personal game the suppry of drugs.	At [40] ' it is not reasonably arguable that the overall total	
		Since 2010.	SW at the appellant's house resulted		effective sentence of 4 years and 6 months' immediate	
		Used cannabis and alcohol from	in another tennis ball being found.		imprisonment is plainly unreasonable or unjust.'	
		16 yrs; significant family	Police also located a mobile phone		imprisonment is planny ameasonable of angust.	
		history of addiction; methyl use	which the appellant refused to			
		since 2018; limited motivation	provide the access code to. The			
		to address substance use.	appellant was served a data access			
			order. The appellant failed to provide			
		One significant relationship;	police with the information necessary		\triangleright	
		two children; relationship	to access the mobile phone.			
		deteriorated due to drug use.	_	No.		
40.	Walker v The	30 yrs at time offending.	18 x Offer to sell methyl (totalling	Poss methyl: 3 yrs 4 mths imp.	Appeal dismissed (leave refused on all grounds).	42.6 g.
	State of Western	31 yrs at time sentencing.	14.4 g).	Offer to sell cocaine: 3 mths (conc).		
	Australia		1 x Offer to sell cocaine 1 g.	Offer to sell methyl: 9 mths (cum).	Appeal concerned length of sentence for poss methyl; first limb of	
		Convicted after PG (25%	1 x Poss methyl wiss 27.2 g at 70%		totality principle; and a contention that if the subsequent	
	[2024] WASCA	discount).	purity.	TES: 4 yrs 1 mths imp.	psychiatrist's report was before the sentencing judge, a lower	
	153			C. X	sentence would have been imposed.	
	- ·	Minor criminal history.	Police lawfully intercepted the	EFP.		
	Delivered		appellant's mobile phone, resulting in		At [49] 'the major sentencing considerations for offences of	
	10/12/2024	Youngest of four siblings;	an awareness he was travelling with	Sentenced as a lower mid-level dealer.	dealing or trafficking in dangerous drugs of addiction are general	
		loving and supportive family;	prohibited drugs.		and personal deterrence. The weight of the drugs in question is not,	
		family remain supportive.	Dalias intercented the annullant's	The sentencing judge found that the	generally, the chief factor to be taken into account in fixing a	
		Completed yr 12 at school;	Police intercepted the appellant's	appellant had an established network of people to whom he would sell and supply	sentence, but it is a matter importance. Other matters to be taken into account include the nature and level of the offender's	
		attended boarding school on a	vehicle and located a package containing methyl in his partner's	drugs. He was selling quantities between a	participation in drug dealing or trafficking within a particular	
		sporting scholarship.		point and 3.5 g.	organisation, or generally, and whether the offending was	
		sporting scholarship.	of methyl.	point and 3.3 g.	committed for commercial gain. The degree of purity is often	
		Qualified boilermaker;	of menty.	The sentencing judge found that the	regarded as significant. Matters personal to an offender will almost	
		previously employed by a large	After the appellant's arrest, police	appellant's mental health issues provided	always be subsidiary considerations, but they are not completely	
		mining company; resigned	analysed the communications that	relevant context for the offending. It was	irrelevant.'	
		shortly before sentencing.	had been intercepted on the	not submitted at the sentencing hearing		
		, e	appellant's mobile phone. They	that there was a causal link between the	At [50] 'the facts and circumstances of the appellant's offending	
		Diagnosed depression; bipolar	identified the transactions the subject	appellant's mental health and the	[for the poss methyl wiss offence] were very serious. The quantity	
		disorder; taking medication at	of cts 1 to 19.	offending.	of methylamphetamine was significant. It was only marginally less	
		time sentencing.	2010		than a trafficable quantity The offence was committed in the	
				Appellant was attending sessions with a	context of the appellant carrying on a successful drug dealing	
		Substance use from 29 yrs; used		psychiatrist and a mental health	business. The profit he derived did not merely finance his own	
		prohibited substances as a		coordinator with a view to develop more	drug use. His activities produced a substantial commercial gain.'	
		crutch to manage his decline in		appropriate coping mechanisms. The	A. 5517 (1)	
		mental health.		appellant had abstained from taking drugs	At [51] 'there were, of course, a number of mitigating factors. The	
				during leading up to sentencing.	appellant pleaded guilty at the first reasonable opportunity He	
		A further report produced after			had a very good employment history The appellant had	
		sentence opined that there was a			significant mental health issues which he had taken steps to	
		likelihood that the appellant's			addresshe abstained from taking prohibited drugs. This was a	

	1		1	T	manufatana atau Kamusia ili 118 atau 2	1
		stress and anxiety were			positive sign for rehabilitation.'	
		consistent with PTSD; the appellant suffered from ADHD;			At [52] 'the appellant's reliance upon <i>Tran</i> is misplaced.'	
		the PTSD and ADHD caused			At [32] the appenant's remance upon 11th is misplaced.	
		the appellant's drug use; and			At [55] 'in our opinion, the sentencing range formulated by Miller	
		the appellant had five major			AJA in <i>Bosworth</i> and adopted in <i>Tran</i> , namely that, in cases	
		suicide attempts.			involving quantities of methylamphetamine of between 3 g and 65	
		1			g, sentences (converted in accordance with post-transitional	
					provisions) have ranged between 2 and 5 years' imprisonment, is	
					not a reliable guide to current sentencing patterns.'	
					At [56] 'sentencing decisions of this court in reasonably	
					comparable cases since <i>Tran</i> demonstrate that the sentence	
					imposed on the appellant for count 20 was not manifestly	
				\cdot \(\)	excessive.'	
					At [61] fin our animian, the contained of 2 years 4 months?	
					At [61] 'in our opinion, the sentence of 3 years 4 months' immediate imprisonment imposed on the appellant was	
				\$°, C)	commensurate with the seriousness of the offence.	
				30/10	commensurate with the seriousness of the offence.	
				30 y	At [67] 'in our opinion, it was necessary to order that some of the	
					appropriate individual sentences for counts 1 to 19 be served	
					cumulatively upon the appropriate individual sentence for count 20	
				X Y	in order to ensure that the total effective sentence imposed on the	
					appellant was commensurate with the seriousness of his overall	
				A C	offending.'	
					A + [CO] ('	
					At [68] 'in our opinion, the total effective sentence of 4 years 1	
					month's immediate imprisonment did not infringe the first limb of the totality principle. A custodial term of that length was required	
			•	\circ	in order properly to mark the serious character of the appellant's	
					offending as a whole, and to give effect to all relevant sentencing	
					considerations.'	
			(2) Y			
					At [80] 'although there was some information in [the later	
					psychiatrist's report] that was not before the sentencing judge, the	
					additional material provided was not significantly different	
			O y		from the material before her Honour. Nothing in [the] report	
					established a causative link between the appellant's mental health	
20	EZA The Cana	20	Ct. 1. Development and a 20 O and C20/	Ct. 1. A ' ()	issues and his offending.'	45.00 -
39.	FZA v The State of Western	38 yrs at time offending. 39 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 28.9 g at 62% purity (trafficable quantity).	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp (cum). Ct 2: 14 mths imp (conc).	Allowed – parity principle.	45.23 g.
	Australia	37 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Poss methyl wiss 13.46 g at	Ct 2: 14 littls littp (conc). Ct 3: 9 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned error (discount for past cooperation) and parity	
	11mbii wiiw	Convicted after early PG (25%	64%-71% purity.	Ct 4: 6 mths imp (com).	principle.	
	[2022] WASCA	discount).	Ct 3: Poss methyl wiss 2.87 g.	r (,		
	124	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Ct 4: Poss unlawfully obtain property	TES 4 yrs 6 mths imp.	Resentenced (25% discount):	
		Prior criminal history.	(\$11,750 cash).			
	Delivered			EFP.	Ct 1: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	
	23/09/2022	Raised WA; close to parents	A SW was executed at the house		Ct 2: 15 mths imp (conc).	
		and family.	occupied by FZA and the co-offender	Co-offender A	Ct 3: 6 mths imp (cum).	

						
			A.	Also charged with poss of the methyl	Ct 4: 4 mths imp (conc).	
		Completed yr 10.		subject of ct 1 - the 'common offence'.		
			FZA and A were in the bedroom. A	Sentenced to 4 yrs 4 mths imp with a TES	TES 4 yrs imp.	
		Good work history; employed	CCTV home security system was	of 5 yrs 2 mths imp. EFP.		
		retain sector and pharmacy	operating through a television in the		EFP.	
		technical; victim of two armed	room.	The sentencing judge found the appellant's		
		robberies while working in a		involvement in the commercial distribution	At [47] As the appellant's cooperation was limited to past	
		pharmacy; engaged in sex work	During the search a package wrapped	of methyl as mid-level.	cooperation and did not include an undertaking to give future	
		to alleviate financial	in paper towels and electrical tape		assistance, her Honour was not obliged to comply with s 8(5) of	
		difficulties.	containing methyl was located (ct 1).	Remorseful; insight into her drug addiction; offending closely tied to drug	the Sentencing Act.	
		Twice married.	In a storage box eight clipseal bags	dependency; completed drug and alcohol	At [73] It is clear that the common offence was the most serious of	
			containing methyl were also found.	course while in custody; motivated to	the offences committed by the appellant and A and, we consider	
		Suffers back and neck pain	The weights of the methyl in the bags	continue rehabilitation; reasonable risk of	that the appellant and A were equally involved in the commission	
		since motor vehicle accident.	varied between 1.62 g and 1.72 g (ct	re-offending if addiction not addressed.	of the common offence.	
			2).			
		Commenced methyl using to			At [82] When all relevant facts and circumstances are evaluated,	
		cope with physical and	In a draw 17 clipseal bags of methyl,	· C , Y	a disparity of 8 mths imp in the TES is, in our view, markedly	
		emotional pain of sex work.	containing between 0.08 g and 0.5 g	110	insufficient to reflect the differences between the appellant and A	
			of the drug, were also found.		which favoured the appellant	
			A total of \$11,750 cash was located.		At [87] The offences committed by the appellant were undoubtedly	
				C. X	serious The appellant was part of a reasonably sophisticated	
			At various places in the bedroom		commercial drug distribution operation	
			items commonly associated with the	O		
			sale of prohibited drugs, including	A. C.		
			unusual clipseal bags, several sets of			
			electronic scales, glass smoking			
			implements and handwritten 'tick			
20	G 1 mi	10	lists', were also found.			45.40
38.	Cochrane v The	40 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Poss methyl wiss 47.13 g at 71%-	5 yrs 6 mths imp.	Dismissed.	47.13 g.
	State of Western	G 1 1 2 1 DG (250)	79% purity.			
	Australia	Convicted after early PG (25%		EFP.	Appeal concerned length of sentence and error of law (max penalty	
		discount).	Cochrane flew from Perth to		life imp - ind did not include the words 'and the offence involved a	
	[2021] WASCA 5		Geraldton. He was arrested in the	Drug trafficker declaration made.	trafficable quantity of methyl').	
	D 11 1	Long criminal history; prior	airport terminal. When searched he		A. ren	
	Delivered	conviction for poss methyl	reached down the front of his jeans	The sentencing judge characterise the	At [7] in our view, the appellant was charged and convicted of	
	08/01/2021	wiss.	and produced a bag, which he tried to	appellant as a courier who was to receive a	committing the crime [in circumstances which involved a	
		B:00: 1. 1:11	put into his mouth.	relatively small portion of the drugs for	trafficable quantity of methyl] and so was liable to a max	
		Difficult childhood; subjected		personal use and who facilitated the	penalty of life imp.	
		to physical and emotional	A later examination of the bag	distribution of drugs into a community	A. 51501 51501	
		abuse; transient lifestyle;	revealed it contained methyl,	already severely affected by methyl use.	At [152]-[153] having regard to the increase in the penalty and	
		parents entrenched in alcohol	cannabis and dexamphetamine.	D (1 111)	the limited assistance from comparable cases, in our view it cannot	
		and illicit substance use and		Remorseful; willingness to change; efforts	be inferred that the learned sentencing judge was in error in the	
		violence.	The methyl was separated into four	taken towards rehabilitation in custody.	present case The appellant committed a serious drug offence	
			clip seal bags.		the major sentencing considerations for offences of this type are	
		Supportive family and partner.			general and personal deterrence. Any involvement in the illegal	
		Educated to 11			trade in methyl, is offending which calls for terms of imp that	
		Educated to yr 11.			will achieve that necessary deterrence.	

37.	The State of Western Australia v Stocker [2022] WASCA 178 Delivered 17/11/2022	Good work history; labouring employment various industries. Number of significant relationships; 19 yr-old daughter first marriage; baby with current partner. Long history of substance abuse; cannabis aged 11 yrs; alcohol 13 yrs; ecstasy and LSD from aged 14 yrs; regular user of methyl past 20 yrs. Age at time of offending and sentencing not available. Convicted after early PG (cts 1 & 2 - 20% discount). Convicted after very early PG (cts 3 & 4 - 25% discount). No prior criminal history. Raised close-knit, loving and supportive family environment; parents and siblings remain supportive. Completed yr 12; trade apprenticeship. Commenced working father's business aged 25 yr; operational manager by aged 29 yrs; did well financially; able to build own home; made redundant 2020. Turbulent and dysfunctional relationship; until partner's tragic death 2019. Commenced another relationship; partner a methyl user. Introduced to cannabis aged 14	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 26.01 g at 35-72% purity. Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained property (\$107,270 cash). Ct 3: Poss methyl wiss 28.13 g at 81% purity (trafficable quantity). Ct 4: Poss unlawfully obtained property (\$10,595 cash). Stocker was engaged in the business of dealing in methyl. A SW was executed at Stocker's home. At the time he was not at home, although a co-accused was present. On the kitchen bench in a glove, police found two clipseal bags and a plastic wrapper containing quantities of methyl. In addition, two clipseal bags were found on the bench. Stockers DNA profile was found on the surfaces of the glove, the plastic wrapper and a clipseal bag (ct 1). Bags containing \$107,270 in cash were also found in a bedroom. Stockers DNA profile was found on a satchel in which the bulk of the cash (\$74,960) was found (ct 2).	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 3: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 1 yrs imp (conc). Individual sentences for cts 1 and 2 cum upon conc individual sentences for cts 3 and 4. TES partly susp; upon serving 20 mths imp balance (3 yrs 4 mths imp) susp period of 2 yrs. The sentencing judge found the offending 'very serious'; the offending was not isolated; over a period of at least six mths and, in all likelihood, much longer the respondent was conducting a drug-dealing business in which he was the principal and the amount of money he possessed suggested the business was 'very lucrative. Genuinely remorseful; participated in training course and drug intervention program in custody; low risk of reoffending if drug problem addressed.	Allowed. Appeal concerned error in sentencing (partial conc and partial susp imp infringed s 88(4) <i>Sentencing Act 1995</i>); type of individual sentences ct 1 and 3 and totality principle. Resentenced (20% discounts cts 1 & 2 and 25% discounts cts 3 & 4): Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (cum). Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 3: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 4: 12 mths imp (conc). TES 6 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP. At [188] There is no dispute that the respondent's overall offending was very serious. It involved dealing in methyl over a relatively extended period of time, in part, at least, for profit the presence of in excess of \$100,000 in cash, indicates that the respondent's drug dealing derived a substantial commercial gain. Cts 3 and 4 were committed some five mths afters cts 1 and 2 and when on bail for cts 1 and 2. At [193] Having evaluated the respondent's overall criminality and having regard to the respondent's personal circumstances, which are favourable, and the other mitigating factors and all relevant sentencing considerations and principles, it was not open to the primary judge to order partial concurrency of the sentences. While some concurrency was required having regard to the totality principle, the orders for partial concurrency as between ct 1 and ct 3 resulted in an overall term of imp which was not commensurate with the overall seriousness of the offences	54.14 g.
		Introduced to cannabis aged 14 yrs; methyl use from aged 25 yrs; methyl use increased following partner's death;	Items consistent with being engaged in the business of drug dealing, including multiple electronic scales, tick lists, clipseal bags and			

		\$1,000 a day habit time offending; prior attempt made to address methyl addiction.	disposable gloves, were also found. Stocker was arrested and released on bail. Stocker was on bail when police again attended his home. He arrived when police were still present and found in poss of 25.8 g of methyl in a bumbag he was carrying. Also discovered in the bumbag were three clipseal bags containing 0.99 g, 0.18 g and 1.16 g of methyl (ct 3). A search of his bedroom located \$10,000 in cash and a further \$595 in			
36.	HSH v The State of Western	50 yrs at time sentencing.	cash in the bumbag (ct 4). Two mobile CIPHR phones were also found in the house. Ct 2: Poss methyl wiss 70.7 g at 77%-78% purity (trafficable	Ct 2: 3 yrs 6 mths imp.	Dismissed.	70.7 g.
	Australia	Convicted after PG (10% discount).	quantity).	EFP.	Appeal concerned length of sentence.	
	[2023] WASCA 113 Delivered 14/07/2023	Prior criminal history; including drug offences, no previous convictions for dealing in drugs. Religious upbringing; supportive family. Number of intimate relationships; children and stepchildren. Long and varied working life. Left with chronic pain following significant injury early adulthood; lead to morphine and then methyl addiction; abstinent from drug use while in prison.	HSH was a passenger in a vehicle stopped by police. In the boot of the vehicle a magnetic lock box was found, inside which were two clip seal bags. The first bag contained 55.7 g of methyl, with a purity of 77% and the second bag contained 15 g of methyl, with a purity of 78%. In HSH's pants two Post-it Notes with a series of names and numbers consistent with a 'tick list' were also found. At a location rented by HSH digital scales, iPads and a notebook containing several pages of notations consistent with tick lists were found. Telephone intercept data indicated HSH's involvement in the sale of prohibited drugs, including the use of encrypted communication applications.	At time of sentencing serving a TES of 2 yrs 2 mths imp, and eligible for release to parole, in relation to two further offences on the same IND, namely: Ct 3: Poss methyl wiss (17.59 g). Ct 4: Failing to obey data access order. Ct 3: 20 mths imp (cum). Ct 4: 6 mths imp (cum). TES 5 yrs 5 mths 5 days imp. The sentencing judge found the appellant acted as a courier, delivering drugs to a purchaser for the purchase price of \$12,000; in return he was to be given an eight-ball (3.5 g) of methyl; the seriousness of the offence was found in the quantity and the purity of the methyl, being just over two and a half times the trafficable quantity. The sentencing judge found the appellant a trusted person to the dealer; he was trusted with such a quantity of methyl and with	At [92]-[99] Discussion of comparable cases. At [100] The appellant in this case committed a serious drug offence. As the authorities reveal, the major sentencing considerations for offences of this type are general and personal deterrence. The appellant's involvement in the illegal trade in methyl called for a term of imp that would achieve that necessary deterrence. The appellant's efforts and motivation towards rehabilitation were to his credit, but there was nothing exceptional about his personal circumstances. At [101] In all of the circumstances,, there is no basis to conclude from the sentence imposed by the learned sentencing judge that her Honour's consideration of those matters involved any error. On the contrary, in our view, in the absence of the matters referred to in the Schedule, the appellant could have expected a significantly greater sentence than he received. The sentence was not plainly unjust or unreasonable.	

				the proceeds of the sale; he was also in the		
				business of commercial drug dealing		
				himself in quantities between a half-ball		
				and an eight-ball (1.75 g to 3.5 g); the		
				courier job was a means of sourcing		
				_		
				material for his own commercial drug		
				dealing; his drug dealing was not only to	29	
				support his own drug use but to generate		
				income generally.		
				Genuinely remorseful; attempts made to		
				rehabilitate himself from drug use; insight		
				into his drug use.		
35.	Searle v The	31 yrs at time sentencing.	IND 136	IND 136	Dismissed.	108.465 g.
	State of Western	si yis at time senteneng.	Cts 1; 3-6; 8; 14-31 & 33: Offer to	Cts 1; 3; 9; 14 & 33: 6 mths imp (conc).	Sistingsedi	100.105 g.
	Australia	IND 136	sell/supply methyl 0.1 g – 7 g.	Cts 2 & 7: 1 mth's imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of sentence and totality principle.	
1	Australia	Convicted after late PG (5%			Appear concerned length of sentence and totality principle.	
1	[2022] \$\$74.004	`	Cts 2; 7; 9-13: Offer to sell/supply	Cts 4-5; 15-17 & 30: 12 mths imp (conc).	At [40] (the appellant's 2010 offers line 1-11 '	
	[2023] WASCA	discount).	cannabis.	Cts 6; 8; 18 & 20: 15 mths imp (conc).	At [48] ' the appellant's 2019 offending had a number of serious	
	129		Ct 32: Offer to sell/supply methyl 28	Cts 10-12: 4 mths imp (conc).	features The fact that [he] was dealing in two different drugs	
		<u>IND 1013</u>	g (trafficable quantity).	Ct 13: 10 mths imp (conc).	adds an additional element to his criminality. Further, one of the	
	Delivered	Convicted after PG (25%		Cts 19; 21; 23-24; 26-27 & 31: 18 mths	appellant's offers concerned 28 g of methyl.'	
	30/08/2023	discount).	<u>IND 1013</u>	imp (conc).		
			Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 43.44 g at 80-	Ct 22: 20 mths imp (conc).	At [49] 'had the appellant been sentenced for the offences on the	
		Unstable family life; raised by	81% purity (trafficable quantity).	Ct 25: 2 yrs imp (conc).	first ind standing alone, a sentence appreciably in excess of 3 yrs'	
		mother, frequently physically	Ct 2: Obstruct police officer.	Cts 28 & 29: 8 mths imp (conc).	imp would have been appropriate, particularly given the	
		and emotionally abusive; often	Cts 3-5: Use identification material	Ct 32: 3 yrs imp (cum).	persistence and duration of the offending the subject of the fist	
		left with his grandmother;	with intention to commit fraud.	ot 32. Syrs mip (cam).	ind.'	
		exposed to drug abuse and	with intention to commit made.	IND 1013	ind.	
		violence; absent father;	IND 136	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (cum).	At [50] 'there is no challenge to the sentence of 5 yrs imp for ct 1	
			l 		on the second ind. That sentence reflects the serious features of the	
		abandoned by his mother	Searle committed the offences over a	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).		
		entirely aged 15 yrs; no contact	period of about five months in the	Cts 3-5: 9 mths imp (conc).	offence, Further, it should not be overlooked that the second ind	
		with her since.	course of a small-scale drug-dealing		included cts $2-5$, which involved additional criminality of a	
			business.	Ct 32 (IND 136) cum on sentence imposed	different character from the drug-dealing the subject of the	
		Frequently moved schools; no		ct 1 (IND 1013).	appellant's other offending. While the sentences for cts $2-5$ were	
		close friendships; completed yr	Searle was stopped driving a motor		made conc, those offences involved distinct additional criminality	
		10; obtained certificates in	vehicle and following a search, two	TES 8 yrs imp.	that forms part of the appellant's overall criminality.'	
		various trades.	mobile telephones were seized. Later			
			analysis of the phones revealed	EFP.	At [51] ' the 8-yr sentence reflects, as it should, the overall	
		Good work history and good	communications via text message		criminality manifested in all of the appellant's offending.'	
		employment prospects.	and Facebook Messenger in which he	IND 136		
		I J I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	offered to sell or supply prohibited	The sentencing judge found the appellant's	At [52] 'nothing in the consideration of other comparable cases	
		Stable family and partner;	drugs to various people.	offending was for a commercial purpose,	supports a conclusion that the TES in the present case was so high	
		current partner non-drug user;	arago to various people.	offering and selling methyl and cannabis in		
		close relationship with his sister	On seven occasions Searle offered to	part to help fund his own drug use;	as to level eller.	
		-		sentenced on basis he was towards the	At [50] 6 giving full weight to the annullant's DC and to all the	
		and stepfather; supportive	sell or supply cannabis in quantities		At [59] ' giving full weight to the appellant's PG, and to all the	
1		family.	ranging from 7g – 140g.	middle of the drug-distribution network,	mitigating factors in his favour, his TES of 8 yrs imp does not	
1				above the street-level user/dealer.	reveal error.'	
		Commenced cannabis use aged	On 25 occasions Searle offered to			
		15 yrs; introduced to methyl	sell or supply methyl in quantities	The sentencing judge accepted that the		
		aged 19 yrs; drug-free for	ranging from $0.1g - 7g$.	transactions the subject of cts 32 and 25		<u> </u>

						T 1
		extended periods.	On one occasion Searle offered to	did not proceed; sentenced on the basis the		
				balance of transactions generally did		
			sell or supply a trafficable quantity of	proceed and the appellant was able to		
			methyl.	readily source methyl and cannabis.		
			IND 1013	IND 1013		
				The sentencing judge found the offending	<u> </u>	
			Whilst driving a motor vehicle police stopped Searle for a random breath	serious; it occurred while he was on bail	CULLIONS	
			and drug test. He tested positive for	1		
			drugs. Searle ran from the police and	for the offending the subject of IND 136; ct 1 involved a significantly greater	: AO'	
			despite a chase he was unable to be	quantity of methyl than the earlier		
			=	offending and confirmed the appellant's		
			located and apprehended.	ability to access significant quantities of		
			Inside Searle's vehicle a bag	methyl; the appellant played a significant		
			containing a total of 43.44 g of	role in the distribution of large quantities		
			methyl was located, along with	of methyl into the community and he did	*	
			\$5,540 in cash.	The state of the s		
			\$5,540 III Casii.	so for commercial purposes.		
			About two weeks later Searle was	Remorseful; insight into his offending		
			located and arrested at an address.	behaviour; accepting of responsibility and		
			During a search of the property a	contributing factors to his offending.		
			wallet containing three counterfeit	contributing factors to his offending.		
			MDLs, each featuring his image and			
			false identification details. He had	C >		
			used the fake MDLs to obtain			
			accommodation under false			
			identities, which enabled him to			
			avoid police detection during the	× O y		
			period up to his arrest.			
			period up to ms urrest.			
			• 4	\supset		
34.	Stipanich v The	41 yrs at time sentencing.	IND 1926	IND 1926	Dismissed (leave refused).	113.88 g.
	State of Western	<i>y</i>	Ct 1: Poss methyl 6.78g.	Ct 1: 8 mths imp (conc).		8
	Australia	IND 1926	Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained	Ct 2: 10 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned length of sentence ct 1 (IND 1878) and totality	
		Convicted after early PG	property (\$75,170 cash).		principle.	
	[2023] WASCA	(ct 1 20% discount)		IND 1878		
	118	(ct 2 15% discount).	<u>IND 1878</u>	Ct 1: 7 yrs imp (cum).	At [36] ' the quantity of 107.1 g possessed by the appellant is	
			Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 107.1g at		nearly four times the trafficable quantity prescribed for methyl.	
	Delivered	<u>IND 1878</u>	52% and 74% purity (trafficable	TES 7 yrs 10 mths imp.	Furthermore, it is important to bear in mind that the quantity	
	11/08/2023	Convicted after early PG	quantity).		possessed at the time of arrest must be seen in the context that the	
		(17.5% discount).	2610	EFP.	sentencing judge found that the appellant had been involved in	
			IND 1926		commercial drug dealing over an extended period, a finding that is	
		Extensive criminal history.	In the early hrs of the morning	Sentenced on basis a mid-level user/dealer	not challenged. The role of the appellant in the offending is also	
			Stipanich and his partner checked	for profit.	clearly important. He was not a mere courier or aider, who only	
		Dysfunctional and	into a hotel.		came into poss of the drugs for a short time or for modest reward.	
		disadvantaged childhood;		The sentencing judge found the offending	He was, and accepted that he was, a mid-level commercial dealer.'	
		alcoholic mother; violent father;	A hotel security camera recorded	serious; there was a significant quantity of		
		sexually abused.	their movements.	methyl, well in excess of the trafficable	At [37] 'The third offence was also aggravated by the fact that it	
				quantity; the offending was committed for	was committed when the appellant was on bail for the first two	
		Educated to yr 10.	Stipanich was seen carrying a	commercial purposes, but accepted the	offences, one of which was also a drug offence'	

10 yrs stable relationship; two teenage children from previous relationship.

Commenced, but did not complete, an apprenticeship; employed in rigging and general construction.

Struggled with drug addiction many yrs; using methyl at time of offending.

backpack.

In the afternoon Stipanich and his partner left the hotel room. He was again seen carrying the backpack. They later returned to their room with the backpack.

That evening Stipanich was arrested outside the hotel. A clipseal bag containing 6.78 g of MDMA was found in his pocket. The backpack was located in the hotel room and was found to contain 15 bundles of cash totalling \$75,170.

In the room elastic bands matched those found on the bundles of cash. Digital scales, a smoking implement and a clipseal bag containing three oxazepam tablets were also located.

Also found were two further bundles of cash, in the amounts of \$1,850 and \$850, and three mobile telephones.

Stipanich claimed he could not remember the PINs to the mobile telephones.

Stipanich's DNA was later found on the backpack and clipseal bag.

IND 1878

While Stipanich was on bail for the offences the subject of IND 1926, a search warrant was executed at his home. Inside an exercise roller, in a box wrapped in an elastic band, were clipseal bags containing three separate quantities of methyl.

DNA consistent with that of Stipanich and his partner was detected on the outside of the box.

Two sets of digital scales and two mobile telephones were also found. Stipanich declined to provide the PIN numbers for the mobile phones.

appellant was selling drugs partly to fund his own heavy drug use; the extent of the commerciality was reflected by the sum of \$75,170 found in his poss; the offending was not isolated or one-off and the offending must be considered in the context of and against a background that he was involved in drug dealing activities over an extended period of time.

The sentencing judge also took into account that the offending took place while the appellant was on parole and on bail.

Courses undertaken while in prison; demonstrated commitment to rehabilitation.

At [38] '... it can be misleading to view cases primarily from the perspective of the quantity of drugs involved without proper regard for the role of the offender and whether the offending was part of a course of conduct, as it was here.'

At [50] 'in the present case the appellant's role did not involve a one-off or single instance of criminality. As the sentencing judge properly noted, the appellant's role was one of involvement in commercial drug dealing over an extended period of time. He was a mid-level drug dealer, engaged in dealing for a profit as well as for the purpose of feeding his own habit. This places into proper context the quantity of the drugs involved. ...'

At [51] '... the sentence for the second offence was, if anything, a lenient sentence when regard is had to the amount of cash involved, the circumstances of the offending and the max penalty for that offence ... The relatively low sentence for that offence is accounted for by the fact that the sentencing judge reduced it for totality reasons. ... Nor can there be any sensible suggestion that a cum sentence for the second offence was inappropriate, given that it was serious independent offending conduct ...'

33.	Celani v The State of Western Australia [2021] WASCA 215 Delivered 16/12/2021	25 yrs at time offending. 29 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after PG (15% discount). Prior criminal history; largely consistent with his drug addiction. Parents separated when aged 12 yrs; witnessed domestic violence. Supportive family. Educated to yr 10; completed apprenticeship; later trained and worked in plastic fabrication. Cannabis use from aged 12 yrs; methyl from aged 16 yrs; regular user of methyl; heavy user at time of offending.	When the contents of one of the mobile phones was able to be downloaded it revealed he had sent and received messages consistent with the sale of methyl. Cts 1; 11; 17 & 18: Offer to sell cannabis 3.6212 kg. Cts 2-6; 8-10; 12-16; 19-31 & 33-35: Offer to sell methyl 93.145 g. Ct 7: Offer to sell cocaine 28 g. Ct 32: Offer to sell heroin 1.75 g. Celani was travelling in a motor vehicle when it was stopped by police. His mobile telephone was seized and an examination of the text messages stored on the phone revealed he had made offers to sell prohibited drugs to 32 contacts listed in his phone. Each ct related to one named contact, a small number of contacts the subject of more than one ct as he offered to sell them more than one kind of prohibited drug. In total he made a 120 separate offers to his various customers. Many of the cts were committed over a period of time.	Cts 1-3; 8 & 10: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 4 & 18: 20 mths imp (conc). Cts 5-6 & 21: 14 mths imp (conc). Ct 7: 36 mths imp (head). Ct 9; 11; 13-14; 17; 22; 24-25 & 28-31: 6 mths imp (conc). Cts 12; 34 & 35: 9 mths imp (conc). Ct 15: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 16; 19 & 23: 24 mths imp (conc). Cts 20 & 26: 10 mths imp (cum). Ct 27: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 33: 10 mths imp (conc). TES 5 yrs 2 mths imp. EFP. The sentencing judge found the appellant's offending serious and aggravated by its repeated and persistent nature and that he committed the offences in order to fund his drug habit. Remorseful; positive steps taken towards rehabilitation; 2 yrs clear of drug use; no further offending.	Dismissed - leave refused. Appeal concerned plea discount and totality principle (individual sentences not challenged). At [44] Having regard to the fact that the text messages which founded the charges were on the appellant's mobile telephone and their content involved clear offers to sell prohibited drugs, his Honour's characterisation of the case as being 'very strong' was well open the sentencing judge was entitled to take into account the strength of the case against the appellant in assessing the appropriate discount under s 9AA of the <i>Sentencing Act</i> . In these circumstances, and having regard to when the pleas were entered, we are satisfied that a 15% discount was not unreasonable or plainly unjust. It was not manifestly inadequate. At [55] the appellant was involved, during the commission of the offences, in a commercial enterprise in which he sold prohibited drugs. The offers that he made were in respect of four different prohibited drugs, He was engaged in this business for the purpose of funding his own methyl habit. It was not suggested that the appellant did not have the capacity or intention to fulfil the offers. At [56] It is clear the appellant had a large coterie of customers, and it was not suggested that he did not have access to the prohibited drugs he offered to sell. While it was not said that all of the offers resulted in actual sales, it was not claimed the offers were unfulfilled. At [60] it is not reasonably arguable that the TES infringed the first like of the totality arguable that the TES infringed	122.895 g.
32.	Owen v The State of Western Australia	35 yrs at time offending. 38 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Att to poss methyl wiss 133 g at 56%. Cts 2–13: Att to poss various	Ct 1: 6 yrs imp. Cts 2–13: 12 mths imp (cum).	the first limb of the totality principle Appeal dismissed (leave refused). Appeal concerned length of sentence imposed on ct 1.	133 g.
	[2024] WASCA 28 Delivered	Convicted after trial (ct 1) Convicted after PG (cts 2–13). Criminal history in WA and Qld; unlawful poss of motor	quantities of human growth hormone and anabolic steroids. <u>Ct 1</u>	The sentencing judged found that the explanation of the appellant that he was tracking the parcel on behalf of someone else without any knowledge that it contained an illicit substance lacked	At [43] 'the major sentencing considerations for offences of dealing in or trafficking dangerous drugs of addiction are general and personal deterrence.'	
	27/03/2024	vehicle; traffic offences; importing prohibited imports; AOBH.	The appellant was heavily involved in bodybuilding. In addition to using various performance enhancing drugs, he also sold growth hormones	credibility. The sentencing judge found the appellant had taken actions to distance himself from	At [46] 'as to the seriousness of the offence, the appellant's attempt to obtain the methylamphetamine was not a momentary aberration. His actions also involved some degree of sophistication. The appellant set up an APCN in a false name so	

Born in Brisbane; loving and and steroids to other bodybuilders. the illegality of the offending. that he could track the movement of parcels containing prohibited supportive family; good drugs. He used that APCN to track the parcel containing relationship with family. The appellant was the part owner of The sentencing judge found the appellant's methylamphetamine.' an investment property. The property role was that of a middleman or drug Completed yr 12; completed was leased to tenants. Australia Post courier in a mid to high level drug At [47] 'it should be noted that to say that knowledge of the precise nature and quantity of drugs was not proved beyond mechanic apprenticeship; attended the property to deliver two operation. qualified as a mechanical fitter packages. Expecting a parcel, the reasonable doubt does not equate with a positive finding that the and Microsoft System engineer. tenants accepted delivery. After The sentencing judge found that the appellant did not know these things.' opening the parcels. The tenants appellant did not act for altruistic reasons Gainful employment since discovered a vacuum sealed bag and that it was implausible that he would At [48] 'we have regard to the cases referred to by the appellant. When the circumstances of those case are considered, it is apparent leaving school; workshop containing a crystalline substance. have undertaken such risk for no reward. manager at time of conviction; The tenant returned it to the deliver The sentencing judge was satisfied that that the sentences imposed in them do not support the claim that the appellant's sentence was manifestly excessive.' described as a dedicated and officer and told him it contained there was commerciality in the appellant's hard-working employee. drugs. Police attended the post office offending. At [54] '... there is no proper basis for arguing that the sentence and seized the parcel. The parcel imposed in this case was manifestly excessive.' contained 133 g of methyl with a Began use of hormones after doctor prescribed testosterone; purity of 56%. continued use of testosterone for personal use; supplied Cts 2-12 steroids to others for discount on his own; maintains having The relevant parcel had a tracking never used illicit substances that number, which was been tracked by weren't anabolic steroids. two separate Australia Post Consumer Numbers (APCN). One of the APCN's was registered in the Married and living with his name of an ex-girlfriend of the wife. appellant. That APCN was linked to a SIM card found in the possession of Experienced some anxiety and depression. the appellant. The Australia Post database identified two further parcels addressed to the appellant's ex-girlfriend. Those two parcels were seized and contained numerous steroids. The appellant later travelled to the parcel locker and attempted to collect one of the packages seized by police. Cts 13 The following day, the appellant attended another locker and collected a different parcel addressed to his exgirlfriend. That parcel contained human growth hormone. During his police interview, the appellant made full admissions about the human growth hormone and anabolic

Indictment A

Dismissed.

144.9 g.

20s at time offending.

31. IIO v The State

steroids.

Indictment A

of Western Australia [2022] WASCA 38 Delivered 01/04/2022	Convicted after early PG (25% discount). Modest criminal history. Came to Australia as a child. Supportive family and friends.	Cts 1-4: Sold/supplied MDMA 129.79 g at 79% & 85% purity. Ct 5: Poss cocaine wiss 2.7 g at 35% purity. Indictment B Ct 1: Poss unlawfully obtained property (\$1,640 cash). Cts 2-3: Poss MDMA wiss 12.41 g at 64% and 76% purity and 69% purity.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (cum). Ct 3: 3 yrs 3 mths imp (cum). Ct 4: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 5: 6 mths imp (conc). Indictment B Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 1 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal concerned totality principle. At [23] The appellant's offending was a serious example of its type. He was engaged in a commercial operation in selling ounces of MDMA, of a high purity, over six wks. At [24] In order to properly reflect the appellant's overall criminality, some accumulation of the individual sentences that were imposed was required. To have imposed conc sentences for	
	Educated to yr 10; completed apprenticeship; employed; made redundant early 2020. History of drug use.	Indictment A On four separate occasions, IIO sold MDMA to an UCO for \$350; \$1,700; \$3,500 and \$2,650 respectively (cts 1-4). A SW was executed at IIO's home. He was found in poss of a quantity of cocaine (ct 5). He had previously offered the UCO a free sample of cocaine. Indictment B About a mth prior to the offence the subject of ct 5 on Ind A, a vehicle driven by IIO was stopped by police. In the vehicle and on his person police located \$1,640 in cash (ct 1) and two clip-seal bags containing a total of 3.52 g of MDMA powder (cts 2). IIO told police that \$300 - \$400 of the cash belonged to him and the rest was from friends to whom he intended to provide drugs. At an address where IIO had just delivered drugs police located a clip-seal bag containing 31 capsules, containing a total of 7.9 g of MDMA. A further five capsules containing 0.99 g of MDMA were also found (ct 3).	TES 5 yrs 3 mths imp. EFP. The sentencing judge found the appellant a mid-level street dealer; the offences were not isolated incidents, but part of an ongoing drug dealing enterprise for commercial gain to fund his cocaine addiction and to pay off drug debts. The sentencing judge found immediate imp the only appropriate sentencing option. Demonstrated remorse and insight into his offending; progress made towards rehabilitation; positive character references; low risk of reoffending.	each of cts 1 – 5 on Ind A and cts 1 – 3 on Ind B would have resulted in the imposition of a TES which would not have properly reflected the appellant's overall criminality. At [25] a TES of 5 yrs 3 mths imp was within the discretionary range properly open to the sentencing judge, even if it may be regarded as being towards the upper end of that range.	
0. Palladino v The State of Western Australia	47 yrs at time offending. 48 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Poss dexamphetamine wiss 145.38 g. Ct 2: Poss methyl wiss 5.49 g.	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 9 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 6 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed (leave refused - totality principle). Appeal concerned length of sentence ct 1 and totality principle.	145.38 g.
[2023] WASCA 101	Convicted after PG (20% discount). Criminal history; prior drug	Ct 3: Poss unlawfully obtained property (\$3,050 cash). During a search of Palladino's	TES 3 yrs imp. EFP.	At [66] The appellant's offending was serious. It involved a substantial quantity of dexamphetamine It is true that, in terms of the seriousness of the appellant's offending, the offending was	

20	Delivered 29/06/2023	related offending; prior sentence of imp. Happy childhood; parents divorced aged 3 yrs; little contact with father; loving; supportive, but strict mother and stepfather; left home aged 16 yrs. Strong supportive family; father deceased. Education difficult; attended various schools; experienced bullying; left halfway through yr 11. Commenced relationship with husband aged 17 yrs; four children from the union; husband emotionally abusive; marriage ended after 17 yrs; commenced another long-term relationship shortly after. Employed in retail and hospitality until birth of her first child aged 18 yrs; employed two part-time jobs at time of sentencing. Entrenched illicit drug use; introduced to methyl aged 25-27 yrs.	residence bottles containing dexamphetamine tablets were located. In total eight bottles, containing 730 dexamphetamine tablets, weighing 145.38 grams were found. Also located were four clip seal bags containing methyl. Palladino told police that she had divided the drugs into the bags to ration it out for her own use. In a bedside draw \$3,050 cash was also found. She admitted the cash belonged to her and she had legitimately obtained the money from her three jobs. A glass smoking implement and two sets of electronic scales were also located. Palladino's mobile phone was seized and was found to contain messages consistent with the sale of methyl.	The appellant accepted it was her intention to sell the methyl on behalf of another person and that the \$3,050 was the proceeds of previous sales, which was to be given to the owner of the drug. The sentencing judge found, even if dexamphetamine could be described as less harmful than other prohibited drugs, it was an illicit drug and the seriousness of the offending was not to be underestimated; appellant sentenced on the basis the dexamphetamine was for her and her partner's personal use; and not for dissemination into the community. The sentencing judge found it was a 'significant amount of methyl'; there was an element of commerciality in the offending and characterised the appellant as a 'low-end user-dealer'. Remorseful; risk of reoffending 'somewhere in the middle of the scale'; stable accommodation and employment at time sentencing; steps taken towards rehabilitation.	not aggravated by any motive of commercial gain. Nor was there an intention to sell or supply the dexamphetamine into the community generally. These matters moderate the seriousness of the appellant's offending. It must, nevertheless, be appreciated that the significant quantity involved - and the stimulant and mindaltering properties of dexamphetamine - created a potential danger to the appellant's partner's health and wellbeing so far as the appellant accepted that she had an intention to supply her partner. The significant quantity of the dexamphetamine is a distinguishing feature of the present appeal when it is compared to the cases of <i>Attenborough</i> , <i>Fenton</i> and <i>May</i> as relied on by the appellant (those cases all involving much smaller quantities which put the offending at the lower end of the scale of seriousness of offences of this kind). At [67] The offending was not an aberration. It occurred in the context of the appellant's prior drug related offending and her simultaneous poss of methyl wiss At [68] For the reasons as given in <i>ENR</i> it may not be assumed that dexamphetamine is less harmful than other prohibited drugs. At [76] it is not arguable that the TES of 3 yrs immediate imp infringed the first limb of the totality principle so far as the individual sentence for the head sentence (ie ct 1) is not manifestly excessive. The individual sentence for ct 1 is not unreasonable or plainly unjust	
29.	Humes v The State of Western	34 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 166.3 g at 80-81% purity (trafficable quantity).	Ct 1: 6 yrs 2 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (conc).	Allowed – Quinlan J dissenting.	166.3 g.
	Australia	Convicted after PG (25% discount).	Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtain property.	TES 6 yrs 2 mths imp.	Appeal concerned length of sentence ct 1.	
	[2023] WASCA		CX		Resentenced ct 1 (20% discount):	
	110	Prior criminal history; no previous sentences of imp.	Humes drove his utility from Perth to Bunbury 'to assist with a job'. He did	EFP.	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp.	
	Delivered		not know precisely what the job	Appellant sentenced on the basis that the		
	17/07/2023	Mother died when he was about 8 yr old; good childhood but	entailed until his arrival in Bunbury.	methyl had been in the front passenger seat footwell of the vehicle and he had put the	TES 5 yrs imp.	
		generally very unstable; family moved constantly.	At an address in Bunbury Humes met Mr L. Both Humes and Mr L then got	drug in his underwear with the intention of returning it to Mr L.	EFP.	
			into a vehicle and drove away.		At [90] it appears that the appellant travelled from Perth to	

		Left school aged 17 yrs.		The sentencing judge found the offending	Bunbury to do 'a job' without knowing precisely what the job	
			Sometime later the vehicle, being	serious; the appellant possessed six times	entailed. Given that he was paid \$1,066 for the job, it may be	
		Employed various labouring	driven by Mr L, was stopped by	the minimum trafficable quantity of methyl	inferred that [he] was aware that the job entailed some kind of	
		jobs; not worked since 2016-	police. Humes was seated in the front	and characterised the quantity of the drug	illegal conduct.	
		2017 as a result of injury;	passenger seat. The vehicle was	as 'significant'.		
		started own business prior to his	searched and \$15,030 in cash was		At [91]-[92] Exactly how long the appellant was in poss of the	
		incarceration.	located.	The sentencing judge found the \$15,000	drug is not known. It is agreed that the appellant, in effect, hid the	
				cash located in the car an aggravating	drug in his underwear, with the intention of giving it back to the	
		Married; one child; child from	Three clipseal bag were also found	feature; confirming the appellant was an	driver of the vehicle, The appellant was aware that the drug	
		wife's previous relationship.	concealed in the front of Humes'	essential part of a commercial drug dealing	would be distributed into the community, although he was not to	
		whe s previous relationship.	underwear. The three packages	organisation.	be a part of that process it therefore appears that [he] was in	
		Member of OMG since 2018.	weighed a total of 166.3 g of methyl	organisation.	temporary possession of the drug for a short period of	
		Wichiber of Olvid since 2018.		No domanstrated remores: participated and	time. Nevertheless, as [he] acknowledges, he involved himself in a	
		Cood physical health, mixed	(ct 1).	No demonstrated remorse; participated and		
		Good physical health; mixed	III.mass' williter was also seemshed and	engaged in drug counselling.	commercial drug trafficking operation of some scale, and did so	
		antisocial-borderline	Humes' utility was also searched and		for personal reward. Having regard to what is known about the	
		personality disorder; PTSD and	a further \$1,066 in cash was located		circumstances, we accept the appellant's submission that he was at	
		disorders relating to alcohol and	in the vehicle (ct 2).		the low end of the commercial drug trafficking operation.	
		stimulate use.		• . ()	A ([0] [10] D' ' C 11	
		History of illigit substance			At [94]-[104] Discussion of comparable cases.	
		History of illicit substance			At [100] the annellant's mass of the methyl was brief if not	
		abuse.			At [108] the appellant's poss of the methyl was brief, if not	
					fleeting, and involved an intention to, in effect, return the methyl	
				C	to the driver of the vehicle. Although it is true that the quantity of	
					methyl involved was significant and [he] was paid for his actions,	
					he was, at the end of the day, at a low level in the commercial drug	
				X	trafficking enterprise. There is nothing to indicate that he was the	
					owner of the methyl or that he was to be involved or have some	
20	DI WI	20	G. 1. G. 1.1/		continuing role in the actual sale of the drugs	177.00
28.	Blasco v The	39 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Sold/supplied	Ct 1: 12 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed.	175.08 g.
	State of Western		methoxphenidine.	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).		
	Australia	Convicted after PG (22%	Cts 2-4: Offer to sell methyl 1 g; 14	Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Appeal concerned totality principle. Individual sentences not	
	F00043 VV/ 4 C C A	discount).	g & 14 g.	Ct 4: 3 yrs imp (conc).	challenged.	
	[2021] WASCA		Ct 5: Offer to sell cannabis 0.1–0.4 g.	Ct 5: 2 mths imp (conc).		
	26	Extensive criminal history;	Ct 6: Offer to sell methyl 28 g.	Ct 6: 4 yrs imp (conc).	At [58] The appellant committed a series of serious drug offences	
		prior drug convictions.	Ct 7: Poss methyl wiss 45.18 g at	Ct 7: 5 yrs imp (cum).	over the course of a number of months. The offences were not	
	Delivered		72%-81% purity.	Ct 8: 3 yrs imp (conc).	isolated events but reflected the reality that the appellant was a	
	12/02/2021	Chaotic and dysfunctional	Ct 8: Poss methoxphenidine wiss		participant in a substantial business of distributing prohibited	
		upbringing.	72.9 g.	TES 8 yrs imp.	drugs. While he dealt in those drugs for the purpose of paying his	
			D		own drug debts, that purpose was nevertheless a commercial one.	
		Expelled yr 9; never returned to	Blasco's mobile telephone was	EFP.	A. [60]	
		school.	lawfully monitored. The offending		At [60] Given the ongoing nature of the appellant's conduct,	
		***	occurred over the course of a number	Drug trafficker declaration made.	some accumulation was necessary in order to properly reflect the	
		Worked short period; otherwise	of months.		overall seriousness of the offending and the totality of the	
		no substantial employment		The sentencing judge found the appellant	criminality involved	
		history.	Blasco telephoned a woman and	was involved in a very significant and	1.555	
			offered to supply her with an	substantial ongoing drug distribution for	At [65] the TES imposed on the appellant fell within the	
		Three adult children; supportive	unknown quantity methoxphenidine	commercial gain, in the context of an	emerging range of sentences customarily imposed for this type of	
		current partner and mother of	in tablet form. He agreed to meet the	OMG; the offending was in the low to	offending, since the passing of the 2017 Amendment Act. It was not	
		his fourth child born while in	woman to complete the transaction	mid-level of criminality.	unreasonable or plainly unjust.	
1	1	custody.	(ct 1).			

						T
				Appellant sought and participated in		
		Commenced using drugs aged	During a text message conversation	counselling while in custody; high risk of		
		15 yrs; methyl use from age 17	Blasco offered an unknown male 1 g	reoffending.		
		yrs; relapsed into drug use at	of methyl for \$400. They arranged to			
		time offending; accumulated a	meet to complete the transaction (ct			
		drug debt to an OMG;	2).			
		commenced selling drugs in	2).			
			During a taxt massage convergation			
		order to repay the debt.	During a text message conversation			
			with a woman, Blasco offered to sell		* () ^y	
			her 14g of methy. They arranged to			
			meet and completed the transaction			
			(ct 3).		CULLORS	
			Through text messages Blasco			
			offered to supply a man with a		\vee	
			'family pack'. A reference to four			
			balls of methyl, each being 3.5 g. The	Y		
			man collected the drugs from			
			Blasco's home (ct 4).	A*AU		
			(11)			
			Blasco received a test message from			
			a woman requesting cannabis. He			
			offered her a cone and then made			
			arrangements for the woman to	$c \rightarrow$		
			collect the drug (ct 5).	0,		
			During a talanhana sall from the	X.		
			During a telephone call from the			
			same man the subject of ct 4 Blasco			
			agreed to supply him with a 28 g of			
			methyl for \$5,600 (ct 6).			
			n			
			Blasco and an associate travelled to			
			Perth to collect drugs. After meeting			
			a male in Perth, his car was stopped			
			by police. A search of his vehicle			
			located a total of 45.18 g of methyl			
			divided into clip seal bags (ct 7).			
			The vehicle was seized and a further			
			search revealed 258 tablet containing			
			methoxphenidine, weighing 72.9 g			
			(ct 8).			
27.	Den Ridder v The	36 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Sold methyl 28 g (trafficable	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp (conc).	Dismissed (leave refused).	178.67 g.
	State of Western	39 yrs at time sentencing.	quantity).	Ct 2: 9 mths imp (conc).	, in the second of the second	
	Australia		Ct 2: Conspired to sell methyl 1.75 g.	Ct 3: 4 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of sentence ct 10 and totality principle.	
		Convicted after PG (18%	Cts 3; 5; 6; 7; 8 & 11: Supplied	Ct 4: 3 mths imp (conc).	11 5 a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
	[2022] WASCA	discount).	methyl.	Ct 5: 18 mths imp (cum).	At [45] In the present case, the appellant offered to sell or supply	
	113	Convicted after very late PG	Ct 4: Sold cannabis 28 g.	Ct 6: 3 yrs imp (conc).	42 g [ct 10] of methyl, against the background that he was a dealer	
		(cts 3, 6 & 9) (8% discount).	Cts 9 & 10: Offered to sell/supply	Ct 7: 2 yrs imp (conc).	in methyl who had access to substantial quantities of the drug and	
	Delivered	(3.7.7) (3.7. 2.130 (3.11).	methyl (trafficable quantity).	Ct 8: 2 yrs imp (cum)	that he dealt in the drug for profit. There is no reason to doubt that	
	2011 10100		menty (numerous quantity).	Ct 0. 2 y 15 mip (Cum)	that he dealt in the drug for profit. There is no reason to doubt that	

26/08/2022 Formidable criminal history; Ct 13: Poss unlawfully obtain Ct 9: 4 yrs 6 mths imp. he had the capacity to source the drug and that he intended to fulfil property (\$6,260.70 cash). Ct 10: 5 yrs imp (cum). the offer. The seriousness of the offence is aggravated by the fact prior terms of imp. Ct 11: 2 yrs 3 mths imp (conc). that he was on bail at the time of the offence. Three siblings; fairly stable The offending took place over a Ct 13: 10 mths imp (conc). period of about five wks. upbringing; at times subjected At [48] ... having regard to all of the relevant facts and to violence and threats of circumstances and the sentencing principles to be applied, it is not Not genuinely remorseful; no insight into his offending. reasonably arguable that the sentence on count 10 ... was violence. All offences were committed while Den Ridder was on bail for firearm unreasonable or plainly unjust and was therefore manifestly Stealing and fighting from aged offences. excessive. 14 yrs; involved local gangs aged 15 yrs; left home due to Den Ridder agreed to supply an At [51] ... The quantities of methyl involved in the commission of associate with methyl. He met the cts 1, 3, 6, 8, 10 and 11 were reasonably significant and showed his behaviour. associate and supplied him with 28 g that the appellant had ready access to such quantities, and that his Family supportive. of the drug for \$5,000 (ct 1). offers to sell or supply methyl were serious and able to be fulfilled. It cannot be overlooked that [he] was subject to the On another occasion Den Ridder higher max penalty of life imp in respect of cts 1, 9 and 10. Two significant relationships; arranged for a Mr Davidson to supply two sons; both children removed from mother's care a female associate with 1.75 g of At [53] ... the TES imposed upon the appellant bore a proper methyl in exchange for \$600 (ct 2). relationship to the overall criminality involved in all of the due to neglect and his On the same day he supplied an incarceration; daughter and offences the appellant committed, viewed in their entirety and stepdaughter to current associate with 27.2 g of methyl with having regard to all relevant facts and circumstances, ... a purity of 81% (ct 3). Again on the relationship. same day, he offered to supply a female associate with a half-ounce of Commenced using methyl aged 14 yrs; methyl addiction cannabis for \$150. When she asked problematic aged 19 yrs; whether she could instead obtain an commenced selling drugs to ounce of cannabis he agreed and fund his addiction. supplied her with the drug (ct 4). On another occasion Den Ridder agreed and supplied an associate with 3.5 g of methyl (ct 5). The following day Den Ridder spoke with a male associate, who later attended his home and purchased 13.4 g of methyl at 79% pure (ct 6). On further occasions Den Ridder received a series of calls from two associates and agreed to provide them with quantities of methyl. He supplied one associate with 7.12 g of the drug (ct 7) and the other 14 g (ct

On another occasion Den Ridder spoke via mobile telephone to an associate. Den Ridder asked if he wanted 'a big one', being an ounce of

			methyl. The associated replied, 'Yes, the usual' (ct 9). On the same day, following a series of telephone calls he agreed to supply an associate with 42 g of methyl (ct 10). On another occasion Den Ridder received another series of calls from an associate in which he agreed to supply the associate with 14 g of methyl. The associate attended his home and obtained 13.7 g of the drug (ct 11). A SW was executed at Den Ridder's			
26.	Le v The State of	41 yrs time sentencing.	home and \$6,260.70 in cash was located and seized (ct 13). Cts 1-4: Sold methyl 3.4 g - 14.27 g	Cts 1 & 2: 15 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed (leave refused).	213.31 g.
	Western Australia	Convicted after PG (15%	at 69%-77% purity. Ct 5: Sold methyl 83.7 g at 63%	Cts 3 & 4: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 5: 4 yrs 6 mths imp.	Appeal concerned totality principle.	
	[3033] XX A C C A	discount).	purity (trafficable quantity)	Ct 6: 3 yrs imp (cum).	A4 [07] The 4-4-1 effect 1: 11: 11: 11: 11:	
	[2022] WASCA 163	Extensive criminal history	Ct 6: Offer to sell methyl 56 g	Ct 8: 0 mths imp (conc).	At [87] The total offending in this case was clearly very	
	103	Extensive criminal history.	(trafficable quantity). Ct 7: Poss methyl wiss 31.91 g	Ct 8: 9 mths imp (conc). Ct 9: 20 mths imp (conc).	serious. On six separate occasions the appellant either sold or offered to sell methyl including trafficable amounts on two	
	Delivered	Born WA; parents refugees;	(trafficable quantity).	ce 7. 20 mais mp (cone).	occasions When his car was searched, the police located	
	08/12/2022	two older siblings; father	Ct 8: Poss unlawfully obtained	TES 7 yrs 6 mths imp.	another trafficable amount of methyl as well as over \$7,000	
		suffered trauma as a result of	property (\$7,580 cash).		reasonably suspected to have been unlawfully obtained A	
		experiences in Vietnam;	Ct 9: Poss methyl wiss 7.13 g.	The sentencing judge found the appellant	further quantity of methyl was found when the appellant's house	
		domestic violence; parents		committed the offences for financial gain;	was searched	
		worked long hrs; often left to	Le sold a quantity of methyl to an	his conduct was repeated and persistent		
		fend for himself.	UCO in exchange for \$900. Analysis	and the offences were committed within a	At [88] The appellant had numerous previous convictions for	
				short time after being released from prison.	possessing drugs with intent to sell or supply. He had only been	
		Sexually abused as a child.	77% purity (ct 1).	D (1.1.)	released from a lengthy prison sentence for similar drug offending	
		Education diamental by for avent	True days later Land 4th HCO 2.44	Remorseful; desire to overcome drug	five months prior to the current offending	
		Education disrupted by frequent moves; experienced bullying;	Two days later Le sold the UCO 3.44 g of methyl at 76% purity for \$900	dependency; past attempts at rehabilitation unsuccessful.	At [94] It has not been established that the TES breached the	
		difficulties making friends;	(ct 2).	unsuccessiui.	first limb of the totality principle. In particular, it has not been	
		began misbehaving high school;	(Ct 2).		established that the TES failed to bear a proper relationship to the	
		often truanted; repeated yr 11.	About a fortnight later Le offered the		overall criminality involved in all of the offences, viewed in their	
		orten tradition, repeated yr 11.	UCO 56 g of methyl. At an arranged		entirety, having regard to all relevant facts and circumstances	
		Commenced, but did not	meeting Le said he could only supply		(including those referrable to the appellant personally), all relevant	
		complete, TAFE course.	28 g of the drug. Lee supplied the		sentencing factors, and sentences imposed in comparable cases.	
			UCO with a parcel of drugs for			
		Employed family business	which he was paid \$5,500. Analysis			
		when still at school; continued	found the methyl weighed 13.5 g and			
		to work in the business for	at 74% purity (ct 3).			
		many yrs.	The fellowing 1 I I and IICO			
		One shild from former	The following day Le met the UCO			
		One child from former relationship.	and supplied the UCO with a further 14.27 g of methyl at a purity of 69%.			
		retauonsinp.	14.21 g of memyr at a purity of 69%.			

	Long history of illicit drug use; commenced using alcohol and marijuana aged 13 yrs; methyl and ecstasy aged 19 yrs; daily user of heroin.	There was no payment, as this quantity was the balance for the 28 g promised the day before (ct 4). A few days later Le arranged to meet the UCO again. On this occasion Le arrived with another man. It was arranged the other man would provide the UCO with methyl on behalf of Le. The man then supplied the UCO with a quantity of methyl for which he paid \$16,500 cash (ct 5).		ecilions	
		Some days later Le offered so sell the UCO 56 g of methyl for \$11,000. This offer was made via messages sent using WhatsApp (ct 6).	P ^C		
		The next day, Le was apprehended. A search of his vehicle located a clipseal bag containing 75.5 g of methyl. A further search of the vehicle also revealed a pouch, containing about 1.75 g of methyl secreted behind a panel. Also found was a set of digital scales and numerous unused clipseal bags. A clipseal bag containing 1.75 g of methyl and more unused clipseal bags was also located in the roof lining. Two mobile phones were also found. The founds contained messages indicating his involvement in the sale of prohibited drugs (ct 7).	SCOT OF THE PARTY		
		Le was searched and cash totalling \$1,650 was found in one of his pockets. A further \$480 was found in his wallet and in the car a further			
		\$5,450 was found (ct 8). The home at which Le was residing was also searched. A clipseal bag containing 7.13 g of methyl was found (ct 9).			
Astone v The State of Western Australia	59 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after PG (17.5% discount).	Ct 1: Poss unlawfully obtained property \$13,950. Ct 2: Offer to supply methyl 27.96 g. Ct 4: Offer to supply methyl 28 g.	Ct 1: 9 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 3 yrs 3 mths imp (cum). Ct 4: 4 yrs 3 mths imp (HS). Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal dismissed (leave refused for length of sentence). Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle and parity with co-offender's sentence.	227 g.

[2024] WASCA 18

Delivered 16/02/2024

No criminal history; minor road traffic record.

Youngest of three sisters; parents migrated to Australia from Sicily; parents were strict; raised on a farm in a reclusive environment; father was physically and emotionally abusive.

Completed yr 10 at high school; bullied and ostracised at school.

Completed a clerical course at TAFE; worked for extended period in bookkeeping and clerical positions; former employers spoke highly of her work ethic and confirmed she was drug free.

Forced into an arranged marriage; husband was abusive and a drug-user; appellant was afraid to leave the marriage; two children from the marriage (the oldest was the co-offender); marriage ended after 20 yrs.

Later commenced a relationship with Mr E; Mr E was a heroin addict and drug dealer; was abusive to towards the appellant; on and off relationship; died one yr before sentencing.

Poor mental health; anxiety; depression and possibly PTSD.

Became involved in drug dealing to assist her son (the co-offender) with his debts.

Co-Offender

29 yrs at time sentencing.

Ct 5: Poss methyl wiss 111 g at 81% purity.

Ct 6: Poss heroin wiss 60.79 g 74–77% purity.

Ct 7: Poss unlawfully obtained property \$3,000.

Ct 1

Police executed a SW at the appellant's home whilst the co-offender was present. Police seized and charged the co-offender with poss methyl together with poss a firearm, parts and ammunition. Police located \$13,950 in cash. An intercepted phone call revealed the appellant was aware of the cash in her home.

Ct 2

A listening device in the appellant's property recorded a conversation between the appellant and co-offender during which the appellant offered to supply the co-offender with 28 g of methyl.

<u>Ct 4</u>

The listening device captured the appellant offering to supply an individual with 28 g of methyl.

<u>Ct 5</u>

Surveillance devices later recorded the co-offender and Mr T (another co-offender) discussing a plan to collect drugs. Mr T went to the appellant's home, and the appellant and co-offender told Mr T the plan for the day. The appellant gave Mr T \$40 for fuel and the three offenders drove in a two-car convey to a truck stop. Mr T waited at a café and the appellant and co-offender later returned to his location. The co-offender placed a package of methyl

Ct 6: 3 yrs 3 mths imp (conc). Ct 7: 6 mths imp (conc).

TES: 5 yrs 3 mths.

EFP.

Co-offender

Ct 1: 15 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (HS).

The sentencing judge found the appellant had remorse. But the appellant's poor mental health did not reduce her culpability.

The sentencing judge expressly referred to imposing comparable sentences to the co-offender and Mr T.

The sentencing judge found that the drug dealing business belonged to the cooffender; however, the appellant's role allowed the business to operate more efficiently.

The sentencing judge found that the circumstances of the appellant's offending were at least equal to the co-offender.

The sentencing judge found the appellant had knowledge of the legal consequences and harm caused by drug dealing activities. Nonetheless, the appellant became involved and helped facilitate the co-offender's drug dealing activities.

The sentencing judge found that the appellant's involvement was — to some extent — related to a long history of being exposed to domestic violence and being fearful of her then partner.

At [57] 'the appellant played a sustained and integral role in her son's drug dealing business ... the business required the appellant's organisation to function. The appellant herself offered to supply 28 g of methyl to a client and 27.96 g of methyl to her son. She was closely involved in the transport of 111 g of methyl...The transport involved a degree of planning in which a third person was recruited in an effort to conceal the appellant and her son's role in the offending. Separately, she held just over 60 g of heroin for Mr E in her home, which she knew was to be used ... in a commercial operation. A significant degree of accumulation ... was required for the total sentence to reflect the overall criminality.'

At [58] 'we do not accept ... that there is a material distinction to be drawn between a person pursuing a drug dealing enterprise for their own financial benefit and doing so for the financial benefit of a close family member. Nor does the appellant's motivation to protect [the co-offender] from threats ... fundamentally alter the appellant's culpability.'

At [58] 'the appellant did not attempt to extricate [the co-offender] from the trade by finding lawful means of assisting him...Rather, she chose to facilitate the continuation of her son's unlawful drug dealing business.'

At [79] 'overall, there was little to distinguish the roles the appellant and [the co-offender] played in the drug dealing business.'

At [80] 'it is also true the appellant's antecedents provided significantly greater mitigation than those of [the co-offender] ... Based on the mitigating factors that were available to the appellant, it would be expected that she would receive a lower sentence ...'

At [81] 'however, the overall criminality of the offending for which the appellant and [the co-offender] received their respective total effective sentences was not the same. The appellant was convicted of more offences ... the difference between the appellant's and [co-offender's] total effective sentences reflects the greater level of criminality involved in the larger number of offences of which the appellant was convicted.'

At [84] 'considered in isolation, the lack of disparity between the individual sentences for the offences relating to the same 111 g of methyl would not be justifiable given the appellant's significantly better antecedents and other mitigating factors.'

At [85] 'however, it is relevant that the sentenced imposed for ct 5 on the appellant's indictment is to be served concurrently with

24.	Curry v The State	Convicted after PG (20% discount). Ct:1 poss methyl wiss (13.8 g at 63% purity). Ct 2: supply methyl (111 g). Criminal history; imp for serious drug offences; drug and weapon offences. Left school at 17 yrs; receiving Centrelink benefits; drug use; in good physical health. Depressive symptoms.	under the bonnet of Mr T's car and the two vehicles drove away. Police stopped and searched the vehicles, discovering a package containing 111 g of methyl at 81% purity. Ct 6 & 7 After searching the offender's vehicles, a SW was conducted at the appellant's home. Police found 60.79 g of heroin with a purity between 74% and 77%. Police also located \$3,000 in cash. The appellant was holding and hiding the heroin and money for her then-partner Mr E—who was a heroin user and dealer. The appellant was not personally selling or supplying heroin for commercial purposes. Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 248 g at 74%	Ct 1: 6 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	other sentences and so does not add to the length of her total effective sentence.' At [87] 'it was therefore reasonably open for the sentencing judge to take the view that the parity principle was appropriately accommodated by the difference in the total effective sentences imposed.' Dismissed - leave refused.	248 g.
24.	of Western Australia	Convicted after PG (20%	purity. Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained	Ct 2: 8 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned plea discount and totality principle.	240 g.
		discount).	property (\$146,225 cash).	TES 7 yrs 2 mths imp.		
	[2022] WASCA	I and oniminal history	A CW was awarded at an arratual	The contenting index found the effect.	At [46] The appellant did not enter his PG to cts 1 and 2 at the	
	36	Long criminal history; including offences involving	A SW was executed at an apartment and Curry was found in a bedroom of	The sentencing judge found the offending part of a serious criminal enterprise in	first reasonable opportunity	
	Delivered	violence and weapons; on	the apartment	which the appellant was an essential part;	At [49] There is no basis to suppose that the judge failed to	
	25/03/2022	parole for offence of agg burg	and uputument	he offended for commercial gain and there	apply the 20% discount to both cts 1 and 2.	
		at time offending.	A vacuum sealed bag containing the	was a clear connection between his poss of	11.7	
			methyl was also located in the	the methyl and his poss of the \$146,225 in	1	
		Raised by single mother; absent	bedroom.	cash.	[was] found to be a prolonged drug-dealing enterprise; the	
		father; aged 12 yrs parents	Also in the hedreson was \$146,005.	The contonoing index found to the contonoing	weight and purity of the methyl; and the fact that [he]	
		unsuccessfully attempted to revive their relationship.	Also in the bedroom was \$146,225 in cash, a box magazine, five shotgun	The sentencing judge found text messages suggested the appellant dealt with 'lower	committed the offence while on parole, [his] sentence on ct 1 was well within the range of sentences available on a proper exercise of	
		revive then relationship.	rounds, a stun device, metal baton	level' dealers and users; indicating his	the sentencing discretion. A materially higher sentence could have	
		Left school aged 16 yrs;	and identification documents in	involvement was much more than that of a	been imposed without revealing error	
		undertook four-yr	Curry's name.	courier or storekeeper, the messages		
		apprenticeship.		helped better identify his role and showed	At [61] The same is true, in our opinion, of the sentence imposed	
		T	The box magazine fitted a rifle seized	that his offending the subject of ct 1 was	on ct 2, and the TES on cts 1 and 2 as a whole.	
		Two significant relationships; first involved mutual substance	earlier from the apartment complex.	sustained rather than isolated; it was	At [60] the appellant was not being sentenced for offences	
		abuse; second partner positive	Curry was involved with a group of	significant the criminal enterprise possessed firearms and weapons; although	At [69] the appellant was not being sentenced for offences concerning the firearms, ammunition and weapons. However, the	
		and supportive; two young	people who dealt drugs and he did	the appellant was not being sentenced for	fact that the criminal enterprise of which [he] was a part was in	
		children at time sentencing.	not possess the drugs and the cash	poss of weapons, they formed part of the	poss of those items formed part of the circumstances relevant to	
			alone.	circ of the offending.	[his] offending. The judge did not err in so finding. The nature,	
		Commenced methyl use aged			scale and characteristics of the criminal enterprise of which the	
		20 yrs; long-standing		The sentencing judge found the offending	appellant's offending formed a part was a matter relevant to the	
		entrenched drug addiction at		aggravated by the fact the appellant	judge's evaluation of the seriousness of the appellant's offences.	

		time sentencing.		committed the offences while on parole		
				and while cts 1 and 2 were connected, their		
				seriousness made it appropriate to impose		
				cum sentences.		
				Remorseful; insight into his offending;		
				courses undertaken while in custody; steps	Ċ.	
				taken to address his drug use; expressed		
				desire to avoid reoffending and to fulfil his		
				responsibilities as a father.		
23.	Wijnen v The State of Western	34 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Att to possess methyl wiss 291 g at 81–82% purity.	Ct 1: 6 yrs 6 mths imp.	Appeal dismissed (leave refused).	291 g.
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	S	EFP.	Appeal concerned length of sentence.	
			Australian Border Force intercepted a			
	[2024] WASCA 1	No criminal history.	package sent to a residential	The sentencing judge found that the	At [30] 'the major sentencing considerations for offences of	
	. ,		premises. The package contained	appellant played an important part in the	dealing in or trafficking dangerous drugs of addiction are general	
	Delivered	Stable and loving home;	vacuum-sealed bags containing	offence. The offending was not merely a	and personal deterrence. The weight of the drugs in question is not	
	04/01/2024	average school student; left	methyl.	fleeting involvement.	generally the chief factor to be taken into account in fixing a	
		school at 16 yrs to commence		3 3 3	sentence, but it is a matter of importance.'	
		apprenticeship.	The package was reconstructed and	The sentencing judge characterised the	1	
			substituted with an inert substance. A	appellant as an important cog in the	At [32] ' the appellant attempted to possess a significant	
		Changed employment due to	listening device was installed in the	offence, which would have involved the	quantity of methyl of high purity. That quantity was more than ten	
		injuries sustained in a car	package. The package was then	distribution of the drugs to somebody else.	times the trafficable quantity prescribed'	
		accident; later became a crane	delivered.			
		driver and truck driver;		The sentencing judge found that the	At [33] 'although no finding of commerciality was madethe	
		diagnosed with testicular cancer	At the residence, the female co-	appellant was, apart from his drug issues	appellant's role was nonetheless significantHis role was a	
		and was in remission at	accused answered the door and	and offending, a person capable of making	trusted one that would plainly have facilitated the further	
		sentencing.	received the package. She attempted	a positive commitment to society.	distribution of the drugs into the community.'	
			to call the appellant. When he did not		·	
		Depression; medicated since	answer, she sent a text message to the	The sentencing judge accepted that the	At [46] 'in the present case, whilst the appellant's role was not	
		2018; showed some signs of	appellant asking whether he was	appellant had facilitated the course of	described as being commercial, he was nonetheless an important	
		ADHD or autism.	expecting a package. The appellant	justice by narrowing issues at trial.	cog in the criminal enterprise Whilst the appellant had a number	•
			responded he would come to the		of favourable personal circumstances, such factors are of less	
		Escalating methyl use; daily use	house in an hour.	The sentencing judge was unwilling to	weight in respect of offending of this nature and are subsumed to	
		by time of offending;		accept the appellant was remorseful for his	the importance of general and personal deterrence.'	
		acknowledged that he had	On arrival, the appellant asked the	actions.		
		previously sold or supplied	co-accused for some gloves. Police			
		drugs; ceased use of methyl in	executed a search warrant and found			
		custody.	the appellant near the package. He			
			was wearing a pair of latex gloves			
		Well regarded by family and	and holding a Stanley knife. The			
		friends; supported by long-term	appellant was searched and a clip-			
		partner.	seal bag containing 24g of methyl			
			was located in his sock. In his wallet			
			a small piece of paper had the same			
			name and address details on the			
			package.			
			During his interview, the appellant			
			claimed he was a coin collector, and			

	fragile grandparents with COVID-19.			
22. HVA v The State of Western Australia [2024] WASCA 156 Delivered 12/12/2024 Statesive criminal history; traffic, property, nuisance, weapons poss and drug-relatoffences; repeat offender (hourglaries). Youngest of three children; difficult childhood due to father's violence and alcoholism. Expelled from school in yr Worked intermittently; maitunemployed or in prison. Two children; intermittent contact with eldest child. Diagnosed ADHD, depress and anxiety. Cannabis use since 12 yrs of methyl use since 13 yrs old heavy user of methyl.	g. Ct 1: Burg. Ct 2: Poss methyl wiss 325.4 g at 74–81% purity. Ct 3: Poss unlawfully obtained property \$6,100. Ct 4: Poss methyl wiss 32.5 g. ated nome The appellant drove a co-offender to the victim's home and parked outside the front gate of the house. A short time later, the co-offender entered the property and stole more than \$10,000 worth of assorted items while inside. 10. Ct 2 & 3 The co-offender then exited the house and got into the car driven by the appellant, who drove to a unit. The appellant retrieved a black Rip Curl bag from the boot of the car. The appellant then parked the car inside a garage at the unit. A short time later, police conducted a SW at the unit. Police located 325.4 g of methyl and \$6,100 inside the Rip	Ct 3: 8 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 1 yr imp (cum). TES: 9 yrs imp. EFP. The sentencing judge found that the	Appeal dismissed (leave refused). Appeal concerned length of sentence imposed on ct 2 and first limb of totality principle. At [33] 'in the present case the appellant was conducting a commercial drug operation from which he was found to have derived a substantial amount of money. For the purposes of that operation he was in possession of 325.4 g of methylamphetamine – over 11 times the threshold for a trafficable quantity of 28 g of methylamphetamine. The appellant had previously been sentenced to significant terms of immediate imprisonment in 2017 and 2021 for drug related offences. The offending the subject of count 2 was committed only shortly after the appellant's release from prison Even having regard to the appellant's early pleas of guilty, remorse and other mitigating factors which the record indicated the sentencing judge took into account, the sentence of 6 yrs imprisonment imposed for ct 2 cannot be regarded as unreasonable or plainly unjust' At [34] ' although the offences charged in counts 1-3 were committed on the same day, the home burglary offence was separate to the offending charged in counts 2 and 3. The offending charged in count 4 involved a continuation of the appellant's commercial drug dealing operation even after he had been released on bail for previous offending. At least some degree of accumulation of the appropriate individual sentences for counts 1, 2 and 4 was required to reflect the overall criminality involved in all of the appellant's offending.' At [35] ' having regard to [all relevant factors] a total	357.9 g.
21. <i>Jacomb v The</i> 36 yrs at time sentencing.	About six weeks later, police executed a search warrant at the appellant's unit. Police located a clipseal bag containing 32.5 g of methyl. Ind 1437	<u>Ind 1437</u>	effective sentence of 9 years' imprisonment was not unreasonable or plainly unjust.' Allowed.	424.725 g.
State of Western Australia Ind 1437 Convicted after very late PC		Cts 1-2; 4; 8; 10; 12-14 & 19: 6mths imp (conc). Cts 3; 7; 11; 16 & 20: 9 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned error in law (failure to give credit for time spent in custody) and totality principle.	
[2021] WASCA (10% discount). 81	Ct 18: Conspiracy to sell methyl 280 g. Ct 20: Poss methyl 2.25 g. Ind 2201	Ct 5: 1 yr imp (cum). Cts 6; 9 & 17: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 15: 2 yrs 6 mths imp. (cum). Ct 18: 4 yrs imp (head).	Resentenced: Ind 1437 (10% discount) Cts 1; 2 & 4: 6 mths imp (conc).	

Prior criminal history.

Born New Zealand; moved to Australia in 2002.

Completed yr 10 high school.

Consistent work history until 2015; not engaged in any legitimate employment on loss of one of his legs resulting from being shot.

Long-term relationship; four children aged 1-14 yrs; important role in the rearing of his deceased sister's children.

Drug abuser; drug use escalated following the shooting incident; commenced dealing drugs at a high level.

1 x Poss of methyl wiss 8.55 g at 49%-81% purity).

<u>Ind 1437 (2016 offences)</u>

Over a period of about three mths Jacomb made a number of offers to sell or supply prohibited drugs. The total amount of methyl he offered to sell or supply was 126.125 g and, including the conspiracy and possession charges, 416.175 g of methyl.

On one occasion Jacomb agreed to provide a half-ball (1.75 g) of methyl (ct 1). That same day he agreed to supply another associate with another half-ball of methyl (ct 2). The next day he offered an associate a ball (3.5 g) of methyl. A week later he agreed to provide an associate with a quarter-ball (0.875 g) of methyl for \$400 (ct 4).

Two days later a series of messages indicated Jacomb was intending to provide a person with firearms. As a result his vehicle was stopped and searched. An altered rifle (ct 5) and a homemade submachine gun (ct 6) were located in his vehicle. He was arrested and released to bail.

After his release on bail Jacomb continued to deal in methyl. Over the following weeks he agreed to supply 3.5 g for \$750; a half-ball (1.75 g) and 28 g of the drug (cts 7-9).

After appearing in the Magistrates Court in relation to the firearm offences Jacomb's bail was extended. Again, he continued to deal in methyl while on bail.

On receiving a call from an associate asking for a half-ball (1.75 g) of methyl Jacomb informed the associate he could supply 1g of the drug (ct 10) and the further 0.75 g at

Ind 2201

1 yr imp (cum with sentence on Ind 1437).

TES 8 yrs 6 mths imp.

EFP.

The sentencing judge found the appellant's offending was not isolated; it involved the ongoing supply of methyl; he was a significant dealer at a high level with the ability to source large quantities; the dealing was of a commercial nature; he had the ability and willingness to provide firearms to others, enhancing the risk to people in the community and he had also modified the firearms.

The appellant committed offences while on bail on two occasions and the 2019 offending while on bail awaiting trial for the 2016 offences; the sentencing judge expressly decided against backdating the sentence to give credit for 273 days in custody, the period from when the appellant returned to custody until the day before his conviction.

Expressions of responsibility and remorse not accepted by sentencing judge; courses undertaken while in custody; past opportunities to achieve rehabilitation unsatisfactory.

Prospect of deportation once sentence of imp served.

Cts 3 & 7: 9 mths imp (conc).

Ct 5: 1 yrs imp (cum).

Ct 6: 2 yrs imp (conc).

Cts 8; 10; 12-14 & 19: 7 mths imp (conc).

Ct 9: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).

Cts 11; 16 & 20: 10 mths imp (conc).

Ct 15: 3 yrs imp (conc).

Ct 17: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).

Ct 18: 4 yrs imp (head).

Ind 2201 (20% discount)

6 mths imp.

TES 8 yrs imp.

EFP.

At [89] In our respectful opinion, the sentencing judge's reasons for declining to give credit for the 273 days in custody reveal an error of principle, ...

At [101] The appellant's offending had a number of serious features.

At [102] The appellant's offending the subject of the 2016 offences revealed that he was conducting an ongoing drug dealing business, including dealing in drugs in substantial quantities, over a period of about nine wks. He was engaged in that enterprise for commercial reasons. To the extent that he was dealing in drugs for the purpose of paying his own drug debts, that purpose is nevertheless a commercial one. ...

At [103] Further, cts 5 and 6, the firearms offences, were themselves serious and, as the judge rightly observed, called for a degree of accumulation. The appellant was unlawfully in poss of two weapons that he had modified to enhance their use, and he was intending to pass them onto another person.

At [104]-[105] ... cts 7 – 20 were aggravated by the fact that they were committed while the appellant was on bail. ... The ... offending the subject of the 2019 offence was also aggravated by his committing the offence while on bail for the 2016 offences. ...

			a later date.			
			On other occasions Jacomb agreed to supply a ball of methyl (3.5 g) for \$1,000; 1.75 g for \$550; 1.75 g; 1 g; 42 g; 3.5 g and 28 g respectively of methyl (cts 11-17). On another occasion Jacomb received a call from an associate indicating he had \$40,000-\$60,000 to spend and was seeking 10 ounces (280 g) of methyl. He then conspired to source the methyl (ct 18).			
			On another occasions Jacomb agreed to supply an associate with a half-ball (1.75 g) of methyl (ct 19).	· CPYC		
			A SW was executed at Jacomb's home. A clipseal bag containing 2.25 g of methyl was located near where he was sitting (ct 20).	c Pulojic F		
			Ind 2201 (2019 offence) This offence was committed while Jacomb was on bail awaiting trial for the 2016 offences.	CKOLOJ		
			A SW was executed at Jacomb's home. Four clipseal bags; two digital scales and some unused clipseal bags were located. The clipseal bags contained 0.26 g; 3.49 g (49% purity); 2.77 g (81% purity) and 2.03 g (78% purity) of methyl.			
			Jacomb's mobile phone also contained messages relating to the sale and supply of prohibited drugs and a 'tick list' of sales. When interviewed Jacomb denied dealing in drugs.			
20.	Walker v The State of Western Australia	38 yrs at time offending. 40 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 6: Supplied methyl 83.3 g (trafficable quantity). Ct 9: Supplied methyl 373.6 g	Ct 6: 5 yrs 6 mths imp (partially cum, to commence after having served 4 yrs 6 mths).	Dismissed - Buss P dissenting. Appeal concerned parity principle and length of sentence ct 9.	456.9 g.
	[2022] WASCA 100	Convicted after PG (20% discount).	(trafficable quantity). An UCO communicated with the co-	Ct 9: 8 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). TES 10 yrs imp.	At [71]-[72] the individual sentence for ct 9 may properly be described as heavy and that the exercise of a sound sentencing	

	Delivered 08/08/2022	Prior criminal history. Dysfunctional upbringing; marred by parents drug use and domestic violence. Married ex-wife 15 yrs; two	offender Alo using an encrypted messenger service known as Ciphr. During these communications Alo arranged to sell the UCO a quantity of methyl. Walker met with Alo and gamelied him with the drug (et 6)	EFP. Co-offender Alo: Charged with seven offences on same indictment, two overlapping, albeit not identical set of foots	discretion could have led to a lighter individual sentence. Nevertheless, in our view the sentence cannot properly be characterised as unreasonable or plainly unjust to the extent that the individual sentence for ct 9 was heavy (albeit not manifestly excessive), it was in any event softened by the order that it be served partly conc with the sentence for ct 6	
		children. Suffered depression after disintegration of his marriage.	supplied him with the drug (ct 6). The UCO gave Alo \$15,000 in exchange for the methyl. The methyl was seized and later	identical, set of facts. TES 10 yrs imp. EFP. The sentencing judge found the appellant as equally culpable as his co-offender.	At [98]-[99] the lack of disparity in the TES imposed on each of the appellant and Mr Alo must be explained, if it can be, by the greater seriousness of ct 9 (committed by the appellant), compared to ct 7 (committed by Mr Alo) the additional quantities of	
		History of association with OMC; held the position of sergeant at arms.	analysis showed it weighed 83.3 g (at approx 53% purity). On another date Walker and another co-accused, Robinson, met at an address. The meeting was arranged by Walker in order to facilitate the sale of 10 ounces of methyl to Alo.	The sentencing judge found the quantities of the drug to be very significant; his ability to fulfil at relatively short notice, 3 ounces and then 10 ounces of methyl, highlighted the level of his involvement in the hierarchy of the drug dealing community.	methyl included in ct 9 compared to ct 7, readily justify the disparity of 1 yr imp for the individual sentences imposed in relation to those cts the appellant's possession of an additional 93.6 g of methyl was a significant distinguishing feature of that offending.	
			That same day a SW was executed at the address. Walker and Robinson were located in the house and arrested. The search located a clip seal bag containing 82.9 g of methyl (65% to 67% purity); a wrapped package containing 10 smaller clip seal bags each containing 1 ounce of methyl, weighing a total of 277 g (at between 57% and 76% purity). In Walker's car a clip seal bag containing 13.7 g of methyl (at 65% purity) was also located (ct 9).	The sentencing judge found the appellant had the capacity to source significant quantities of illicit drugs; he played a major role in the distribution of drugs and was high up in the chain of command in relation to the distribution of drugs within the community. Appellant undertaken all available programs; ceased all involvement with OMC gang at time sentencing.		
19.	Nickson v The	58 yrs at time sentencing.	<u>Ind 2154</u>	<u>Ind 2154</u>	Dismissed.	578.04 g.
	State of Western Australia	Convicted after PG (Ind 2154 10% discount and Ind	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 69.5 g. <u>Ind 990</u>	Ct 1: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). <u>Ind 990</u>	Appeal concerned totality principle.	
	[2021] WASCA 40	990 20% discount). Extensive prior criminal	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 505.59 g at 4% and 77%-80%. Ct 2: Poss dexamphetamine wiss	Ct 1: 7 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).	At [52] It was a significant agg factor that the appellant's offending in relation to [Ind 990] occurred while he was on bail for the offence charged in [Ind 2154]. Also, it was a significant agg	
	Delivered 05/03/2021	history; previous convictions for drug related offences.	2.95 g. Ct 3: Poss cannabis wiss 105.5 g Cts 4-6: Poss unlawfully obtained	Ct 4: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 5: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 6: 12 mths imp (conc).	factor in relation to the offences involving methyl that the appellant was dealing commercially in that drug. Further, the seriousness of the appellant's drug dealing offences was	
		Born New Zealand; unremarkable childhood; came to Australia aged 30 yrs; close with his mother and sister; father deceased.	property (\$8,745 cash; jewellery and \$700 cash). Ind 2154 A SW was executed at Nickson's	TES 11 yrs imp. EFP.	underscored by his poss of a variety of weapons At [53] we are satisfied that it was necessary, in order properly to mark the seriousness of the appellant's overall offending, for the individual sentences for the ct on [Ind 2154] and for ct 1 on [Ind	

Educated to yr 10; completed trade apprenticeship; employed consistently until 2007.

Marriage of 17 yrs ended 2006.

home. A package, containing five clip seal bags, was located in a freezer. Each clipseal bag contained quantities of methyl, weighting a total of 69.5 g.

In Nickson's bedroom three sets of digital scales, a small quantity of methyl, numerous clipseal bags, various weapons, a mobile telephone and \$6,000 cash was found.

A further \$2,000 cash was also found in a shed, along with a quantity of the cutting agent MSM.

Nickson was charged and released on bail.

Ind 990

Some mths later Nickson was inside a unit when it was searched by police. The property was fortified with chains and pieces of property. Police were forced to dismantle the barricade to gain entry.

Inside the unit three separate quantities of methyl were found in three separate locations. In a cupboard in clipseal bags a total of 194.9 g of methyl with a purity of between 77% and 80% was found. In another part of the cupboard clipseal bags containing a total of 12.69 g of methyl with a purity of 4% was found. In the shower area police also located a clipseal bag containing 298 g of methyl with a purity of 77% (ct

Another clipseal bag found in the unit contained 13 tablets, being 2.95 g of dexamphetamine (ct 2).

Also located were two clipseal bags containing cannabis, with a total weight of 105.5 g (ct 3).

In various locations within the unit a

The sentencing judge found it was an agg factor that the offences the subject of Ind 990 were committed while the appellant was on bail for the offence charged in Ind 2154 and that all the offences were committed in the context of the appellant conducting an ongoing drug dealing business for commercial gain.

The sentencing judge found the appellant had been selling illicit drugs since 2007 to fund his personal illicit drug use; he was within the mid to high level user/dealer range.

Some demonstrated remorse; steps taken to rehabilitate himself and drug programmes undertaken while in custody.

990] to be served cumulatively. The offences charged in those cts involved separate and distinct offending.

At [55] The TES bears a proper relationship to the overall criminality involved in all of the offences, viewed in their entirety, and having regard to all relevant circumstances, ...

			total of \$8,745 in cash was found (ct 4) and inside a safe were various			
			items of jewellery with an estimated value of \$10,000 (ct 5).			
			Data from a mobile telephone located in the unit revealed Nickson had been offered jewellery in exchange for the discharge of outstanding debts.			
			Digital scales, numerous clipseal bags, stun guns and an electrical shotgun were also located in the unit.		CULIONS	
			A SW was then executed at another premises. A caravan, over which Nickson had control, was searched and found to contain \$700 cash, scales and a stun gun (ct 6).	RYC		
18.	The State of Western	34 yrs at time offending. 35 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 139 g at 78% purity.	Ct 1: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 3 yrs 6 mths imp.	Appeal allowed.	697 g.
	Australia v YCL	Convicted after PG (22%	Ct 2: Poss cocaine wiss 558 g at 16–19% purity.	TES: 3 yrs 6 mths imp.	Appeal concerned length of individual sentences and first limb of totality principle.	
	[2024] WASCA 124	discount, 10% discount for past cooperation).	The respondent was found in possession of the drugs inside his	EFP.	Resentenced:	
	Delivered 07/10/2024	Limited criminal history.	house. The prohibited drugs were located in a pencil case inside a black	The sentencing judge found the respondent was 'at the absolute bottom' of the drug	Ct 1: 4 yrs 3 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 5 yrs 3 mths imp.	
		Grew up in a loving family.	backpack belonging to the respondent.	distribution chain. The sentencing judge characterised the appellant's role as the	EFP after 5 yrs 3 mths.	
		Left school in yr 11; bullied at school; commenced apprenticeship but did not	The drugs had been sent to the respondent via the mail, and his role	'package holder' and a 'passer-on-er'. The sentencing judge found the appellant	At [66] 'the cases referred to by the appellant show that, even allowing for a 22% discount for the plea of guilty, the 10%	
		complete it.	was to temporarily keep the drugs and deliver them when instructed.	had a low level of culpability. However, the offender was sentenced on the basis	discount for past cooperation and all other mitigating circumstances, the sentence of 18 mths imp imposed upon the	
		Worked in a number of occupations; fruit picking;	The respondent was paid a small sum of cash in return for each delivery.	that the offending was not isolated and that he had been involved in the venture for a	respondent for ct 1 is very much an outlier.'	
		warehouse work; business became strained from COVID-	The respondent cooperated with	period of time.	At [68] ' this court has generally treated cocaine and other prohibited drugs such as methylamphetamine and heroin as being	
		19 leading to offending.	police and received recognition for that cooperation.	The sentencing judge found that personal deterrence was not a significant factor; the	of similar seriousness.'	
		In a long-term relationship; two children; family moved interstate after arrest.		sentencing judge had 'every confidence' that the respondent would never find himself before the court again.	At [75] 'an analysis of the cases cited by the appellant in respect of ct 2 reveals that the individual sentence imposed on the respondent was very lenient, even when the respondent's plea of guilty,	
		Cannabis user from 19 yrs old.			cooperation and other mitigating factors are taken into account. However, an important difference is that the cocaine the subject of ct 2 was of a significantly lower purity than the prohibited drugs the subject of the offences in the appellant's comparable cases.'	
					At [77] 'the sentencing judge plainly regarded the respondent's criminal culpability as being at a very low level and made a series	

17.	ATH v The State	36 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Poss methyl 977 g at 75-77%	7 yrs imp.	of very generous findings to that effect. For example, her Honour found that the respondent was "naïve", a person of good character, and did not require personal deterrence.' At [79] 'in addressing culpability, what matters is not the label that is placed on the offender as labels are apt to mislead Instead, what matters is what the respondent actually did.' At [81] 'the respondent was lower in the drug distribution enterprise than [others]. However, this does not mean that the respondent's role was unimportant or significantHis motive was commercial. Doubtless, the respondent saw what he was doing as providing easy money, but it could not have been lost on him that his conduct involved serious criminality and that he was assisting persons who were involved in the business of distributing substantial qualities of prohibited drugs into the community.' At [84] 'in our opinion the individual sentence imposed by her Honour on ct 1 was erroneously low. Importantly, it did not properly reflect the respondent's criminality and provided insufficient general deterrence.' Dismissed (leave refused).	977g.
1/.	of Western	36 yrs at time sentencing.	purity.		Dismissed (leave refused).	977g.
	Australia	Convicted after PG.	ATH drove her co-offender, M, from	EFP.	Appeal concerned parity principle.	
	[2021] WASCA	Minor criminal history.	a rural location to a Perth suburb for	Co-offender M	At [31] The facts and circumstances of the offending by the	
	149	Raised stable household until	M to take delivery of a quantity of methyl. The length of the return	PG (20% discount) 6 yrs imp.	appellant and M reveal that M had a higher level of culpability than the appellant. However, the appellant's role was still	
	Delivered	aged 11 yrs; parents separated;	journey was about 1,000 km.	o yit imp.	important. The fact that [she] did not know that she was	
	24/08/2021	mother's new relationship		The trial judge found the appellant's role	transporting as much as a kg of methyl (in circumstances where	
		marred by domestic abuse;	M hid the package in the roof cavity	was similar to that of a courier; she agreed	she knew the quantity was significant) carries, at best, very limited	
		sexually abused by mother's	of ATH's vehicle.	to drive M to Perth in the knowledge M	weight in assessing her culpability	
		new partner; relationship with		was intending to take poss of a significant		
		mother broke down;	The same day they made the return	quantity of methyl; she gave M permission	At [36] We are satisfied, after evaluating and weighing all relevant	
		subsequently lived with her father and then her aunt.	journey. ATH initially drove and then M took over the driving. M was	to hide the methyl in the roof cavity of her vehicle; she intended to supply the drugs to	sentencing factors, in the context of the facts and circumstances of the offending by the appellant and M, and after taking into account	
		radici and then her aunt.	driving when he was stopped by	M upon their return by permitting M to	the similarities and differences between their offending and their	
		Three children; separated from	police. ATH's vehicle was	retrieve the drugs from her vehicle and it	personal circumstances and antecedents, that the sentence imposed	
		their father 5 yrs prior to	confiscated because M did not have a	was a reasonable inference that she stood	on the appellant did not infringe the parity principle or the	
		sentencing; one child diagnosed	valid MDL.	to gain a benefit by driving M to and from	principle of equal justice.	
		ADHD, another with autism;		the rural location in one day.		
		another suffered abuse.	The vehicle was searched and the	The tried judge found the facts and		
		Father supportive; cares for her	drugs were located in the roof cavity.	The trial judge found the facts and circumstances of the appellant's offending		
		children whilst in custody.		were less serious than those of M; she		
				acted, in essence, under M's instructions		
		New relationship marred by		and the extent to which she was to benefit		
		domestic abuse; hospitalised on		from the offending was much less than that		
		one occasion; partner arrested		of M; however M, unlike the appellant,		
		and threatened to kill her.		had the benefit of three important		

		Employed number of roles; receiving unemployment benefits at time offending. Suffered stress after death of her sister in MV accident. Medicated for depression and anxiety; att suicide about two mths after offending; sought and participated in counselling.		mitigating factors; an early PG; cooperation and assistance; remorse. No demonstrated remorse; genuine attempts made at rehabilitation and to abstain from illicit drugs.		
		Commenced using methyl 2018; drug use quickly escalated; drug rehabilitation undertaken.		240		
16.	KJL v The State	30 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Att poss methyl wiss 113 g at	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (cum).	Dismissed.	1192.34 g.
	of Western Australia	32 yrs at time sentencing.	78% purity. Ct 2: Att poss methyl wiss 27.5 g at	Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of sentence ct 5 and totality principle.	
	[2021] W/A C/C/A	Convicted after PG (25%	79% purity.	Ct 4: 12 mths imp (conc).	A4 [50] The chieving minimality is 1 11 45	
	[2021] WASCA 65	discount).	Ct 3: Poss amphetamine wiss 60.84 g at 4% purity.	Ct 5: 8 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	At [50] The objective criminality involved in ct 5 was very serious.	
	D 1' 1	No prior criminal history.	Ct 4: Poss psilocin wiss 10.4 g at	TES 10 yrs 6 mths imp.	At [53] Ct 5 was committed in the context that, for a period of yrs,	
	Delivered	Dom in Now Zoolog J. class to	0.2% purity.	EED	the appellant had eschewed legitimate paid work for what he	
	22/04/2021	Born in New Zealand; close to parents and sister; all very	Ct 5: Att poss MDMA wiss 991 g at	EFP.	considered an easier and more lucrative business as a drug dealer. From this business, he derived a comfortable standard of living. As	
		supportive.	79% purity.	The sentencing judge found the appellant	such, the appellant's culpability was substantially greater than that	
		supportive.	<u>Ct 1</u>	was at the mid to high-level (but not at the	of a mere courier or warehouser of prohibited drugs. The	
		Completed high school.	A package, sent from the US and	highest level) of the drug hierarchy.	appellant's business placed him at a level far higher than a street-	
			addressed to a parcel locker in West	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	level drug dealer. The offending is precisely the kind of	
		Regularly employed until aged	Perth, was examined at a Melbourne	The sentencing judge found the offending	commercial drug dealing that must attract a sentence which deters	
		26 yrs; considered he would	facility. It was found to contain	involved a significant variety of drugs in	others from behaving in the same way.	
		make more money selling drugs	methyl concealed in shoes. The drug	significant quantities; the drugs the subject		
		than he would in an 'ordinary'	was substituted with an inert	of cts 1, 2 and 5 very high purity; the	At [54] The offending engaged in by the appellant involved a	
		job; drug dealing his principal	substance.	appellant had att to possess MDMA which was to be distributed into the wider	high level of sophistication. The commission of ct 5 required the	
		source of income.	KJL was captured on CCTV	community; the offending involved a	appellant to source from overseas a large quantity of high purity MDMA. To do so, he used the anonymity of the dark web [and]	
		Engaged in both paid and	collecting the package from the	significant element of planning and	he leased the secure parcel locker in a false name.	
		volunteer employment at time	parcel locker. The same package was	sophistication utilising the dark web to	To really the secure parcer rocker In a raise name.	
		sentencing.	later located at his home. The approx	purchase illicit drugs online at a cheap	At [65] the overall criminality revealed in the five offences	
			value of the methyl, if sold in ounce	price to increase profit; the offending	committed by the appellant involved a high degree of culpability.	
		No children.	lots at the time it was seized, was	occurred in the context that he had been	While ct 5 was undoubtedly the most serious of the offences, cts 1,	
			between \$18,000 and \$24,000.	commercially dealing in illicit drugs over a	2 3 and 4 were also serious.	
		User and dealer in illicit drugs	Ct 2	significant period of time as part of a	A4 FCCL the ampellant of 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
		many yrs, particularly methyl.	Ct 2	regular business and that drug dealing was	At [66] the appellant established and conducted a lucrative	
		Diagnosed with ADHD.	Some wks later another package, sent from the US and addressed to the	funding his 'very comfortable' lifestyle; the offences were committed not only to	commercial business as a drug dealer. He dealt in significant quantities of various illicit drugs. Rather than obtaining an income	
		Diagnosca with ADIID.	same parcel locker, was examined. It	enable him to stockpile illicit drugs for his	legitimately, [he] made the conscious and deliberate choice to	
			contained a quantity of methyl,	own use, but also for profit.	make his living as a drug dealer. The offending was sophisticated	

which was substituted with an inert substance before being delivered. KJL was seen retrieving the package and was arrested. The methyl, if sold as an ounce, was at the time valued at between \$4,500 and \$6,000. On his mobile phone police found messages related to the sourcing of drugs through the mail.

Ct 3

A search of KJL's home located a package containing four separate packages of a brown paste. Analysis showed the paste was amphetamine.

Ct 4

Also located in KJL's home were 15 capsules containing a powder. Subsequent analysis found they contained psilocin, a synthetic hallucinogenic analogous to that found in magic mushrooms.

Also located during the search of his home were scales, empty clipseal bags, small amounts of methyl, cocaine, MDMA and cannabis, along with used drug paraphernalia, knuckledusters and an expandable baton. Items consistent with the packaging of drugs for sale, including a heat vacuum-sealing machine; vacuum-seal bags and cloth and latex gloves were also found.

A laptop computer seized contained a Tor browser, used to access the dark web, along with evidence of cryptocurrency transactions.

Ct 5

Several days after KJL's arrest another package from the US, addressed to a parcel locker in Cloverdale was examined at a Sydney facility. It contained MDMA powder, concealed inside boxing equipment. The parcel locker address was identical to packaging Low risk of reoffending; genuinely remorseful; steps taken to rehabilitate himself; undertaken counselling and abstinent from illicit drug use since his arrest

and involved the importation into Australia from the US, via the postal system, of his stock-in-trade. In these circumstances, the offending could hardly be described as isolated or a short-term aberration. ...

At [68] In order to properly reflect the appellant's overall criminality, some accumulation of the individual sentences that were imposed was required. To have imposed conc sentences for each of cts 1 to 4 would have resulted in the imposition of a TES which would not have properly reflected the appellant's overall criminality.

			discovered at his home. The value of			
			the MDMA was approx \$50,000 if			
			sold by the kg, and approx \$141,000			
			if sold in ounce lots.			
			if sold iff outlice lots.			
			Text messages at the time of his		Α.	
			arrest revealed KJL was actively		S	
			inquiring about the purchase of 84 g			
			of cocaine and that a kg of MDMA			
			(the subject of ct 5) was on its way.			
15.	Chuang v The	43 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Offer to sell methyl (3 kg).	9 yrs imp.	Dismissed.	3000 g.
13.	_	45 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Offer to sen methyr (3 kg).	9 yrs mp.	Dismissed.	3000 g.
	State of Western			EED	1 1 00 1 11 2 2 1 10 11 1	
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	Chaung and the co-accused, Mr Law,	EFP.	Appeal concerned error of fact (appellant's capacity to fulfil the	
			and a person in Hong Kong, were		drug order); disparity with sentence of co-offender (Mr Law) and	
	[2021] WASCA	Prior criminal history; prior	engaged in a drug distribution	The sentencing judge found the appellant	length of sentence.	
	49	sentence of imp for selling	enterprise.	and Mr Law were criminal partners in the		
		methyl.		enterprise.	At [156] the appellant was part of a syndicate, comprising of	
	Delivered		On the direction of the person in		Mr Law and [the person] in Hong Kong, which was importing	
	19/03/2021	Born Taiwan; lived in Australia	Hong Kong, Chuang and Mr Law	The sentencing judge found the appellant	methyl into WA. Viewed in this light, it was open to his Honour to	
	17/03/2021	over 20 yrs.	offered to sell 3 kg of methyl to a Mr	had the capacity to deliver actual methyl;	find that the appellant had the capacity to fulfil the order.	
		over 20 yrs.			Tind that the appenant had the capacity to furth the order.	
		** 1	Lofts for \$155,000.	the offending was premediated and	A - F1 - CO TT	
	Co-offender of:	Hairdresser by trade.		calculated; he was motivated by financial	At [162] There were two factors which required Mr Law to	
			Chuang and Mr Law did not intend to	gain.	receive, as he did, a more lenient sentence than the appellant	
	Law v The Queen	Three children.	provide Mr Lofts with the actual	8	When one has regard to these factors, the disparity in the sentences	
	[2019] WASCA		drug, Rather, they intended to 'rip	Remorseful.	imposed for the common offence is accounted for, and thus there	
	81		off' Mr Lofts by providing him with	XO'	has been no infringement of the parity principle	
			rock sugar, processed in such a way			
			that it looked like methyl, in		At [172] while the criminality may be less than in a case where	
			exchange for the \$155,000 cash.		there is a genuine plan to supply drugs, drug 'rip-offs' are	
			exchange for the \$155,000 cash.		objectively serious. Part of the reason lies in the circumstances	
			Chyana's role in the offense was to			
			Chuang's role in the offence was to		that, unlike most cases of fraud or false pretences, the victim of a	
			create and package the fake methyl,		drug 'rip-off' is unlikely to report the matter to police. As a result,	
			to deliver it to Mr Law and to collect		subject to any act of violent retribution which commonly follows	
			the \$155,000 paid by Mr Lofts.		such an event, the offender is likely to escape scot-free. There is a	
			X		significant community interest in not allowing the drug trade to be	
1			The purported sale of the methyl was		used for fraudulent activities of this kind and also in deterring the	
			arranged to take place in the vicinity		kind of violent response which such conduct can very readily	
			of a shopping centre. Chuang		provoke. Others who may be tempted to engage in similar conduct	
1			packaged the rock sugar into a bag		must be dissuaded from engaging in such criminal activity.	
1			and drove to the shopping centre		most of dissuaded from onguging in such criminal activity.	
1					At [175] In our opinion, the contange was an engage sta	
1			carpark where he met and gave Mr		At [175] In our opinion, the sentence was an appropriate	
			Law the bag. Mr Law carried the bag		exercise of the sentencing discretion. It was not unreasonable or	
1			to Mr Lofts, who was parked nearby.		plainly unjust	
1			In exchange for the methyl, Mr Lofts			
			handed over \$154,950 in cash.			
			Mr Lofts and Mr Law were			
			apprehended a short time later.			
	1	1	1 TT TOTAL CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	1		i

	Т	1		T	T	
			Later that evening Chuang was arrested. During a search of his home a heat-sealing machine and unopened bags of rock sugar were found.		Α	
14.	Giangiulio v The State of Western Australia [2022] WASCA 77 Delivered 01/07/2022	Convicted after early PG (25% discount). Long criminal history; serious drug offending; prior sentences of imp. Single; two sons; grandchild; close relationship with his family. Left school yr 10; completed trade apprenticeship. Many yrs employed building industry; work-place injury 2003; delivery driver at time sentencing; very good work ethic. Suffers anxiety, stress, depression, high blood pressure; some deafness; dyslexic. Long history of illicit drug use; cannabis and methyl; not used since his arrest.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 2 kg at 74%-76% purity. Ct 2: Poss cannabis wiss 3.48 kg. The co-offender Liadow arranged to supply an UCO with methyl. When the UCO attended Liadow's home to collect a large quantity of the drug Giangiulio entered the room. He was carrying a bag, which he placed near the entrance, before leaving. Liadow informed the UCO that Giangiulio was his courier. Liadow handed the shopping bag containing 2 kg of methyl to the UCO on credit for \$306,000. Later that same day a SW was executed at Liadow's residence. On the same day a SW was also executed at Giangiulio's home. Four cardboard boxes, containing 3.48 kg of cannabis in large clipseal or vacuum sealed bags were found. During his interview Giangiulio maintained his right to silence.	Ct 1: 9 yrs imp (cum). Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (cum). TES 11 yrs imp. EFP. Co-offender Liadow sentenced to 11 yrs imp. EFP (ct 1). Appellant sentenced on basis he was Liadow's courier. The sentencing judge found that while the appellant acted as courier this did not detract from his involvement in a significant way in a criminal enterprise; although not 'the profit taker' he was paid several thousand dollars and he knew of the existence of the methyl and was prepared to deliver it. The sentencing judge found the appellant was in poss of a significant quantity of cannabis wiss; although he was unable to find the appellant intended to sell the cannabis for a commercial return the cannabis was packaged for the purposes of supply and he was prepared to be involved in the sale or supply of the cannabis.	Dismissed (leave refused – totality principle). Appeal concerned parity and totality principles. At [81] we consider that the absence of materially greater disparity in favour of the appellant between Mr Liadow's sentence for ct 1 and the appellant's sentence for ct 1 did not infringe the parity principle or the principle of equal justice At [82] The appellant's offending on ct 2 was very serious. That offending was separate and discrete from his offending on ct 1. The appellant's offending on ct 2 involved the poss of a very substantial quantity of cannabis with the intention of selling or supplying the drug so that it was disseminated into the community. The appellant's offending on ct 2 required additional punishment At [103] We are satisfied, that her Honour, in arriving at the TES, made a qualitative and discretionary judgment to wholly accumulate the individual sentences for cts 1 and 2	2000.00 g.
			2.00	Remorseful; steps taken towards rehabilitation.		
13.	GRL v The State	40 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 1.978 kg at	Ct 1: 6 yrs imp (cum).	Appeal allowed.	2000.90 g.
	of Western Australia	42 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after PG (20%	74–82% purity. Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained property \$61,000.	Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 3: 2 yrs 5 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned the sentencing judge's error in failing to account for past and promised cooperation as separate mitigating factors.	
	[2024] WASCA 146	discount; 15% for past and future cooperation),	Ct 3: Poss methyl wiss 22.89 at g 74–82% purity.	TES: 8 yrs 11 mths. EFP.	Resentenced:	
	Delivered 25/11/2024	Modest criminal history; summary convictions for poss	Cts 1 & 2	The sentencing judge found the offending	Ct 1: 6 yrs 10 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 10 mths imp (conc).	

		drugs; poss drug paraphernalia and utensils. Supportive childhood. Completed yr 12; bullied at school. Completed a Certificate IV in sound engineering; worked in the music industry. Commenced using cannabis, MDMA and amphetamines from mid-teens; managed ADHD symptoms through substance use. One child who lives with her mother; regular contact with his child. Diagnosed ADHD post arrest; chronic depression; anxiety and Complex PTSD.	Australia Post identified a suspicious package which had been sent from NSW to a fictitious recipient in WA. Police seized the parcel and found that it contained 1.978 kg of methyl. The methyl was replaced with an inert substance and delivered to the destination address. The appellant attended the property, collected the package and took it inside a residential unit. A SW conducted at the residential unit resulted in the appellant's arrest. The appellant had opened the package and placed its contents into a large kitchen bowl. Police also located a black cooler bag containing \$60,000 in cash at the premises, and \$1,000 cash in the appellant's wallet. Ct 3 Another SW at the appellant's residential address resulted in 22.89 g of methyl being seized.	was extremely serious. The offender was motivated by financial gain. The sentencing judge found the appellant was responsible for the collection and storage of a large quantity of illicit drugs. It was found the appellant was 'somewhat of a trusted individual within the drug network.' The sentencing judge found that the appellant's involvement in the drug network also extended to repackaging the drugs for onward sale and supply. The sentencing judge found the appellant was genuinely remorseful for his offending. The appellant had taken steps towards rehabilitations, including undergoing psychological counselling. The sentencing judge took into account the appellant's cooperation with law enforcement authorities.	Ct 3: 1 yr 2 mths imp (cum). TES: 8 yrs imp. EFP. At [43] 'the requirement in s 8(5) [of the <i>Sentencing Act 1995</i>] that the court must state the fact and the extent of the reduction for promised future cooperation in open court is important to the sentencing process' At [44] ' when a court reduces the sentence it would otherwise have imposed on an offender for an offence because the offender has undertaken to assist law enforcement authorities, the court is obliged to state that fact, and the extent of the reduction, in open court. At [45] ' while [the sentencing judge] stated in open court that he gave a discount for the appellant's promised future cooperation, he did not state the extent of the reduction for this factor.' At [59] 'there can be no doubt that the appellant's offending was very serious. We agree with the sentencing judge's finding that the appellant was part of a well-planned and well-orchestrated importation of illicit drugs into WA from NSW Although the appellant was not at the upper echelon of the enterprise, he played an important and trusted role in it. The offending was not isolated.' At [61] 'there were substantial mitigating factors, the most important of which were the appellant's pleas of guilty and his cooperation. In addition, the appellant appears genuinely remorseful' At [62] 'the appellant has a modest criminal history.' At [65] 'we agree with the submission of the appellant that the totality of the appellant's cooperation should be characterised as past cooperation. The provision of the statement in respect of the co-offender significantly assisted the State to bring to justice a significant drug dealer'	
12.	VRW v The State	33 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 3 kg	Ct 1: 8 yrs imp (conc).	Dismissed.	3000.00 g.
	of Western Australia	34 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after early PG (25%)	(trafficable quantity). Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtain property.	Ct 2: 9 mths imp (conc). TES 8 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned length of sentence ct 1.	
	[2022] WASCA 177	discount). No criminal history.	VRW was the sole occupant of a vehicle stopped by police. A search	EFP.	At [31] The appellant's offending was, serious. [He], having already been involved in the transportation of a significant quantity of a prohibited drug, voluntarily participated in the offending the	
	Delivered 30/12/2022	Born outside Australia; moved	of the vehicle revealed a bag containing methyl hidden in the boot.	Discount for cooperation on ct 1 of 1 yr 5 mths imp or about 15%.	subject of c 1 purely for financial gain, albeit a modest one.	

		to WA as an adult.			At [32] The appellant not only picked up the large quantity of	
		to Wit as an addit.	The same day a search warrant was	The sentencing judge found the offending	methyl with the intention of delivering it to three different	
		Positive childhood; mother	executed at VRW's home. There,	the subject of ct 1 serious; it was not	customers, but he was also prepared to accept payment for the sale	
		constant source of support.	police located \$1,085 in cash.	isolated, having regard to the appellant's	of the prohibited drug and store the money temporarily on behalf	
		constant source of support.	ponce located \$1,083 in cash.	admissions he had delivered drugs the	of the promoted drug and store the money temporarry on behand of those higher in the drug hierarchy than him. The amount and the	
		Consistant ampleyment history	VDW admitted he had been noid the	· ·		
		Consistent employment history.	VRW admitted he had been paid the	previous day; the offending involved a	purity of the drug was high. Accordingly, the potential for harm to	
			cash for transporting drugs.	degree of sophistication, using a CIPHR	others in the community, once distributed, was great.	
			A1 1 4 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	phone and code names and the offending		
			Also located in the home were scales,	was planned in such a way as to reduce the	• A () Y	
			gloves, a cryovac machine and	risk of detection.		
			cryovac bags, which he told police			
			were items used to package cash.	Genuinely remorseful; cooperative; low		
				risk of reoffending.		
			On the day of the offending VRW			
			received messages on his telephone		~	
			from a person identified as 'X'.			
			These messages referred to both			
			'product' and 'coin'. X messaged			
			VRW and offered him the sum of	110		
			\$1,500 to take delivery of 'product'	0110110		
			and to take it to three locations. He			
			was provided with detailed			
			instructions as to how to carry out			
			this task. VRW carried out the	X		
			directions that he had been given	Oy		
			until he was apprehended by police	*		
			and before he could effect the 'drop'.			
11.	Ta v The State of	36 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 2.875kg at	Ct 1: 11 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal allowed.	3120.00 g.
	Western	38 yrs at time sentencing.	78-81% purity.	Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).		
	Australia		Ct 2: Poss methyl wiss 245 g at 79%	Ct 4: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned parity principle.	
		Convicted after PG (15%	purity.			
	[2022] WASCA	discount).	Ct 4: Poss unlawfully obtained	TES 13 yrs 6 mths imp.	Resentenced (15% discount):	
	49		property (\$361,000 cash).			
		No prior criminal history.		EFP.	Ct 1: 11 yrs imp (cum).	
	Delivered		Ta was a member of a syndicate		Ct 2: 5 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	
	05/05/2022	Born Vietnam, migrated to	transporting significant quantities of	The co-offender Mr Le was sentenced to a	Ct 4: 12 mths imp (cum).	
		Australia 2005.	prohibited drugs from Victoria to	TES of 16 yrs 6 mths imp with EFP.		
			WA. A unit, known as the Forrest		TES 12 yrs imp.	
		Educated in Vietnam to	Avenue unit, was used to store	The co-offender Mr Tran was sentenced to	EFP.	
		equivalent of	prohibited drugs and cash.	a TES of 11 yrs imp with EFP.		
		yr 12.	2610		At [65] the offending by the appellant and Mr Le reveals that	
			Ta flew from Melbourne to Perth on	The co-offender ELA was sentenced to a	Mr Le had, without doubt, a materially higher level of culpability	
		Employed in a bakery on arrival	19 occasions in 2018. On each	TES of 9 yrs 6 mths imp with EFP.	than the appellant.	
		in Australia; ceased working	occasion she remained in Perth for a			
		after birth of second child.	short period. She leased seven hire	The sentencing judge found the co-	At [66] Although the appellant coordinated various activities	
			cars, which were driven to WA, from	offender Mr Le central to the operation and	including Mr Tran's activities, she did not coordinate matters to	
		Single at time sentencing; three	various companies in Victoria.	more culpable than the appellant; however	the same extent as Mr Le Each of the appellant and Mr Le	
		children now cared for by an		the appellant co-ordinated Mr Tran, who	committed cts 1, 2 and 4. However, in addition, Mr Le (but not the	
		aunt; no contact with her	Over several days police intercepted	acted as a courier, to distance herself from	appellant) committed ct 3. The offence charged in ct 3 involved the	
1		children since her arrest.	telephone messages and	the prohibited drugs.	poss of heroin wiss it to another. The quantity of heroin was	

Struggled emotionally as a result of separation from her children.

conversations between Ta and the cooffenders Mr Le, Mr Tran and ELA that revealed they were planning to transport a significant quantity of drugs to Perth.

Ta leased a vehicle in Melbourne and Mr Tran drove the vehicle from Melbourne to Perth. On the day Mr Tran's arrived in Perth Ta flew from Melbourne to Perth on a commercial airline flight. At an arranged meeting Mr Tan provided Ta with the keys to the vehicle he had driven from Melbourne.

Ta drove the vehicle to the Forrest Avenue unit. On her arrival ELA opened the electronic gate to the unit complex. Ta alighted the vehicle and walked to the passenger side while ELA approached the driver's seat. At this point, she and ELA were arrested by police.

A search of the vehicle located 12 cryovac bags containing a total of 2.875 kg of methyl concealed in the centre console (ct 1).

A search of ELA located a satchel bag containing \$30,835 in cash, the keys to an electric gate fob for the unit and for another premises.

A search of the Forrest Avenue unit located 245 g of methyl in a clip seal bag concealed in a wardrobe (ct 2). Also located was \$361,000 in cash concealed in the lining of three eskies (ct 4). The cash was packaged in bundles and either cryovac sealed or wrapped with glad wrap.

Various items connected with the sale and supply of prohibited drugs were found in the Forrest Avenue unit, including a set of digital scales with traces of white powder; large-size scales with detectable traces of a

The sentencing judge found Mr Le, the appellant and Mr Tran were involved in the offending for personal gain.

The sentencing judge found the overall offending very serious and the criminality high. It involved the dissemination of serious quantities of high-grade drugs into the community for substantial profit and it involved sophisticated systems for the purpose of avoiding detection.

Appellant remorseful and accepting of responsibility.

substantial ... and the purity of the drug was high ...

At [69] In our opinion, the individual sentences imposed on Mr Le for cts 1 and 2 and the TES he received were, without doubt, lenient. That unwarranted leniency contributed to an outcome in the relativities as between the appellant and Mr Le, for the purposes of the parity principle, that is unreasonable or plainly unjust. ...

At [71] We are satisfied that the TES imposed on the appellant, compared to the TES imposed on Mr Le, reveals that there was a marked and unjustifiable lack of disparity adverse to the appellant and favourable to Mr Le.

10.	Trainor v The State of Western Australia [2021] WASCA 36 Delivered 26/02/2021	53 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after PG (25% discount). No relevant prior criminal history. Born in UK; came to Australia aged 7 yrs. Married; wife significant health problems. Consistent employment history; previously working well-paid position; new work significantly lower remuneration; good work ethos; history of volunteer work. Experiencing financial pressures at time offending.	white crystal substance; a cryovac machine and unused cryovac rolls; a money counter; large glad wrap rolls, several tick lists and a press machine and cash, used to compress heroin into block form. Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 3892.96 g at 74%-81% purity. Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained property (\$16,655 cash). Police observed Trainor enter a home and a short time later leave the premises carrying a small bag. Later that day a SW was executed at Trainor's home. During the search a bag matching the description of the bag he was seen carrying from the house was located in his bedroom. The bag contained three packages of methyl weighing 999 g, 998 g and 1 kg with a purity between 80% - 81%. Also in Trainor's bedroom was a bag containing a further package of methyl, weighing 836 g of 81% purity, and two clipseal bags. The clipseal bags contained 58.4 g of 74% purity and 0.48 g of methyl. Methyl crystal residue and methyl shards weighing 0.22 g and 0.23 g, along with 0.3 g of methyl, were also found in a box. In a cryovac bag 0.3 g of methyl and 2.09 g of dimethyl sulfone (MSM) were located.	Ct 1: 14 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 16 mths imp (conc). TES 14 yrs imp. EFP. The sentencing judge found the offending 'very serious'; the quantity and purity of the drugs involved were indicative of the seriousness of the offence and the large sum of money in the appellant's possession indicated those with whom he was working placed a high level of trust in him. The sentencing judge found the appellant had possession of the drugs for the purpose of passing them on further down the chain of distribution; the seriousness of the offending was significantly aggravated by the fact he was involved in the offending for commercial gain. Cooperative; showed police the locations of the drugs; made admissions as to his possession of the drugs.	Dismissed. Appeal concerned length of sentence ct 1. At [52] While the appellant's offending is by no means in the most serious category of offences of this kind, his offence was undoubtedly very serious. The appellant's offence involved a very substantial quantity of methyl of a very high level of purity. Those with whom he was working placed a high level of trust in him. He played an important role in the drug operation of which his offence was a part. As this court observed in <i>Musulin</i> , those who securely store large quantities of drugs for others play a role in the distribution networks not substantially less important than those who actually distribute the drugs to dealers or those who sell them to the ultimate users. At [53] We are not persuaded that the sentence of 14 yrs imp imposed by the sentencing judge in respect of ct 1 was unreasonable or plainly unjust	3892.96 g.
			2.09 g of dimethyl sulfone (MSM) were located. Trainor directed police to a safe containing \$15,000 in cash. He was also found to have \$1,655 cash in his wallet.			
9.	Watson v The	27 yrs at time offending.	Trainor admitted the drugs belonged to him and that he was going to pass them on to another person. Ct 1: Supplied methyl 3.999 kg at 68-	Ct 1: 10 yrs imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refused).	3.999 kg.
7.	State of Western	28 yrs at time sentencing.	72% purity.	Ct 2: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Distilissed (leave tetused).	J.777 Kg.

A	T	Ct 2. Dans and and all a decimal	T	A	
Australia	Convicted often DC (200)	Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained	TEC 12 mg imm	Appeal concerned length of sentence and totality principle.	
[2022] XXA GGA	Convicted after PG (20%	property (\$5,987,220 cash).	TES 13 yrs imp.		
[2022] WASCA	discount).		EED	At [56] The objective facts and circumstances of the appellant's	
80	D	Watson and others were part of a	EFP.	offending on ct 1 were very serious	
- · ·	Prior criminal history.	significant drug and money			
Delivered		laundering enterprise.	The sentencing judge found the appellant	At [59] In our opinion, the sentence for ct 1 was commensurate	
06/07/2022	Family in New Zealand; imp		willingly participated in the commission of	with the seriousness of the appellant's offending It is not	
	more difficult because of	Watson was observed entering	ct 1 for commercial reward (\$1,000), this	reasonably arguable that the offence is manifestly excessive.	
	absence of family support.	bushland on foot carrying a	offending was not an aberration or a one-	· ()	
		backpack. A short time later he left	off; although the reward was 'paltry' it did	At [64] The objective facts and circumstances of the appellant's	
	Positive character references.	the bushland, no longer in possession	not excuse or reduce the seriousness of the	offending on ct 2 were very serious	
		of the backpack. A male person then	offending and his conduct provided		
	No history of drug use.	entered the same bushland and	protection to the principals of the drug	At [66] In our opinion, the sentence for ct 2 was commensurate	
		returned, carrying the backpack	dealing enterprise.	with the seriousness of the appellant's offending.	
		towards a vehicle. The vehicle was		2	
		searched and the backpack,	The sentencing judge found the appellant	At [69] The TES bears a proper relationship to the overall	
		containing the methyl, was located.	knew the cash	criminality involved in both of the offences, viewed in their	
			the subject of ct 2 was the proceeds of the	entirety, and having regard to all relevant facts and circumstances,	
		A forensic examination of the	sale of prohibited drugs and he expected to		
		backpack provided a DNA match to	receive a commercial benefit for his		
		Watson.	participation in the commission in the		
			offence;		
		Watson was also involved in	although not 'a decision maker' he was an		
		packaging cash. At his home, he and	ambitious and enthusiastic supporter of the		
		two co-accused, White and	enterprise and 'more than a		
		O'Callaghan, vacuum sealed cash in	warehouseman' and 'more than a courier';		
		plastic bags and packed it into six	the amount of cash demonstrated the vast		
		boxes, each box contained about	reach and magnitude of the enterprise and		
		\$1,000,000 cash.	he was a person who people higher in the		
		\$1,000,000 Cushi	chain of hierarchy reposed a large degree		
		A SW was later executed at	of trust.		
		O'Callaghan's premises and the	01 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		
		boxes were located. The cash was			
		seized and substituted with paper.			
		The boxes were resealed and left in			
		place. A few days later O'Callaghan			
		transported the cash to White's			
		home.			
		nome.			
		About two wks later O'Callaghan			
		returned to White's premises,			
		collected the boxes, drove them to a			
		carpark and unloaded them into the			
		vehicle of another co-accused. This			
	\	person then drove the boxes to his			
		home. Several days later he drove the			
		boxes to a place where they were			
		transferred to a truck.			
		Hallstoffed to a fluck.			
		On the same day a warment was			
		On the same day a warrant was			

		T		T	T	
			executed at Watson's home. A			
			CIPHR encrypted mobile phone was			
			located, along with boxes, strapping			
			and clips identical to the boxes			
			containing the cash.			
			Watson admitted the mobile phone		Ċ	
			was his, that he had attended the park			
			and dropped the backpack containing			
			the methyl and that he was paid			
			\$1,000 to supply the drug.		CULIONS	
			CIPHR messages downloaded from			
			Watson's phone and that of each co-			
			accused revealed he was involved in		2	
			arranging the distribution, sale and			
			supply of prohibited drugs.	Y		
8.	EDR v The State	24 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Att poss ketamine wiss 4.877	Ct 1: 6 yrs 3 mths imp.	Appeal dismissed (leave granted).	4.877 kg.
	of Western	G 1 6 . DG (200)	kg.	EED		
	Australia	Convicted after PG (20%		EFP.	Appeal concerned parity of sentence.	
	F000 43 YYY 4 G G 4	discount).	The appellant and another, JRE were		A. (70) (1	
	[2024] WASCA		both involved in the attempt to	JRE – JRE v The State of Western	At [70] 'there can be no doubt that JRE's culpability, both in	
	61	Minor criminal history; driving	possess the 4.877 kg of ketamine.	Australia [2023] WASCA 100	relation to the ketamine offence and in relation to the criminality of	
		under the influence; poss LSD.	The drugs had been imported into		his offending as a whole, was significantly greater than that of the	
	Delivered		WA inside two boxes.	Ct 1: 6 yrs imp.	appellant.'	
	28/05/2024	Born in WA; one sibling; lived		Ct 2: 6 yrs imp (cum after 2 yrs of serving		
		with grandparents after parents	JRE was the Australian agent of the	ct 1).	At [71] 'in terms of their personal circumstances, there was little to	
		separated.	enterprise, arranging for receipt of all	KO .	distinguish JRE and the appellant.'	
			drugs. The appellant was a courier	TES: 8 yrs imp.		
		Completed a carpentry	whose role was to collect the boxes	EFP after 5 yrs 6 mths.	At [72] 'in those circumstances, all things being equal, it would be	
		apprenticeship; worked in FIFO	and deliver them to another address.		expected that JRE would have been sentenced to a significantly	
		for four years.	Y	EDR	greater sentence than the appellant for his overall offending. And	
			The drugs were intercepted at Perth		while JRE's total effective sentence was greater than that of the	
		Single with no children.	Airport and substituted with an inert	The sentencing judge characterised the	appellant, it was not, we accept, significantly greater.'	
			substance. The appellant was later	appellant's offending as very serious. The		
		Social drinker; cannabis user	arrested in possession of the boxes.	quantity of ketamine was significant, and	At [75] 'on any view the assistance and cooperation provided by	
		from 16 yrs until FIFO work;	The appellant admitted to police that	the appellant knowingly engaged in	JRE was substantially and markedly greater than that offered by	
		used cocaine recreationally.	it was JRE who asked him to collect	commercial enterprise for personal	the appellant For that reason, a bare comparison of the	
			the boxes.	financial gain.	maximum sentence and effective non-parole periods imposed on	
		<u>JRE</u>			JRE and the appellant has very little utility.'	
			JRE was separately charged for	The appellant's role was found to be that		
		Convicted after PG (50%	procuring another person to att to	of a courier; however, his participation in	At [78] ' it is clear that the relatively modest disparity between	
		discount with police assistance).	poss a commercial quantity of cocaine. The cocaine offence	the enterprise facilitated the scheme.	the final sentences imposed on JRE and the appellant is readily explained and justified by the significant cooperation with	
		No relevant criminal history.		The sentencing judge found that the	authorities given by JRE.'	
		140 felevant chimia history.	involved the attempted possession of		audiornes given by JNE.	
		Dom in N7. one sistem lived	3.99 kg of cocaine (ct 2).	appellant must have known that drugs in		
		Born in NZ; one sister; lived		his possession were a substantial quantity.		
		with mother from 7 yrs;		The appellant had voluntarily annulled in		
		experienced domestic violence		The appellant had voluntarily enrolled in		
		from his father.		counselling sessions and had completed		

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T	
				numerous sessions.		
		Completed a flooring		177.5		
		apprenticeship and worked in		<u>JRE</u>		
		FIFO.				
				The Court concluded that JRE was		
		Heavy user of alcohol and		involved in a significant commercial	Δ.	
		MDMA.		venture for the regular distribution of	5	
				drugs.		
					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
				Provided significant assistance to police.		
7.	Wood v The State	Wood	1 x Poss methyl wiss 9.974 kg at 78–	11 yrs 6 mths imp (both offenders).	Wood	9.974 kg.
	of Western		80% purity.			
	Australia	26 yrs at time offending.		EFP.	Appeal dismissed (leave refused).	
		29 yrs at time sentencing.	Both offenders were charged on the			
	[2024] WASCA		same indictment.	Wood	Appeal concerned length of sentence.	
	143	Convicted after late PG (10%				
		discount).	Wood and Peagram drove a car from	Sentenced as a courier who was not aware	At [54] 'the circumstances of the offence in this case were also	
	Delivered	,	Victoria to Sydney. They were	of the weight, purity or type of drug.	serious The appellant sold or supplied 9.974 kg of	
	14/11/2024	Born in Vic; three siblings;	unknown to each other prior to the	31 31	methylamphetamine The appellant was motivated by financial	
	- 1, - 2, - 2 - 1	parents separated at 6 yrs old	journey.	The sentencing judge found that Wood had	gain the appellant's involvement in this offence was not a	
	Co-offender:	and remained in mother's care;		known drugs were involved from the	fleeting or brief one.'	
	<u>eo oriender.</u>	mother re-partnered; one of his	On arrival in Sydney, a bag	moment he agreed to participate in the	liceting of other one.	
	Peagram v The	stepfathers was violent towards	containing 9.974 kg of methyl was	enterprise.	At [55] 'whilst the appellant's role can be fairly described as that	
	State of Western	him; father's partner was also	placed into the car. They were each	enterprise.	of a courier, it was clearly a critical role in the drug enterprise.	
	Australia	abusive.	provided with an encrypted mobile	The sentencing judge found that at the time	Further, the appellant was trusted to transport the drugs across the	
	Austratia	abusive.	telephone. Wood drove the pair to a	of the offence, Wood was struggling	country on his own.'	
	[2024] WASCA	Left high school in yr 11;	town in regional NSW and dropped	financially and was motivated to	Country on his own.	
	144	bullying and difficulty with	Peagram off there. Wood continued	participate by the promise of financial	At [56] 'the appellant's circumstances were favourable in that he	
	177	reading.	the journey to WA. Peagram then		had no relevant prior criminal record and had made efforts towards	
	Delivered	reading.	independently travelled by plane.	gain.	rehabilitation.'	
	14/11/2024	Worked at a cheese factory then	independently travelled by plane.	The contanging judge found that Wood did	Tenaumation.	
	14/11/2024	II ===================================	The pair rendezvoused in WA and	The sentencing judge found that Wood did	At [77] theying regard to the maximum manelty, the singumeter as	
		*	drove to a nature reserve. As Wood		At [77] 'having regard to the maximum penalty, the circumstances	
		the cheese factory.		but nonetheless played an integral role in	of the offence, the personal circumstances of the appellant, the	
		NT 1 1 1	remained in the car, Peagram took a	the enterprise.	mitigating factors, and the comparable cases, the sentence of 11	
		No dependants; long term	bag containing the drugs and left it in		yrs 6 mths was not unreasonable or plainly unjust.'	
		partner since time of bail.	the reserve. A third party took the	The sentencing judge found that the	D.	
		D: 1.4 DUD	drugs and replaced it with a box	offending was not part of an ongoing	<u>Peagram</u>	
		Diagnosed ADHD; symptoms	containing \$888,650 in cash.	course of conduct.		
		associated with complex PTSD.	w		Appeal dismissed (leave refused).	
			Wood and Peagram returned to the	The sentencing judge found that the Wood		
		Long history of substance use;	reserve and collected the box of cash.	was remorseful and expressed a deep	Appeal concerned parity of sentence with Wood.	
		alcohol from 12 yrs; cannabis	Police arrested the pair shortly after.	regret about his offending. Further, he had		
		from 16 yrs; methyl from 17		shown a commitment to rehabilitation and	At [57] 'to establish a ground of appeal that relies on a breach of	
		yrs.	Wood maintained he did not know	that he was a moderate or low risk of	the parity principle, it is not sufficient to show that there is some	
			the weight, purity or type of the	reoffending.	difference between co-offenders. The difference must be such that	
		<u>Peagram</u>	drugs involved in the enterprise; but,		the imposition of the same sentence is productive of an injustice.'	
			he knew that it was drugs. He did not	<u>Peagram</u>		
		33 yrs at time sentencing.	know that there would be money to		At [58] 'the appellant principally relies upon the difference in	
			collect.	Sentenced as a courier.	culpability arising from his state of knowledge as compared to that	
		Convicted after late PG (10%			of the co-offender. The sentencing judge accepted that the	

	discount). Criminal history; mostly traffic related; one offence of trafficking in ecstasy Youngest of three brothers; parents were alcoholics and gamblers; father was violent; mother abandoned family at 17 yrs. Expelled from school in yr 10; frequently truant. Worked in fast food outlets until commenced painting apprenticeship; worked in construction and as a personal trainer. Cannabis and alcohol use from 13 yrs; amphetamines form 17 yrs; addicted to cocaine during COVID-19. History of short-term relationships.	Peagram maintained he knew he was involved in a criminal activity, but initially thought he was transporting a large quantity of foreign currency. The day before the exchange was when he became aware it was a substantial quantity of drugs. Both offenders were motivated by financial gain. Peagram was offered \$20,000 for the offending, Wood was offered between \$10,000 and \$15,000.	The sentencing judge found that Peagram knew he was involved in criminal activity, and he later knew it was drug related. The sentencing judge accepted that by the time Peagram knew the offending involved drugs, he was in another State and was confronted with a difficult decision because he was already implicated; however, he still took no action to extricate himself. The sentencing judge found that the offending was not part of an ongoing course of conduct. The sentencing judge found that Peagram had used his time on remand wisely and was remorseful for his offending.	appellant did not become aware that illicit drugs were involved until the night before the supply transaction occurred. However, that does not mean that the appellant was an innocent participant in this enterprise prior [to becoming aware]. At [59] 'to the contrary the appellant travelled by car with Wood from Victoria to Sydney on the understanding that he would be participating in a significant criminal enterprise, for which he would be paid \$20,000, \$10,000 of which was paid in advance. Wood was promised \$10,000 to \$15,000, none of which was paid Viewed as a whole, the appellant's culpability was not significantly less than that of Wood. At [60] 'as to personal circumstances, there was no significant difference between the appellant and Wood.	
6. Ramachandran v The State of Western Australia [2021] WASCA 54 Delivered 31/03/2021	30 yrs at time offending. 31 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after early PG (22% discount). No prior criminal history. Born and raised in Sri Lanka; upbringing marred by armed conflict resulting from Tamil Tiger movement. Came to Australia to financially assist his family; lived and treated poorly by an uncle in NSW. Commenced but did not complete TAFE studies.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 32.572 kg at 57%-81% purity. Ct 2: Poss MDMA wiss 4.954 kg at 65% and 75% purity. A SW was executed at a home rented by Ramachandran. Inside the house 20 large clipseal bags containing a crystalline substance were found, stacked against a wall and obvious to anyone walking into the room. A further quantity of the crystalline substance was found in clipseal bags in a suitcase. A second suitcase contained plastic containers, boxed and loose clipseal bags, a food-saver machine, rolls of vacuum-seal bags, digital scales, masks, a sieve and a salad spinner.	Ct 1: 19 yrs 10 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 8 yrs imp (conc). TES 19 yrs 10 mths imp. EFP. The sentencing judge found the enormous quantity of drugs showed the appellant was involved in a criminal enterprise of the highest order; the impact on the community and the harm these drugs would have caused would have been immense; he was also in a position of trust and was running a safe house for the drugs; he and his co-accused were responsible for not just storing the drugs but repackaging them for further distribution to others. The sentencing judge found the appellant's	Allowed. Appeal concerned error in finding (characterisation of appellant's involvement in the offending); length of individual sentence ct 1 and totality principle. Resentenced: Ct 1: 16 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 8 yrs imp (conc). EFP. At [34]-[35] the appellant had a significant role in the enterprise as a whole, which involved storing and repackaging a large quantity of drugs which were to be passed on to others the appellant and his co-offender were jointly responsible for the storage and repackaging of the drugs which they were to pass on to others. At [61] The appellant in this case participated in a commercial	37.526 kg

		History of employment as a factory worker. Ran away from his uncle aged 18 yrs; taken in by the coaccused who uncle engaged to track him down; felt a sense of obligation and friendship to the co-accused. Financial responsibilities to his family; increasing on the death of his father in 2015.	In a backpack a number of cryovac bags were located, some of which had been torn and contained a crystalline residue, consistent with having been opened and the drugs repackaged. A receipt for items purchased by Ramachandran was also found. His DNA was also located inside gloves located in the house.	criminal culpability very high regardless of the position he held within the network, he was still a vital part of the operation, protecting those holding other positions in the network, both higher up and on the same level.	operation involving a very large quantity of methyl, which represents the largest quantity of methyl in a State sentence considered by this court other than the 315 kg involved in Ng. The quantity of drugs involved increases the potential harm which would have resulted from their distribution into the community, and so is a very significant agg factor. The appellant's involvement in the offending was not fleeting, and he performed the important task of leasing the property used to warehouse the drugs. He was given access to the property in a manner which demonstrated the high degree of trust placed in him by the organisers of the operation. He participated in the operation for commercial gain, although the amount of that gain is unknown.	
		Arranged marriage 2019; wife in Sri Lanka; hoped to bring her to Australia. No history of illicit drug use; no family or friends in WA.	Ramachandran falsely told the home- owner he intended to reside at the property with his wife. He in fact lived at another property with his co- accused. Two days after the SW was executed	A TAC PACE	At [62] However, the appellant's involvement in the operation was apparently at a relatively low level in the criminal syndicate which imported the drugs for the purpose of selling them. There is no evidence to suggest that he was involved in the planning, organisation or funding of the operation. Nor was there any evidence that the appellant exercised any authority over others involved in the syndicate, was conducting his own business or was	
			Ramachandran attended the property and discovered the drugs were missing. He returned to the house later the same day with the coaccused. Both men searched the house before leaving and returning in the evening. They were later arrested.	of Philoips	to share in the profits to be generated from the sale of the drugs. At [65] While the quantity of methyl involved was very large, there was no evidence that the appellant's involvement in the operation was other than as a paid worker Without [the plea discount] the sentence would have exceeded 25 yrs imp. The individual sentence stands well above the sentence imposed or	
			Ramachandran admitted taking and removing bags from the house at the request of the co-accused, however he denied knowing the bags contained drugs.		upheld in any previous decisions of this court, other than the 20 yr individual sentence imposed after trial on Quaid in Zanon In our view, bearing in mind the PG, that disconformity is too large to be explained by the increased seriousness with which the offence is regarded in light of the increased maximum	
5.	Diamantopoulos v The State of Western Australia	30 yrs at time offending. 32 years at time sentencing. Convicted after PG (20%	Ct 1: Dealing with money proceeds of an offence \$4,498,790. Ct 2: Poss methyl wiss 42.92 kg at 77–82% purity.	Ct 1: 8 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 14 yrs 6 mths imp. TES: 14 yrs 6 mths.	Appeal dismissed (leave refused). Appeal concerned parity and length of sentence imposed on ct 2.	42.92 kg.
	[2024] WASCA 82	discount). Extensive criminal history; on	Co-offender – Edwards	EFP. Co-offender – The State of Western	At [51] ' the authorities make it clear that there is no hard and fast rule in terms of what might be a relevant comparator as to sentence in the case of co-offenders. The parity principle may	
	Delivered 12/07/2024	parole for drug offending; two prior poss pwiss methyl. Second of three children;	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss (119 kg). Ct 2: Poss methyl wiss (43 kg). Ct 3: Dealing with money proceeds of an offence \$4,503,630.	Australia v Edwards [2022] WASCA 141: Ct 1: 17 yrs imp. Ct 2: 15 yrs imp (conc).	apply to each and every component of the co-offenders' respective sentences. Generally speaking, in evaluating parity, all the facts and circumstances must be considered'	
		supportive family. Left school mid yr 12; sporadic employment since.	Prior to the offending, the appellant had been informed his previous drug debt of \$20,000 had been increased to \$60,000. The appellant was	Ct 3: 8 yrs imp (conc). The sentencing judge found that the appellant's offending was very serious. The enterprise was 'sophisticated, well	At [56] 'in his sentencing remarks, the sentencing judge expressly referred to Mr Edwards' additional offending (the 119 kg of methyl supplied to Mr R) and the term of imprisonment for that offending'	

methyl from 17 yrs; extensive drug use; drug dependent.

Stimulant use disorder; borderline personality disorder; major depression; anxiety; and PTSD.

Cannabis user from 13 yrs; used | informed that if he accepted a courier job his debt would be wiped. The appellant accepted the job.

> One afternoon, Edwards parked a white truck in a truck bay along a highway. Shortly after, Mr R parked next to the truck. Edwards then unloaded multiple boxes from his truck to Mr R. Mr R then left with the boxes. A police SW at Mr R's address located 11 boxes with 119 kg of methyl.

On the same day, the appellant drove a van into the same truck bay alongside Edwards. The appellant exited the van and placed a number of large suitcases in Edwards' truck. Edwards then retrieved multiple boxes from the truck and handed them to the appellant. The police attempted to arrest the appellant at the truck bay. After a short chase, the appellant was taken into custody and 42.92 kg of methyl was found in the appellant's van. The methyl was between 77%-82% purity.

A search of Edwards' truck revealed the suitcases contained \$4,498,790 in cash.

planned and well resourced' with a 'clear commercial motivation'.

The sentencing judge was satisfied that the appellant was genuinely remorseful and that there were good prospects of rehabilitation.

The sentencing judge found that in many respects, the appellant and Edwards were at the same level of the drug distribution's hierarchy, although performing different tasks.

The sentencing judge identified two facts that suggested Edwards' role was more significant: he transported the methyl into WA from the Eastern States, and his motivation was purely commercial gain.

The sentencing judge identified three countervailing factor that suggested the appellant's offending was more serious: Edwards pleaded guilty at an earlier stage; the appellant had a significant criminal history; and he was on parole at the time of offending.

At [60] 'ground 1 fails. In our view the ground was based on an overly technical view of the sentencing remarks. When the sentencing remarks are read in full and in context, as they should be, ground 1 had no reasonable prospect of succeeding.'

At [63] 'we accept that there was a relevant difference between the appellant's motivation for his offending and Mr Edwards' motivation for Mr Edwards' offending. Mr Edwards was solely motivated by commercial gain ... By contrast the appellant was clearing a \$60,000 drug debt.'

At [64] 'it is apparent, however, that Mr Edwards was also under pressure, albeit pressure of a different kind.'

At [65] 'the unfortunate reality is that many offenders commit offences because they are under pressure of some kind. The extent to which this minimises the criminal culpability of the offender for the offending, if at all, depends on the facts and circumstances that bring about the pressure.'

At [66] 'in the present case no actual threats were directed to the appellant's family. The appellant was simply told to settle the debt or face the consequences.'

At [67] 'the primary consideration in assessing the seriousness of the appellant's offending is to consider what the appellant did. That is unaffected by the appellant's motivation. In terms of what motivated the appellant to offend there was, on his own account, a personal advantage that accrued by reason of the offending — the appellant cleared a substantial drug debt ... Accordingly, so far as the appellant was under a degree of pressure to participate in the offending, it was the appellant's prior actions and involvement with illicit drugs that made the appellant susceptible to that pressure.'

At [69] '... it remains the case that the appellant was actuated, at least in part, by commercial gain. The appellant was clearing a substantial drug debt. The appellant therefore acted for reward.'

At [81] 'once very lengthy sentences are reached there is a diminishing marginal effect so far as personal and general deterrence are concerned in further increases in the severity of the sentence imposed on an offender. Accordingly, it is not to be expected that sentences concerning very large quantities of prohibited drugs should have a linear relationship with the weight of the prohibited drugs involved in the offending.'

At [83] 'the limited disparity in the total effective sentences is explained by the proper application of sentencing law and principles.'

4.	Watson v The	27 yrs at time offending.	IND 1136	<u>IND 1136</u>	Allowed.	53.99 kg.
	State of Western	30 yrs at time sentencing.				
	Australia [No 2]		Ct 1: Supplied methyl 3.99 kg at 69–	Ct 1: 10 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned the first limb of the totality principle.	
		Convicted after PG (20%	72%.	Ct 2: 3 yrs imp (cum).		
	[2024] WASCA	discount for IND 1136 and 25%	Ct 2: Poss money that was the	10	Resentenced:	
	66	discount for IND 925).	proceeds of an offence (\$5,987,220).	13 yrs imp.	INID COS	
	D 1' 1	3 4	IND 025	DID 025	IND 925	
	Delivered	Minor criminal history; traffic	<u>IND 925</u>	<u>IND 925</u>	Ct 2: 4 runs into (com a)	
	14/06/2024	offences in both NZ and Australia.	Ct 2: Congnirosy to page mathyl wice	Ct 2: 8 yrs imp (aona)	Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (conc). Ct 3: 4 yrs imp (conc).	
		Australia.	Ct 2: Conspiracy to poss methyl wiss 30 kg.	Ct 2: 8 yrs imp (conc). Ct 3: 7 yrs imp (conc).	Ct 4: 4 yrs imp (conc).	
		Born in NZ; happy childhood.	Ct 3: Conspiracy to poss cocaine	Ct 4: 7 yrs imp (conc).	Ct 4. 4 yrs mip (cone).	
		Bom in NZ, nappy ciniunood.	wiss 10 kg.	Ct 4. 7 yrs mip (cone).	4 yrs imp (cum on IND 1136).	
		Left school in yr 13 and	Ct 4: Conspiracy to poss heroin wiss	8 yrs (cum on IND 1136).	7 y 13 mip (cum on 110).	
		undertook some study before	10 kg.	o yis (cam on 110).	TES: 17 yrs imp.	
		finding gainful employment.	To hg.	TES: 21 yrs imp.	TEST 17 yrs imp	
			IND 1136	120.21 yill map.	At [93] 'the totality principle [i]n practical terms will require	
		Moved to Australia; became		EFP.	the sentencing judge to consider the whole of the offending	
		isolated and unmotivated;	The appellant was observed by police	4.70	conduct and give consideration to whether the total effective	
		stopped working; receiving	parking his vehicle near a bush	IND 1136	sentence is a fair and just punishment for that conduct.'	
		Centrelink payments at time of	reserve. The appellant got out of the			
		offending.	car and entered the reserve carrying a	The appellant was sentenced on the basis	At [94] 'in this case two other issues also impacted on sentencing.	
			black backpack. A short time later he	that he was more than a warehouseman	First, the possession of the cash, whilst the subject of a separate	
		In a relationship; partner	returned to the car, no longer	and more than a courier.	charge, was also relevant as part of the conduct relating to the	
		remained supportive; no	carrying the backpack.	O y	conspiracy It is apparent from the facts relied on in the two	
		children.		The sentencing judge found the appellant's	sentencing proceedings that all of the charges arose from a series	
			On the same day, another man, Mr C	involvement in the criminal enterprise was	of closely connected events. It was important in that context to	
		Bi-weekly cannabis use; social	was observed entering the reserve. A	continuous, and not isolated.	ensure that the appellant was not doubly punished for any part of	
		drinker.	short time later, Mr C was observed		the conduct.'	
			carrying the black backpack left by	The sentencing judge found that the	At [05] 's a sand the avect nature of the constitution was significant	
			the appellant. Police executed a SW of Mr C's vehicle and found a	appellant was an enthusiastic supporter,	At [95] 'second, the exact nature of the conspiracy was significant	
			package containing 3.999 kg.	but not a decision maker. However, the people higher in the hierarchy did repose a	in assessing the seriousness of the appellant's conduct The conspiracy the appellant was convicted of was not necessarily	
			package containing 3.999 kg.	large degree of trust in him.	coextensive with the activities and objectives of the broader	
			On another occasion, the appellant	large degree of trust in inin.	criminal enterprise.'	
			and two co-offenders Mr W and Mr	The appellant has participated in the	Criminal enterprise.	
			O were packaging cash at the	commission of the offence was	At [100] 'although the description of a courier was disavowed by	
			appellant's home. The cash was	commercial reward; the paltry	defence counsel, the appellant's role was closer to that of a courier	
			packed into six boxes containing a	compensation he received did not excuse	than someone at a more senior position in the criminal enterprise.	
			total of \$5,987,220. The boxes were	his offending.	He also had a role in the movement of the cash that was used to	
			left in the appellant's residence, and		purchase the drugs, but only in a role that was likened to that of a	
			later transported by Mr O to another	IND 925	clerk who counted and stored the money.	
			residence. During a SW of the			
			appellant's residence, police located	The sentencing judge found that cts 2–4	At [101] 'the sentencing judge's descriptions of the agreement to	
			a Ciphr phone, cash counting	alleged separate offences, but they were	which the appellant was a party were an inaccurate reflection of	
			equipment and boxes matching the	the same criminal conduct.	the admitted facts The effect of this was that the appellant was	
			\$5,987,220.		dealt with on a basis that attributed to him much greater criminality	
			777 027	The criminality of the appellant found to	than he had in in fact admitted.'	
			<u>IND 925</u>	be co-extensive with the scope of the	A. 51001 (1)	
				broader criminal enterprise. The	At [102] 'in our view, the total sentence of 21 yrs' imprisonment	

			The three conspiracy cts relate to a single agreement between Mr O, Mr W and the appellant to import 50 kg of drugs into WA. The Ciphr phone seized from the appellant revealed an agreement to possess 30 kg of methyl, 10 kg of cocaine, and 10 kg of heroin.	sentencing judge found that there was no meaningful distinction between the role of the appellant and that of Mr O. The sentencing judge found that the offending was motivated by personal gain. As with IND 1136, the appellant was found to have been an enthusiastic participant in the agreement. The sentencing judge found that appellant was sincerely remorseful for his conduct. It was also accepted that the appellant had undertaken study and passed bridging courses whilst in custody.	was unreasonable or plainly unjust having regard to the appellant's limited role in both sets of offending and his early pleas of guilty. Where large amounts of drugs are involved there are likely to be many people in the enterprise, and those people are likely to vary significantly in their level of criminality. In such cases the role of the offender is often a more significant consideration than the amount of drugs.'	
3.	Gray v The State of Western	48 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Att to supply methyl 56kg at 80.91% purity.	Ct 1: 20 yrs.	Appeal dismissed (leave refused).	56 kg.
	Australia	Convicted at trial.	The appellant was one of three men	EFP.	Appeal concerned parity of sentences with co-offender.	
	[2023] WASCA 188	Limited criminal history.	convicted of playing an important part in an unsuccessful attempt to	Sentencing judge found the appellant and Newton played an integral role in bringing	At [56] ' it is necessary to note that the offences committed by the appellant and by Mr Maksimovic were not identical offences.	
		Born in NSW; parents separated	transport 56kg of methyl from NSW	a large amount of methyl into WA.	The appellant was convicted of attempting to supply	
	Delivered	after birth; lived with mother	into WA. Appellant was jointly	Contouring index described the small art	methylamphetamine to another, whereas Mr Maksimovic was	
	22/12/2023	during early years; mother remarried; had two half-siblings;	charged with Newton. Maksimovic was charged with att poss of methyl.	Sentencing judge described the appellant and Newton as 'essential conduits between	convicted of attempting to possess methylamphetamine with intent to sell it to another. However, both offences related to the same	
		maintained good relationships	was charged with att poss of methyl.	the eastern states and the syndicate'.	quantity of methylamphetamine.'	
		with stepfather and half-	Newton established a transport and	the data in states and the syndreme.	quantity of meany tamphetaninie.	
		siblings; alleged mother had	logistics company ('7 Roads').	The sentencing judge found the offending	At [57] 'the appellant and Mr Maksimovic committed their	
		mental health issues and she	Newton later arranged for the	was a carefully planned and considered	individual offences in the course of acting on different sides of the	
		subjected him to physical and	appellant to become involved in the	course of conduct demonstrated by: the use	same transaction.'	
		emotional abuse; lived with his	business. The appellant lived in	of 7 Roads; the use of encrypted mobile	A4 [62] 'there is no dispute that Ma Makaimania's only shility was	
		father from 13 yrs; stable	Melbourne and arranged for 7 Roads to use warehouse premises in Vic.	phones; the dry-run to test their planning; and the offence was committed for	At [62] 'there is no dispute that Mr Maksimovic's culpability was greater than that of the appellant. As the head of a syndicate that	
		upbringing.	to use wateriouse premises in vic.	financial reward.	operated a large-scale, sophisticated, and commercially motivated	
		Attended several schools; left	Maksimovic was the head of a	indictal feward.	drug dealing business in WA, Mr Maksimovic was responsible for	
		school in yr 10; started	sophisticated drug syndicate	The sentencing judge found the moral	sourcing 59 kg of high quality methylamphetamine.'	
		apprenticeship in	operating in WA. Newton and the	blameworthiness of the appellant was high.		
		cabinetmaking.	appellant decided to use 7 Roads to		At [63] 'on the other hand, the appellant engaged in a determined	
			transport methyl to WA for financial	The sentencing judge found Maksimovic	course of conduct using his knowledge of the transport and	
		Worked in logistics and	reward.	was the head of the syndicate; operated a	logistics industries in an ultimately unsuccessful effort to transport	
		transport.	Newton and the appellant arranged	large-scale and sophisticated drug-dealing business; was responsible for sourcing the	a very large quantity of valuable methylamphetamine the	
		Previously married; not in a	for a shipping container to be	methyl; and operated the syndicate for	sentencing judge did find that the appellant played a "hands on" role in the attempt to supply the methylamphetamine'.	
		relationship at time of	transported from Melbourne to Perth.	financial reward.	Total in the attempt to supply the methylamphetamine.	
		offending; no children; no	The appellant received 56kg of		At [66] 'what the appellant did was perform a crucial role in	
		family connections to WA; no	methyl at the warehouse, repackaged	The sentencing judge found Maksimovic's	ensuring the safe transfer of a very large amount of	
		social visits for more than two-	the methyl into 59 vacuum-sealed	moral blameworthiness as very high.	methylamphetamine across State borders, thereby facilitating a	
		yrs.	bags and stored them within the		significant unlawful transactionwhat should not be overlooked is	

	History of depression.	container bound for Perth. Police substituted the methyl with an inert substance. Newton and the appellant travelled to Perth to facilitate the hand over to Maksimovic. The appellant unloaded the container's contents into a van, and left the vehicle in a Bunnings carpark according to the instructions of Maksimovic. Another member of the syndicate unloaded the van, and left \$142,500 as payment for Newton and the appellant's role in the operation.	The sentencing judge found that all three offenders had experienced some hardship as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic.	that the ultimate purpose of what the appellant did was to facilitate the objectives of Mr Maksimovic and his syndicate, namely to distribute it into the Western Australian community for profit. In that regard, a proper assessment of the appellant's criminality cannot be divorced from Mr Maksimovic's criminal activities and motivations.'	
The State of Western	52 yrs at time sentencing.	Ind 517 Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 119 kg	Ind 517 Ct 1: 14 yrs imp.	Allowed.	133.60 kg.
Australia v Radford	Convicted after early PG (25% discount).	(trafficable quantity). Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained property (\$1,300 cash).	Ct 2: 1 yr's imp (conc). Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum). Ct 4: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of individual sentences ct 1 (Ind 517) ct 1 (Ind 1920) and totality principle.	
[2022] WASCA 142	Very minor criminal history.	Ct 3: Poss unlawfully obtained property (\$24,750 cash).	<u>Ind 1920</u>	Resentenced:	
Delivered 15/11/2022	One of three sons to parent's union; parents; siblings and long-term friend remain supportive.	Ct 4: Poss unlawfully obtained property (\$10,000 cash). Ind 1920	Ct 1: 12 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 8 yrs imp (conc). TES 17 yrs imp.	Ind 517 Ct 1: 17 yrs imp (cum). Ct 2: 1 yr's imp (cum). Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (conc).	
Co-offender:	Left school yr 11.	Ct 1: Supplied methyl 14.6 kg. Ct 2: Dealt with money the proceeds	EFP.	Ct 4: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Ind 1920	
The State of Western Australia v Edwards	Solid work history; various fields; significant debts from a failed business. Volunteer fire fighter prior to	of an offence (\$3.5 million). Ind 1920 Radford was involved in packing approx. 14.6 kg of methyl and approx. \$3.5 million into cardboard	The sentencing judge it a very serious instance of this type of offending and the offending agg by the weight and purity of the methyl; the large scale of the sophisticated, well-planned and well-	Ct 1: 14 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 8 yrs imp (conc). TES 18 yrs imp.	
[2022] WASCA 141	incarceration.	boxes. The boxes were sealed with tape and he arranged for them to be	resourced operation; the nature and level of the respondent's participation in the	EFP.	
Delivered	Single; no children.	delivered to a Mr Kreidie.	enterprise, which was an essential role in the continued operation of the criminal	At [31] In <i>The State of Western Australia v Edwards</i> , we have concluded that the individual sentence of 14 yrs imp imposed on	
15/11/2022	Some issues with methyl use.	A prime mover truck towing three trailers and being driven by Mr Kreidie was stopped by police. The truck and trailers were subjected to x-rays and it revealed a number of suspicious anomalies in the trailers. Among other cargo five cardboard boxes containing 14.6 kgs of methyl and large amounts of Australian cash were located.	enterprise and that his role enabled those higher up in the drug distribution chain to make profits in a way that escapes detection. The sentencing judge regarded the respondent and the co-offender Edwards as equally culpable in relation to the offending the subject of ct 1 on Ind 417.	Mr Edwards for selling or supply 119 kg of methyl to the respondent was manifestly inadequate. The same reasoning leads us to the conclusion that the sentence imposed the respondent in this matter for ct 1 on [Ind 517] was also manifestly inadequate. The criminality of both offenders was substantially the same, having regard to the nature of the offending and the similar personal circumstances of the two men In [37] In the present case, the sentencing outcome for very serious offending of the kind in question requires correction in order to	

				Very good prospects of rehabilitation.	maintain public confidence in the proper administration of criminal	
			Radford's DNA profile was identified on one of the carboard boxes and a bag located inside the box.		justice	
			Ind 517 Radford met the co-accused Edwards at a truck bay. Edwards had driven a truck into WA from NSW. Edwards unloaded boxes from his truck and passed them to Radford, who loaded them into his van.		ecitions	
			Later that same day police attended Radford's home address and conducted a search of the van. Inside they located 11 cardboard boxes containing a total of 119.05 kg of methyl.	a do la companya de l		
			Radford admitted hiring the van and putting the boxes in the van. He declined to make any comment as to any knowledge of the contents of the boxes.	of Pills		
			A search of Radford's residence located \$1,300 cash in a draw; \$24,750 in a robe and \$10,000 inside a gun safe.			
1.	The State of	41 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Sold/supplied methyl 119 kg	Cts 1 & 2: 14 yrs imp (conc).	Allowed.	162.00 kg.
	Western Australia v Edwards	Convicted after early PG (25% discount).	(trafficable quantity). Ct 2: Sold/supplied methyl 43 kg (trafficable quantity). Ct 3: Poss unlawfully obtain property	Ct 3: 8 yrs imp (conc). TES 14 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned length of individual sentences cts 1 & 2 and totality principle.	
	[2022] WASCA	Prior criminal history NSW and	(\$4,503,630 cash).	EFP.	Resentenced (25% discount):	
	141 Delivered	QLD. Born NSW; good childhood;	Edwards drove a truck, registered in NSW, into WA.	The sentencing judge it a very serious instance of this type of offending; the	Ct 1: 17 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 15 yrs imp (conc).	
	15/11/2022	one of five children; parents separated when young; mother remarried; raised by mother and	Edwards stopped in a truck bay. A van, driven by the co-offender	offending agg by the fact the drugs would have caused enormous harm if distributed in the community; the large scale of the	Ct 3: 8 yrs imp (conc). TES 17 yrs imp.	
		stepfather; good, hardworking parents; family in NSW supportive.	Radford arrived and parked next to the truck. Edwards entered the rear of the truck and handed Radford multiple boxes. Radford loaded the	sophisticated, well-planned and well- resourced operation illustrated the quantity of drugs and cash involved in the offending and the nature and level of the	EFP. At [44] the very large quantity of the drugs involved in this case	
		Completed yr 10 high school.	boxes into the van and then left the area.	respondent's participating in the enterprise, which enabled those higher up in the drug	is a significant agg feature of the offence. The quantity of drugs involved increases the potential harm which would have resulted	
		Good work ethic; employed		distribution chain to make profits in a way	from their distribution into the community. Those running the	

mostly in rural NSW and QLD; commenced working as a truck driver 2018; own business 2019.

Single at time sentencing; no children.

In good health; apart from suffering arthritis; very depressed by current situation.

Social drinker; past cocaine use.

A second van arrived, driven by the co-accused Diamantopoulos. He also parked next to the truck. Multiple boxes were loaded into this van before Diamantopoulos left the area.

Edwards was arrested at the truck bay. He was holding a mobile 'Ciphr' phone, a dedicated encryption communication device, costing approx \$2,500 - \$3,000 for six months' use.

A search of the rear of the truck located five individually padlocked suitcases, found to contain \$4,503,630 in Australian cash.

The first van was located at Radford's home. Inside 11 boxes, containing approx. 119 kg of methyl, were located.

The second van was also located and four boxes, containing approx 43 kg of methyl, was found.

A total of 162.74 kg of methyl was located in the two vans, with the purity of the drug between 77% and 84%.

that escaped detection.

The sentencing judge found the respondent a very well trusted courier; and he was to be paid \$30,000 for his role in bringing the drugs into WA.

Genuinely remorseful; accepting of responsibility for his offending.

operation demonstrated a high degree of trust placed in the respondent. He knowingly involved himself in a large scale and well-organised drug distribution operation. [He] participated in the operation for significant commercial gain. His involvement in the offending was not fleeting, and he performed the important task in the criminal enterprise of transporting the drugs into WA and shielding the organisers of the drug operation from apprehension and punishment.

At [45] It must also be recognised that the respondent's involvement in the operation was apparently at a relatively low level in the criminal syndicate. ...

At [48] ... Having regard to ... the quantity of drugs involved; ... the respondent's role and position in the drug operation; ... the respondent's commercial motive for involvement in the operation; ... the mitigating factors; and ... all relevant sentencing principles, ... it was not open to the sentencing judge to regard a sentence of 14 yrs imp as commensurate with the seriousness of the offence charged in ct 1. ...