Possess methylamphetamine with intent to sell or supply (trafficable quantity)

ss 6(1)(a); 6(1)(c) and 34(1)(a) *Misuse of Drugs Act*

From 1 January 2021

Glossary:

	From 1 January 2021
	attempt
<u>Glossary:</u>	SO
att	attempt
conc	concurrent
cum	cumulative
ct	count
CBO	community based order
CSIO	conditionally suspended imp order
EFP	eligible for parole
immed	immediate
imp	imprisonment
MDMA	3,4-Methylenedioxy-n, Alpha Dimethylphenylethylamine (Ecstasy)
methyl	methylamphetamine
OMG DC	outlaw motorcycle gang
PG	plead guilty
poss	possession
susp SW	suspended search warrant
TES	total effective sentence
UCO	
wiss	with intent to sell or supply

No	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
32.	HVA v The State	Early 40s at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Burg.	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (cum).	Appeal dismissed (leave refused).
	of Western		Ct 2: Poss methyl wiss 325.4 g at 74–	Ct 2: 6 yrs imp (HS).	
	Australia	Convicted after PG (20%	81% purity.	Ct 3: 8 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of sentence imposed on ct 2 and first limb of
		discount).	Ct 3: Poss unlawfully obtained property	Ct 4: 1 yr imp (cum).	totality principle.
	[2024] WASCA		\$6,100.		
	156	Extensive criminal history; traffic,	Ct 4: Poss methyl wiss 32.5 g.	TES: 9 yrs imp.	At [33] 'in the present case the appellant was conducting a commercial
		property, nuisance, weapons poss			drug operation from which he was found to have derived a substantial
	Delivered	and drug-related offences; repeat	<u>Ct 1</u>	EFP.	amount of money. For the purposes of that operation he was in
	12/12/2024	offender (home burglaries).			possession of 325.4 g of methylamphetamine – over 11 times the
			The appellant drove a co-offender to the	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	threshold for a trafficable quantity of 28 g of methylamphetamine. The
		Youngest of three children;	victim's home and parked outside the	was a significant drug dealer who did not	appellant had previously been sentenced to significant terms of
		difficult childhood due to father's	front gate of the house. A short time	occupy a minor position in the hierarchy of	immediate imprisonment in 2017 and 2021 for drug related offences.
		violence and alcoholism.	later, the co-offender entered the	drug dealing.	The offending the subject of count 2 was committed only shortly after
			property and stole more than \$10,000		the appellant's release from prison Even having regard to the
		Expelled from school in yr 10.	worth of assorted items while inside.	The sentencing judge accepted that the	appellant's early pleas of guilty, remorse and other mitigating factors
		1 5		appellant was genuinely remorseful, as	which the record indicated the sentencing judge took into account, the
		Worked intermittently; mainly	<u>Ct 2 & 3</u>	evidence by his attendance at counselling.	sentence of 6 yrs imprisonment imposed for ct 2 cannot be regarded as
		unemployed or in prison.			unreasonable or plainly unjust'
		r y r r	The co-offender then exited the house		
		Two children; intermittent contact	and got into the car driven by the		At [34] ' although the offences charged in counts 1-3 were
		with eldest child.	appellant, who drove to a unit. The		committed on the same day, the home burglary offence was separate to
			appellant retrieved a black Rip Curl bag	C V	the offending charged in counts 2 and 3. The offending charged in
		Diagnosed ADHD, depression	from the boot of the car. The appellant		count 4 involved a continuation of the appellant's commercial drug
		and anxiety.	then parked the car inside a garage at	O Y	dealing operation even after he had been released on bail for previous
			the unit. A short time later, police	A C	offending. At least some degree of accumulation of the appropriate
		Cannabis use since 12 yrs old;	conducted a SW at the unit. Police		individual sentences for counts 1, 2 and 4 was required to reflect the
		methyl use since 13 yrs old; heavy	located 325.4 g of methyl and \$6,100		overall criminality involved in all of the appellant's offending.'
		user of methyl.	inside the Rip Curl bag.	Y	overan eminianty involved in an of the appendit s offending.
		user of metryl.	miside the Kip Cull bag.		At [35] ' having regard to [all relevant factors] a total effective
			<u>Ct 4</u>		sentence of 9 years' imprisonment was not unreasonable or plainly
					unjust.'
			About six weeks later, police executed a		
			search warrant at the appellant's unit.		
			Police located a clip-seal bag containing		
			32.5 g of methyl.		
			52.5 g of methyl.		
31.	GRL v The State of	40 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 1.978 kg at 74–	Ct 1: 6 yrs imp (cum).	Appeal allowed.
~10	Western Australia	42 yrs at time sentencing.	82% purity.	Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum).	- Them much con
		jis at this senteneing.	Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained property	Ct 3: 2 yrs 5 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned the sentencing judge's error in failing to account for
	[2024] WASCA	Convicted after PG (20%	\$61,000.		past and promised cooperation as separate mitigating factors.
	146	discount; 15% for past and future	Ct 3: Poss methyl wiss 22.89 at g 74–	TES: 8 yrs 11 mths.	pass and promote cooperation as separate mitigating factors.
		cooperation),	82% purity.		Resentenced:
	Delivered		6270 party.	EFP.	
	25/11/2024	Modest criminal history;	<u>Cts 1 & 2</u>		Ct 1: 6 yrs 10 mths imp (cum).
		summary convictions for poss		The sentencing judge found the offending was	Ct 2: 10 mths imp (conc).
		drugs; poss drug paraphernalia	Australia Post identified a suspicious	extremely serious. The offender was	Ct 3: 1 yr 2 mths imp (conc).
		and utensils.	-	motivated by financial gain.	$\left \begin{array}{c} c_{1,3}, 1 \\ \end{array} \right $ $2 \\ 1 \\ c_{1,3}, 1 \\ c_{1,$
			package which had been sent from		TES: 8 yrs imp
			NSW to a fictitious recipient in WA.		TES: 8 yrs imp.

		 Supportive childhood. Completed yr 12; bullied at school. Completed a Certificate IV in sound engineering; worked in the music industry. Commenced using cannabis, MDMA and amphetamines from mid-teens; managed ADHD symptoms through substance use. One child who lives with her mother; regular contact with his child. Diagnosed ADHD post arrest; chronic depression; anxiety and Complex PTSD. 	Police seized the parcel and found that it contained 1.978 kg of methyl. The methyl was replaced with an inert substance and delivered to the destination address. The appellant attended the property, collected the package and took it inside a residential unit. A SW conducted at the residential unit resulted in the appellant's arrest. The appellant had opened the package and placed its contents into a large kitchen bowl. Police also located a black cooler bag containing \$60,000 in cash at the premises, and \$1,000 cash in the appellant's wallet. Ct 3 Another SW at the appellant's residential address resulted in 22.89 g of methyl being seized.	The sentencing judge found the appellant was responsible for the collection and storage of a large quantity of illicit drugs. It was found the appellant was 'somewhat of a trusted individual within the drug network.' The sentencing judge found that the appellant's involvement in the drug network also extended to repackaging the drugs for onward sale and supply. The sentencing judge found the appellant was genuinely remorseful for his offending. The appellant had taken steps towards rehabilitations, including undergoing psychological counselling. The sentencing judge took into account the appellant's cooperation with law enforcement authorities.	EFP. At [43] 'the requiremend court must state the fa future cooperation in ' At [44] ' when a co- imposed on an offend undertaken to assist la state that fact, and the At [45] ' while [the gave a discount for th not state the extent of At [59] 'there can be serious. We agree wit appellant was part of of illicit drugs into W at the upper echelon of trusted role in it. The At [61] 'there were su of which were the app addition, the appellant At [62] 'the appellant At [65] 'we agree wit totality of the appellant cooperation. The prov offender significantly drug dealer'
30.	Wood v The State	Wood	1 x Poss methyl wiss 9.974 kg at 78–	11 yrs 6 mths imp (both offenders).	Wood
	of Western Australia	26 yrs at time offending. 29 yrs at time sentencing.	80% purity. Both offenders were charged on the	EFP.	Appeal dismissed (lea
	[2024] WASCA 143	Convicted after late PG (10%	same indictment.	Wood	Appeal concerned len
	Delivered 14/11/2024	discount). Born in Vic; three siblings;	Wood and Peagram drove a car from Victoria to Sydney. They were unknown to each other prior to the	Sentenced as a courier who was not aware of the weight, purity or type of drug.	At [54] 'the circumsta The appellant sold The appellant was mo
		parents separated at 6 yrs old and	journey.	The sentencing judge found that Wood had	involvement in this of
	Co-offender:	remained in mother's care; mother re-partnered; one of his	On arrival in Sydney, a bag containing	known drugs were involved from the moment he agreed to participate in the enterprise.	At [55] 'whilst the ap

ment in s 8(5) [of the *Sentencing Act 1995*] that the e fact and the extent of the reduction for promised in open court is important to the sentencing process

court reduces the sentence it would otherwise have nder for an offence because the offender has t law enforcement authorities, the court is obliged to he extent of the reduction, in open court.

he sentencing judge] stated in open court that he the appellant's promised future cooperation, he did of the reduction for this factor.'

be no doubt that the appellant's offending was very with the sentencing judge's finding that the of a well-planned and well-orchestrated importation WA from NSW ... Although the appellant was not in of the enterprise, he played an important and he offending was not isolated.'

substantial mitigating factors, the most important appellant's pleas of guilty and his cooperation. In ant appears genuinely remorseful...'

nt has a modest criminal history.'

with the submission of the appellant ... that the lant's cooperation should be characterised as past rovision of the statement in respect of the coly assisted the State to bring to justice a significant

eave refused).

ength of sentence.

stances of the offence in this case were also serious old or supplied 9.974 kg of methylamphetamine ... motivated by financial gain ... the appellant's offence was not a fleeting or brief one.'

appellant's role can be fairly described as that of a 'ly a critical role in the drug enterprise. Further, the ed to transport the drugs across the country on his

Australia	abusive.	encrypted mobile telephone. Wood	the offence, Wood was struggling financially	own.'
		drove the pair to a town in regional	and was motivated to participate by the	
[2024] WASCA	Left high school in yr 11; bullying	NSW and dropped Peagram off there.	promise of financial gain.	At [56] 'the appellant
144	and difficulty with reading.	Wood continued the journey to WA.		no relevant prior crim
		Peagram then independently travelled	The sentencing judge found that Wood did	rehabilitation.'
Delivered	Worked at a cheese factory then a	by plane.	not have beneficial ownership of the drugs,	
14/11/2024	fitness centre; later returned to the		but nonetheless played an integral role in the	At [77] 'having regard
	cheese factory.	The pair rendezvoused in WA and	enterprise.	the offence, the person
		drove to a nature reserve. As Wood		factors, and the compa
	No dependants; long term partner	remained in the car, Peagram took a bag	The sentencing judge found that the offending	not unreasonable or pl
	since time of bail.	containing the drugs and left it in the	was not part of an ongoing course of conduct.	
		reserve. A third party took the drugs		Peagram
	Diagnosed ADHD; symptoms	and replaced it with a box containing	The sentencing judge found that the Wood	
	associated with complex PTSD.	\$888,650 in cash.	was remorseful and expressed a deep regret	Appeal dismissed (lea
			about his offending. Further, he had shown a	
	Long history of substance use;	Wood and Peagram returned to the	commitment to rehabilitation and that he was	Appeal concerned par
	alcohol from 12 yrs; cannabis	reserve and collected the box of cash.	a moderate or low risk of reoffending.	11 1
	from 16 yrs; methyl from 17 yrs.	Police arrested the pair shortly after.		At [57] 'to establish a
		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Peagram	parity principle, it is n
	Peagram	Wood maintained he did not know the		difference between co
		weight, purity or type of the drugs	Sentenced as a courier.	imposition of the sam
	33 yrs at time sentencing.	involved in the enterprise; but, he knew		r
		that it was drugs. He did not know that	The sentencing judge found that Peagram	At [58] 'the appellant
	Convicted after late PG (10%	there would be money to collect.	knew he was involved in criminal activity,	culpability arising from
	discount).	there would be money to concer.	and he later knew it was drug related.	the co-offender. The s
	discounty.	Peagram maintained he knew he was	and he fater knew it was and related.	not become aware tha
	Criminal history; mostly traffic	involved in a criminal activity, but	The sentencing judge accepted that by the	the supply transaction
	related; one offence of trafficking	initially thought he was transporting a K	time Peagram knew the offending involved	appellant was an inno
	in ecstasy	large quantity of foreign currency. The	drugs, he was in another State and was	becoming aware].
	in cestasy	day before the exchange was when he	confronted with a difficult decision because	becoming awarej.
	Youngest of three brothers;	became aware it was a substantial	he was already implicated; however, he still	At [59] 'to the contrar
	parents were alcoholics and	quantity of drugs.	took no action to extricate himself.	from Victoria to Sydn
	gamblers; father was violent;	quantity of drugs.	took no action to extreate minsen.	participating in a sign
	mother abandoned family at 17	Both offenders were motivated by	The sentencing judge found that the offending	paid \$20,000, \$10,000
		financial gain. Peagram was offered	was not part of an ongoing course of conduct.	promised \$10,000 to \$
	yrs.	\$20,000 for the offending, Wood was	was not part of an ongoing course of conduct.	± ·
	Exactled from school in yr 10.	offered between \$10,000 and \$15,000.	The contonning index found that Decorrow had	whole, the appellant's Wood.
	Expelled from school in yr 10;	onered between \$10,000 and \$15,000.	The sentencing judge found that Peagram had	wood.
	frequently truant.		used his time on remand wisely and was	$\Lambda + [CO]$ (as to measure
	Worked in fact fact it (1)		remorseful for his offending.	At [60] 'as to persona
	Worked in fast food outlets until			difference between the
	commenced painting			
	apprenticeship; worked in			
	construction and as a personal			
	trainer.			
	Complete and all 1 1 for 12			
	Cannabis and alcohol use from 13			
	yrs; amphetamines form 17 yrs;			
	addicted to cocaine during COVID-19.			

nt's circumstances were favourable in that he had iminal record and had made efforts towards

ard to the maximum penalty, the circumstances of sonal circumstances of the appellant, the mitigating nparable cases, the sentence of 11 yrs 6 mths was r plainly unjust.'

leave refused).

parity of sentence with Wood.

a ground of appeal that relies on a breach of the s not sufficient to show that there is some co-offenders. The difference must be such that the ume sentence is productive of an injustice.'

Int principally relies upon the difference in From his state of knowledge as compared to that of e sentencing judge accepted that the appellant did that illicit drugs were involved until the night before on occurred. However, that does not mean that the nocent participant in this enterprise prior [to

rary ... the appellant travelled by car with Wood dney on the understanding that he would be gnificant criminal enterprise, for which he would be 000 of which was paid in advance. Wood was o \$15,000, none of which was paid ... Viewed as a t's culpability was not significantly less than that of

nal circumstances, there was no significant the appellant and Wood.'

		History of short-term relationships.			
29.	The State of Western Australia v YCL [2024] WASCA 124 Delivered 07/10/2024	 34 yrs at time offending. 35 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after PG (22% discount, 10% discount for past cooperation). Limited criminal history. Grew up in a loving family. Left school in yr 11; bullied at school; commenced apprenticeship but did not complete it. Worked in a number of occupations; fruit picking; warehouse work; business became strained from COVID-19 leading to offending. In a long-term relationship; two children; family moved interstate after arrest. Cannabis user from 19 yrs old. 	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 139 g at 78% purity. Ct 2: Poss cocaine wiss 558 g at 16– 19% purity. The respondent was found in possession of the drugs inside his house. The prohibited drugs were located in a pencil case inside a black backpack belonging to the respondent. The drugs had been sent to the respondent via the mail, and his role was to temporarily keep the drugs and deliver them when instructed. The respondent was paid a small sum of cash in return for each delivery. The respondent cooperated with police and received recognition for that cooperation.	Ct 1: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 3 yrs 6 mths imp. TES: 3 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP. The sentencing judge found the respondent was 'at the absolute bottom' of the drug distribution chain. The sentencing judge characterised the appellant's role as the 'package holder' and a 'passer-on-er'. The sentencing judge found the appellant had a low level of culpability. However, the offender was sentenced on the basis that the offending was not isolated and that he had been involved in the venture for a period of time. The sentencing judge found that personal deterrence was not a significant factor; the sentencing judge had 'every confidence' that the respondent would never find himself before the court again.	Appeal allowed. Appeal concerned lentotality principle. Resentenced: Ct 1: 4 yrs 3 mths imp Ct 2: 5 yrs 3 mths imp EFP after 5 yrs 3 mths At [66] 'the cases refer for a 22% discount for cooperation and all ot mths imp imposed up outlier.' At [68] ' this court prohibited drugs such similar seriousness.' At [75] 'an analysis or reveals that the individy very lenient, even who and other mitigating fa- important difference i significantly lower pu offences in the appella At [77] 'the sentencin criminal culpability as very generous finding that the respondent wa not require personal d At [79] 'in addressing placed on the offender matters is what the respondent than [others]. However was unimportant or si Doubtless, the respondent were involved in the b

ength of individual sentences and first limb of

np (conc). np.

hs.

ferred to by the appellant show that, even allowing for the plea of guilty, the 10% discount for past other mitigating circumstances, the sentence of 18 pon the respondent for ct 1 is very much an

t has generally treated cocaine and other h as methylamphetamine and heroin as being of

of the cases cited by the appellant in respect of ct 2 vidual sentence imposed on the respondent was hen the respondent's plea of guilty, cooperation factors are taken into account. However, an is that the cocaine the subject of ct 2 was of a purity than the prohibited drugs the subject of the llant's comparable cases.'

ng judge plainly regarded the respondent's as being at a very low level and made a series of ags to that effect. For example, her Honour found was "naïve", a person of good character, and did deterrence.

ng culpability, what matters is not the label that is er ... as labels are apt to mislead ... Instead, what espondent actually did.'

ent was lower in the drug distribution enterprise ver, this does not mean that the respondent's role significant...His motive was commercial. ndent saw what he was doing as providing easy not have been lost on him that his conduct ninality and that he was assisting persons who business of distributing substantial qualities of

					prohibited drugs into t
					At [84] 'in our opinior
					Honour on ct 1 was er
					reflect the respondent
					deterrence.'
28.	Diamantopoulos v	30 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Dealing with money proceeds of	Ct 1: 8 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal dismissed (lea
	The State of	32 years at time sentencing.	an offence \$4,498,790.	Ct 2: 14 yrs 6 mths imp.	
	Western Australia		Ct 2: Poss methyl wiss 42.92 kg at 77–		Appeal concerned par
		Convicted after PG (20%	82%.	TES: 14 yrs 6 mths.	
	[2024] WASCA 82	discount).		EFP.	At [51] ' the author
			<u>Co-offender – Edwards</u>		rule in terms of what r
	Delivered	Extensive criminal history; on		Co-offender – <i>The State of Western</i>	the case of co-offende
	12/07/2024	parole for drug offending; two	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss (119 kg).	Australia v Edwards [2022] WASCA 141:	every component of th
		prior poss pwiss methyl.	Ct 2: Poss methyl wiss (43 kg).	Ct 1, 17	speaking, in evaluating
		Second of three children;	Ct 3: Dealing with money proceeds of	Ct 1: 17 yrs imp. Ct 2: 15 yrs imp (conc).	considered'
		supportive family.	an offence \$4,503,630.	Ct 2: 15 yrs imp (conc). Ct 3: 8 yrs imp (conc).	At [56] 'in his sentenc
		supportive failing.	Prior to the offending, the appellant had		referred to Mr Edward
		Left school mid yr 12; sporadic	been informed his previous drug debt of	The sentencing judge found that the	supplied to Mr R) and
		employment since.	\$20,000 had been increased to \$60,000.	appellant's offending was very serious. The	supplied to wir K) and
		employment since.	The appellant was informed that if he	enterprise was 'sophisticated, well planned	At [60] 'ground 1 fails
		Cannabis user from 13 yrs; used	accepted a courier job his debt would be	and well resourced' with a 'clear commercial	technical view of the s
		methyl from 17 yrs; extensive	wiped. The appellant accepted the job.	motivation'.	remarks are read in ful
		drug use; drug dependent.	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T		no reasonable prospec
			One afternoon, Edwards parked a white	The sentencing judge was satisfied that the	1 1
		Stimulant use disorder; borderline	truck in a truck bay along a highway.	appellant was genuinely remorseful and that	At [63] 'we accept tha
		personality disorder; major	Shortly after, Mr R parked next to the 📉	there were good prospects of rehabilitation.	appellant's motivation
		depression; anxiety; and PTSD.	truck. Edwards then unloaded multiple	9	for Mr Edwards' offen
			boxes from his truck to Mr R. Mr R	The sentencing judge found that in many	commercial gain B
			then left with the boxes. A police SW at	respects, the appellant and Edwards were at	drug debt.'
			Mr R's address located 11 boxes with	the same level of the drug distribution's	
			119 kg of methyl.	hierarchy, although performing different	At [64] 'it is apparent,
				tasks.	pressure, albeit pressur
			On the same day, the appellant drove a		
			van into the same truck bay alongside	The sentencing judge identified two facts that	At [65] 'the unfortuna
			Edwards. The appellant exited the van	suggested Edwards' role was more	because they are under
			and placed a number of large suitcases	significant: he transported the methyl into	minimises the crimina
			in Edwards' truck. Edwards then	WA from the Eastern States, and his	at all, depends on the
			retrieved multiple boxes from the truck	motivation was purely commercial gain.	pressure.'
			and handed them to the appellant. The		
			police attempted to arrest the appellant	The sentencing judge identified three	At [66] 'in the present
			at the truck bay. After a short chase, the	countervailing factor that suggested the	appellant's family. Th
			appellant was taken into custody and	appellant's offending was more serious:	face the consequences
			42.92 kg of methyl was found in the	Edwards pleaded guilty at an earlier stage; the	$\Lambda \in [67]$ (the minimum of
			appellant's van. The methyl was	appellant had a significant criminal history;	At [67] 'the primary c
			between 77%–82% purity.	and he was on parole at the time of offending.	appellant's offending i
			A search of Edwards' truck revealed the		unaffected by the appe the appellant to offend
			suitcases contained \$4,498,790 in cash.		advantage that accrued
	l		suncases contained \$4,470,770 in cash.		auvantage that accided

o the community.'

on ... the individual sentence imposed by her erroneously low. Importantly, it did not properly nt's criminality and provided insufficient general

eave refused).

arity and length of sentence imposed on ct 2.

brities make it clear that there is no hard and fast t might be a relevant comparator as to sentence in ders. The parity principle may apply to each and the co-offenders' respective sentences. Generally ing parity, all the facts and circumstances must be

ncing remarks, the sentencing judge expressly rds' additional offending (the 119 kg of methyl ad the term of imprisonment for that offending ...'

ils. In our view the ground was based on an overly e sentencing remarks. When the sentencing full and in context, as they should be, ground 1 had ect of succeeding.'

hat there was a relevant difference between the on for his offending and Mr Edwards' motivation ending. Mr Edwards was solely motivated by By contrast the appellant was clearing a \$60,000

nt, however, that Mr Edwards was also under sure of a different kind.'

hate reality is that many offenders commit offences ler pressure of some kind. The extent to which this hal culpability of the offender for the offending, if e facts and circumstances that bring about the

nt case no actual threats were directed to the The appellant was simply told to settle the debt or es.'

consideration in assessing the seriousness of the g is to consider what the appellant did. That is pellant's motivation. In terms of what motivated nd there was, on his own account, a personal led by reason of the offending — the appellant

					cleared a substantial of was under a degree of the appellant's prior a made the appellant su At [69] ' it remains in part, by commercia drug debt. The appell At [81] 'once very len marginal effect so far in further increases in offender. Accordingly concerning very large
				ROT	linear relationship wi the offending.' At [83] 'the limited d
					explained by the prop
27.	Watson v The	27 yrs at time offending.	<u>IND 1136</u>	<u>IND 1136</u>	Allowed.
	State of Western	30 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1. Secondial method 2.00 last st CO	Ct 1, 10	A
	Australia [No 2]	Convicted after PG (20% discount	Ct 1: Supplied methyl 3.99 kg at 69– 72%.	Ct 1: 10 yrs imp. Ct 2: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Appeal concerned the
	[2024] WASCA 66	for IND 1136 and 25% discount	Ct 2: Poss money that was the proceeds	Ct 2. 5 yrs mp (cum).	Resentenced:
		for IND 925).	of an offence (\$5,987,220).	13 yrs imp.	Resentencea.
	Delivered				IND 925
	14/06/2024	Minor criminal history; traffic	<u>IND 925</u>	<u>IND 925</u>	
		offences in both NZ and	X	O /	Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (conc)
		Australia.	Ct 2: Conspiracy to poss methyl wiss 30	Ct 2: 8 yrs imp (conc).	Ct 3: 4 yrs imp (conc)
			kg.	Ct 3: 7 yrs imp (conc).	Ct 4: 4 yrs imp (conc)
l		Born in NZ; happy childhood.	Ct 3: Conspiracy to poss cocaine wiss	Ct 4: 7 yrs imp (conc).	4 (D)
l		Left school in yr 13 and	10 kg. Ct 4: Conspiracy to poss heroin wiss 10	8 yrs (cum on IND 1136).	4 yrs imp (cum on IN
		undertook some study before	kg.	8 yis (cuil on hyd 1150).	TES: 17 yrs imp.
		finding gainful employment.	Ng.	TES: 21 yrs imp.	125. 17 yis mp.
			IND 1136		At [93] 'the totality p
		Moved to Australia; became		EFP.	sentencing judge to c
		isolated and unmotivated; stopped	The appellant was observed by police		give consideration to
		working; receiving Centrelink	parking his vehicle near a bush reserve.	<u>IND 1136</u>	just punishment for th
		payments at time of offending.	The appellant got out of the car and		
			entered the reserve carrying a black	The appellant was sentenced on the basis that	At [94] 'in this case t
l		In a relationship; partner remained	backpack. A short time later he returned	he was more than a warehouseman and more	First, the possession of
		supportive; no children.	to the car, no longer carrying the	than a courier.	charge, was also relev
		Di waakhy connohis waa aasial	backpack.	The contensing judge found the appellent's	conspiracy It is ap
l		Bi-weekly cannabis use; social drinker.	On the same day, another man Mr C	The sentencing judge found the appellant's involvement in the criminal enterprise was	sentencing proceeding
			On the same day, another man, Mr C was observed entering the reserve. A	continuous, and not isolated.	closely connected ever that the appellant was
			\mathbf{A}		I mai une appenditt was
1			-		
			short time later, Mr C was observed carrying the black backpack left by the	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	At [95] 'second, the e

drug debt ... Accordingly, so far as the appellant of pressure to participate in the offending, it was actions and involvement with illicit drugs that susceptible to that pressure.'

ns the case that the appellant was actuated, at least cial gain. The appellant was clearing a substantial ellant therefore acted for reward.'

lengthy sentences are reached there is a diminishing far as personal and general deterrence are concerned in the severity of the sentence imposed on an gly, it is not to be expected that sentences ge quantities of prohibited drugs should have a with the weight of the prohibited drugs involved in

disparity in the total effective sentences is oper application of sentencing law and principles.'

ne first limb of the totality principle.

1c).

nc).

nc).

ND 1136).

principle ... [i]n practical terms will require the consider the whole of the offending conduct and to whether the total effective sentence is a fair and that conduct.'

e two other issues also impacted on sentencing. n of the cash, whilst the subject of a separate levant as part of the conduct relating to the apparent from the facts relied on in the two ings that all of the charges arose from a series of events. It was important in that context to ensure vas not doubly punished for any part of the conduct.'

exact nature of the conspiracy was significant in sness of the appellant's conduct ... The conspiracy

			C's vehicle and found a package containing 3.999 kg.	decision maker. However, the people higher in the hierarchy did repose a large degree of trust in him.	the appellant was con the activities and obje
			On another occasion, the appellant and two co-offenders Mr W and Mr O were packaging cash at the appellant's home.	The appellant has participated in the commission of the offence was commercial	At [100] 'although th defence counsel, the than someone at a mo
			The cash was packed into six boxes containing a total of \$5,987,220. The boxes were left in the appellant's	reward; the paltry compensation he received did not excuse his offending.	also had a role in the the drugs, but only in counted and stored th
			residence, and later transported by Mr O	<u>IND 925</u>	
			to another residence. During a SW of		At [101] 'the sentence
			the appellant's residence, police located	The sentencing judge found that cts 2–4	which the appellant w
			a Ciphr phone, cash counting equipment and boxes matching the \$5,987,220.	alleged separate offences, but they were the same criminal conduct.	admitted facts The with on a basis that a had in in fact admitte
			<u>IND 925</u>	The criminality of the appellant found to be	
				co-extensive with the scope of the broader	At [102] 'in our view
			The three conspiracy cts relate to a single agreement between Mr O, Mr W and the appellant to import 50 kg of	criminal enterprise. The sentencing judge found that there was no meaningful distinction between the role of the appellant	unreasonable or plain role in both sets of of amounts of drugs are
			drugs into WA. The Ciphr phone seized from the appellant revealed an	and that of Mr O.	enterprise, and those level of criminality. In
			agreement to possess 30 kg of methyl, 10 kg of cocaine, and 10 kg of heroin.	The sentencing judge found that the offending was motivated by personal gain.	more significant cons
			X	As with IND 1136, the appellant was found to have been an enthusiastic participant in the agreement.	
			Direct	The sentencing judge found that appellant was sincerely remorseful for his conduct. It was also accepted that the appellant had undertaken study and passed bridging courses whilst in custody.	
26.	Owen v The State	35 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Att to poss methyl wiss 133 g at	Ct 1: 6 yrs imp.	Appeal dismissed (lea
20.	of Western	38 yrs at time sentencing.	56%.	Ct $2-13$: 12 mths imp (cum).	Appear distilissed (iee
	Australia		Cts 2–13: Att to poss various quantities		Appeal concerned len
		Convicted after trial (ct 1)	of human growth hormone and anabolic	The sentencing judged found that the	
	[2024] WASCA 28	Convicted after PG (cts 2–13).	steroids.	explanation of the appellant that he was	At [43] 'the major ser
	Delivered	Criminal history in WA and Qld;	<u>Ct 1</u>	tracking the parcel on behalf of someone else without any knowledge that it contained an	or trafficking dangero deterrence.'
	27/03/2024	unlawful poss of motor vehicle;		illicit substance lacked credibility.	deterrence.
		traffic offences; importing	The appellant was heavily involved in	5	At [46] 'as to the serie
		prohibited imports; AOBH.	bodybuilding. In addition to using	The sentencing judge found the appellant had	obtain the methylamp
		Born in Brishana: lawing and	various performance enhancing drugs,	taken actions to distance himself from the	actions also involved
		Born in Brisbane; loving and supportive family; good	he also sold growth hormones and steroids to other bodybuilders.	illegality of the offending.	up an APCN in a false parcels containing pro
		relationship with family.	The appellant was the part owner of an	The sentencing judge found the appellant's role was that of a middleman or drug courier	parcel containing met

onvicted of was not necessarily coextensive with bjectives of the broader criminal enterprise.'

the description of a courier was disavowed by e appellant's role was closer to that of a courier nore senior position in the criminal enterprise. He he movement of the cash that was used to purchase in a role that was likened to that of a clerk who the money.

ncing judge's descriptions of the agreement to was a party were an inaccurate reflection of the he effect of this was that the appellant was dealt attributed to him much greater criminality than he ted.'

ew, the total sentence of 21 yrs' imprisonment was inly unjust having regard to the appellant's limited offending and his early pleas of guilty. Where large re involved there are likely to be many people in the re people are likely to vary significantly in their . In such cases the role of the offender is often a nsideration than the amount of drugs.'

leave refused).

ength of sentence imposed on ct 1.

sentencing considerations for offences of dealing in erous drugs of addiction are general and personal

priousness of the offence, the appellant's attempt to nphetamine was not a momentary aberration. His ed some degree of sophistication. The appellant set lse name so that he could track the movement of prohibited drugs. He used that APCN to track the nethylamphetamine.'

		Completed yr 12; completed mechanic apprenticeship; qualified as a mechanical fitter and Microsoft System engineer. Gainful employment since leaving school; workshop manager at time of conviction; described as a dedicated and hard-working employee. Began use of hormones after doctor prescribed testosterone; continued use of testosterone for personal use; supplied steroids to others for discount on his own; maintains having never used illicit substances that weren't anabolic steroids. Married and living with his wife. Experienced some anxiety and depression.	investment property. The property was leased to tenants. Australia Post attended the property to deliver two packages. Expecting a parcel, the tenants accepted delivery. After opening the parcels. The tenants discovered a vacuum sealed bag containing a crystalline substance. The tenant returned it to the deliver officer and told him it contained drugs. Police attended the post office and seized the parcel. The parcel contained 133 g of methyl with a purity of 56%. <u>Cts 2–12</u> The relevant parcel had a tracking number, which was been tracked by two separate Australia Post Consumer Numbers (APCN). One of the APCN's was registered in the name of an ex- girlfriend of the appellant. That APCN was linked to a SIM card found in the possession of the appellant. The Australia Post database identified two further parcels addressed to the appellant's ex-girlfriend. Those two parcels were seized and contained numerous steroids. The appellant later travelled to the parcel locker and attempted to collect one of the packages seized by police. <u>Cts 13</u> The following day, the appellant attended another locker and collected a different parcel addressed to his ex- girlfriend. That parcel contained human growth hormone. During his police interview, the appellant made full admissions about the human growth	in a mid to high level drug operation. The sentencing judge found that the appellant did not act for altruistic reasons and that it was implausible that he would have undertaken such risk for no reward. The sentencing judge was satisfied that there was commerciality in the appellant's offending.	At [47] 'it should be r nature and quantity of does not equate with a these things.' At [48] 'we have rega the circumstances of t sentences imposed in sentence was manifes At [54] ' there is no imposed in this case v
25.	Astone v The State	59 yrs at time sentencing.	hormone and anabolic steroids. Ct 1: Poss unlawfully obtained property	Ct 1: 9 mths imp (conc).	Appeal dismissed (lea
	of Western Australia [2024] WASCA 18	Convicted after PG (17.5% discount).	\$13,950.Ct 2: Offer to supply methyl 27.96 g.Ct 4: Offer to supply methyl 28 g.Ct 5: Poss methyl wiss 111 g at 81%	Ct 2: 3 yrs 3 mths imp (cum). Ct 4: 4 yrs 3 mths imp (HS). Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (conc). Ct 6: 3 yrs 3 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned firs offender's sentence.
	Delivered	No criminal history; minor road traffic record.	purity. Ct 6: Poss heroin wiss 60.79 g 74–77%	Ct 7: 6 mths imp (conc).	At [57] 'the appellant drug dealing business

e noted that to say that knowledge of the precise of drugs was not proved beyond reasonable doubt h a positive finding that the appellant did not know

egard to the cases referred to by the appellant. When of those case are considered, it is apparent that the in them do not support the claim that the appellant's festly excessive.'

no proper basis for arguing that the sentence e was manifestly excessive.'

leave refused for length of sentence).

first limb of totality principle and parity with co-

ant played a sustained and integral role in her son's ess ... the business required the appellant's

16/02/2024		purity.	TES: 5 yrs 3 mths.	organisation to function
	Youngest of three sisters; parents	Ct 7: Poss unlawfully obtained property		of methyl to a client a
	migrated to Australia from Sicily;	\$3,000.	EFP.	involved in the transp
	parents were strict; raised on a			degree of planning in
	farm in a reclusive environment;	<u>Ct 1</u>	<u>Co-offender</u>	conceal the appellant
	father was physically and			she held just over 60
	emotionally abusive.	Police executed a SW at the appellant's	Ct 1: 15 mths imp (cum).	knew was to be used
		home whilst the co-offender was	Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (HS).	degree of accumulation
	Completed yr 10 at high school;	present. Police seized and charged the		the overall criminality
	bullied and ostracised at school.	co-offender with poss methyl together	The sentencing judge found the appellant had	
		with poss a firearm, parts and	remorse. But the appellant's poor mental	At [58] 'we do not ac
	Completed a clerical course at	ammunition. Police located \$13,950 in	health did not reduce her culpability.	drawn between a pers
	TAFE; worked for extended	cash. An intercepted phone call		own financial benefit
	period in bookkeeping and	revealed the appellant was aware of the	The sentencing judge expressly referred to	family member. Nor c
	clerical positions; former	cash in her home.	imposing comparable sentences to the co-	offender] from threats
	employers spoke highly of her		offender and Mr T.	culpability.'
	work ethic and confirmed she was	<u>Ct 2</u>		
	drug free.		The sentencing judge found that the drug	At [58] 'the appellant
		A listening device in the appellant's	dealing business belonged to the co-offender;	from the trade by find
	Forced into an arranged marriage;	property recorded a conversation	however, the appellant's role allowed the	chose to facilitate the
	husband was abusive and a drug-	between the appellant and co-offender	business to operate more efficiently.	business.'
	user; appellant was afraid to leave	during which the appellant offered to		
	the marriage; two children from	supply the co-offender with 28 g of	The sentencing judge found that the	At [79] 'overall, there
	the marriage (the oldest was the	methyl.	circumstances of the appellant's offending	and [the co-offender]
	co-offender); marriage ended after		were at least equal to the co-offender.	
	20 yrs.	<u>Ct 4</u>		At [80] 'it is also true
			The sentencing judge found the appellant had	significantly greater n
	Later commenced a relationship	The listening device captured the \mathbf{k}	knowledge of the legal consequences and	Based on the mitigatin
	with Mr E; Mr E was a heroin	appellant offering to supply an	harm caused by drug dealing activities.	would be expected that
	addict and drug dealer; was	individual with 28 g of methyl.	Nonetheless, the appellant became involved	
	abusive to towards the appellant;		and helped facilitate the co-offender's drug	At [81] 'however, the
	on and off relationship; died one	<u>Ct 5</u>	dealing activities.	the appellant and [the
	yr before sentencing.			effective sentences wa
		Surveillance devices later recorded the	The sentencing judge found that the	more offences the
	Poor mental health; anxiety;	co-offender and Mr T (another co-	appellant's involvement was — to some	offender's] total effec
	depression and possibly PTSD.	offender) discussing a plan to collect	extent — related to a long history of being	criminality involved i
		drugs. Mr T went to the appellant's	exposed to domestic violence and being	appellant was convict
	Became involved in drug dealing	home, and the appellant and co-offender	fearful of her then partner.	
	to assist her son (the co-offender)	told Mr T the plan for the day. The		At [84] 'considered in
	with his debts.	appellant gave Mr T \$40 for fuel and		individual sentences f
		the three offenders drove in a two-car		methyl would not be j
	<u>Co-Offender</u>	convey to a truck stop. Mr T waited at a		better antecedents and
		café and the appellant and co-offender		
	29 yrs at time sentencing.	later returned to his location. The co-		At [85] 'however, it is
		offender placed a package of methyl		the appellant's indictr
	Convicted after PG (20%	under the bonnet of Mr T's car and the		sentences and so does
	discount).	two vehicles drove away. Police		sentence.'
		stopped and searched the vehicles,		
	Ct:1 poss methyl wiss (13.8 g at	discovering a package containing 111 g		At [87] 'it was therefore
	63% purity).	of methyl at 81% purity.		take the view that the

ction. The appellant herself offered to supply 28 g t and 27.96 g of methyl to her son. She was closely sport of 111 g of methyl...The transport involved a in which a third person was recruited in an effort to nt and her son's role in the offending. Separately, 0 g of heroin for Mr E in her home, which she d ... in a commercial operation. A significant tion ... was required for the total sentence to reflect ity.'

accept ... that there is a material distinction to be reson pursuing a drug dealing enterprise for their it and doing so for the financial benefit of a close r does the appellant's motivation to protect [the coats ... fundamentally alter the appellant's

nt did not attempt to extricate [the co-offender] nding lawful means of assisting him...Rather, she ne continuation of her son's unlawful drug dealing

re was little to distinguish the roles the appellant r] played in the drug dealing business.'

the appellant's antecedents provided mitigation than those of [the co-offender] ... ting factors that were available to the appellant, it that she would receive a lower sentence ...'

he overall criminality of the offending for which he co-offender] received their respective total was not the same. The appellant was convicted of he difference between the appellant's and [cofective sentences reflects the greater level of d in the larger number of offences of which the licted.'

in isolation, the lack of disparity between the s for the offences relating to the same 111 g of e justifiable given the appellant's significantly ind other mitigating factors.'

t is relevant that the sentenced imposed for ct 5 on ctment is to be served concurrently with other bes not add to the length of her total effective

efore reasonably open for the sentencing judge to he parity principle was appropriately

		Ct 2: supply methyl (111 g). Criminal history; imp for serious drug offences; drug and weapon offences. Left school at 17 yrs; receiving Centrelink benefits; drug use; in good physical health. Depressive symptoms.	<u>Ct 6 & 7</u> After searching the offender's vehicles, a SW was conducted at the appellant's home. Police found 60.79 g of heroin with a purity between 74% and 77%. Police also located \$3,000 in cash. The appellant was holding and hiding the heroin and money for her then-partner Mr E — who was a heroin user and dealer. The appellant was not personally selling or supplying heroin for commercial purposes.		accommodated by the imposed.'
24.	Wijnen v The State of Western Australia [2024] WASCA 1 Delivered 04/01/2024	 34 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after trial. No criminal history. Stable and loving home; average school student; left school at 16 yrs to commence apprenticeship. Changed employment due to injuries sustained in a car accident; later became a crane driver and truck driver; diagnosed with testicular cancer and was in remission at sentencing. Depression; medicated since 2018; showed some signs of ADHD or autism. Escalating methyl use; daily use by time of offending; acknowledged that he had previously sold or supplied drugs; ceased use of methyl in custody. Well regarded by family and friends; supported by long-term partner. 	Ct 1: Att to possess methyl wiss 291 g at 81–82% purity. Australian Border Force intercepted a package sent to a residential premises. The package contained vacuum-sealed bags containing methyl. The package was reconstructed and substituted with an inert substance. A listening device was installed in the package. The package was then delivered. At the residence, the female co-accused answered the door and received the package. She attempted to call the appellant. When he did not answer, she sent a text message to the appellant asking whether he was expecting a package. The appellant responded he would come to the house in an hour. On arrival, the appellant asked the co- accused for some gloves. Police executed a search warrant and found the appellant near the package. He was wearing a pair of latex gloves and holding a Stanley knife. The appellant was searched and a clip-seal bag containing 24g of methyl was located in his sock. In his wallet a small piece of paper had the same name and address details on the package.	Ct 1: 6 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP. The sentencing judge found that the appellant played an important part in the offence. The offending was not merely a fleeting involvement. The sentencing judge characterised the appellant as an important cog in the offence, which would have involved the distribution of the drugs to somebody else. The sentencing judge found that the appellant was, apart from his drug issues and offending, a person capable of making a positive commitment to society. The sentencing judge accepted that the appellant had facilitated the course of justice by narrowing issues at trial. The sentencing judge was unwilling to accept the appellant was remorseful for his actions.	Appeal dismissed (lea Appeal concerned len At [30] 'the major ser or trafficking dangero deterrence. The weigh chief factor to be take matter of importance. At [32] ' the appell methyl of high purity trafficable quantity pr At [33] 'although no appellant's role was r one that would plainly drugs into the commu- At [46] 'in the presen described as being co the criminal enterpriss favourable personal c respect of offending c of general and person

he difference in the total effective sentences

leave refused).

ength of sentence.

sentencing considerations for offences of dealing in erous drugs of addiction are general and personal ight of the drugs in question is not generally the ken into account in fixing a sentence, but it is a ce.'

ellant attempted to possess a significant quantity of ty. That quantity was more than ten times the prescribed ...'

o finding of commerciality was made...the s nonetheless significant...His role was a trusted nly have facilitated the further distribution of the nunity.'

ent case, whilst the appellant's role was not commercial, he was nonetheless an important cog in rise ... Whilst the appellant had a number of l circumstances, such factors are of less weight in g of this nature and are subsumed to the importance onal deterrence.'

			During his interview, the appellant claimed he was a coin collector, and he was wearing gloves because he did not want to risk infecting his fragile grandparents with COVID-19.		
23.	Gray v The State of Western Australia [2023] WASCA 188 Delivered 22/12/2023	 48 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted at trial. Limited criminal history. Born in NSW; parents separated after birth; lived with mother during early years; mother remarried; had two half-siblings; maintained good relationships with stepfather and half-siblings; alleged mother had mental health issues and she subjected him to physical and emotional abuse; lived with his father from 13 yrs; stable upbringing. Attended several schools; left school in yr 10; started apprenticeship in cabinetmaking. Worked in logistics and transport. Previously married; not in a relationship at time of offending; no children; no family connections to WA; no social visits for more than two-yrs. History of depression. 	Ct 1: Att to supply methyl 56kg at 80.91% purity. The appellant was one of three men convicted of playing an important part in an unsuccessful attempt to transport 56kg of methyl from NSW into WA. Appellant was jointly charged with Newton. Maksimovic was charged with att poss of methyl. Newton established a transport and logistics company ('7 Roads'). Newton later arranged for the appellant to become involved in the business. The appellant lived in Melbourne and arranged for 7 Roads to use warehouse premises in Vic. Maksimovic was the head of a sophisticated drug syndicate operating in WA. Newton and the appellant decided to use 7 Roads to transport methyl to WA for financial reward. Newton and the appellant arranged for a shipping container to be transported from Melbourne to Perth. The appellant received 56kg of methyl at the warehouse, repackaged the methyl into 59 vacuum-sealed bags and stored them within the container bound for Perth. Police substituted the methyl with an inert substance. Newton and the appellant travelled to Perth to facilitate the hand over to Maksimovic. The appellant unloaded the container's contents into a van, and left the vehicle in a Bunnings carpark according to the instructions of Maksimovic. Another member of the syndicate unloaded the van, and left \$142,500 as payment for Newton and	Ct 1: 20 yrs. EFP. Sentencing judge found the appellant and Newton played an integral role in bringing a large amount of methyl into WA. Sentencing judge described the appellant and Newton as 'essential conduits between the eastern states and the syndicate'. The sentencing judge found the offending was a carefully planned and considered course of conduct demonstrated by: the use of 7 Roads; the use of encrypted mobile phones; the dry- run to test their planning; and the offence was committed for financial reward. The sentencing judge found the moral blameworthiness of the appellant was high. The sentencing judge found Maksimovic was the head of the syndicate; operated a large- scale and sophisticated drug-dealing business; was responsible for sourcing the methyl; and operated the syndicate for financial reward. The sentencing judge found Maksimovic's moral blameworthiness as very high. The sentencing judge found that all three offenders had experienced some hardship as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic.	 Appeal dismissed (lear Appeal concerned part At [56] ' it is necess appellant and by Mr M appellant was convicted to another, whereas M possess methylamphet both offences related t At [57] 'the appellant offences in the course transaction.' At [62] 'there is no dis greater than that of the operated a large-scale, dealing business in W. 59 kg of high quality r At [63] 'on the other h course of conduct usin industries in an ultima quantity of valuable m find that the appellant the methylamphetamin At [66] 'what the appel the safe transfer of a v State borders, thereby transactionwhat sho purpose of what the appellant's criminal activities and

eave refused).

arity of sentences with co-offender.

essary to note that the offences committed by the Maksimovic were not identical offences. The cted of attempting to supply methylamphetamine Mr Maksimovic was convicted of attempting to netamine with intent to sell it to another. However, I to the same quantity of methylamphetamine.'

at and Mr Maksimovic committed their individual se of acting on different sides of the same

dispute that Mr Maksimovic's culpability was he appellant. As the head of a syndicate that le, sophisticated, and commercially motivated drug WA, Mr Maksimovic was responsible for sourcing y methylamphetamine.'

r hand, the appellant engaged in a determined sing his knowledge of the transport and logistics nately unsuccessful effort to transport a very large methylamphetamine ... the sentencing judge did nt played a "hands on" role in the attempt to supply nine'.

pellant did was perform a crucial role in ensuring a very large amount of methylamphetamine across by facilitating a significant unlawful hould not be overlooked is that the ultimate

appellant did was to facilitate the objectives of Mr syndicate, namely to distribute it into the Western ty for profit. In that regard, a proper assessment of nality cannot be divorced from Mr Maksimovic's ad motivations.'

			the appellant's role in the operation.		
22.	Searle v The State	21 yrs at time contancing	IND 136	IND 136	Dismissed.
22.	of Western	31 yrs at time sentencing.	$\frac{1101130}{Cts 1; 3-6; 8; 14-31 \& 33: Offer to}$	Cts 1; 3; 9; 14 & 33: 6 mths imp (conc).	Disinisseu.
	Australia	IND 136	sell/supply methyl $0.1 \text{ g} = 7 \text{ g}.$	Cts 2 & 7: 1 mth's imp (conc).	Appeal concerned leng
	Australia	Convicted after late PG (5%	Cts 2; 7; 9-13: Offer to sell/supply	Cts 4-5; 15-17 & 30: 12 mths imp (conc).	Appear concerned leng
	[2023] WASCA	discount).	cannabis.	Cts 6; 8; 18 & 20: 15 mths imp (conc).	At [48] the appella
	129	discounty.	Ct 32: Offer to sell/supply methyl 28 g	Cts 10-12: 4 mths imp (conc).	features The fact th
	1	IND 1013	(trafficable quantity).	Ct 13: 10 mths imp (conc).	an additional element
	Delivered	Convicted after PG (25%		Cts 19; 21; 23-24; 26-27 & 31: 18 mths imp	offers concerned 28 g
	30/08/2023	discount).	IND 1013	(conc).	
		,	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 43.44 g at 80-	Ct 22: 20 mths imp (conc).	At [49] 'had the appel
		Unstable family life; raised by	81% purity (trafficable quantity).	Ct 25: 2 yrs imp (conc).	ind standing alone, a s
		mother, frequently physically and	Ct 2: Obstruct police officer.	Cts 28 & 29: 8 mths imp (conc).	would have been appro
		emotionally abusive; often left	Cts 3-5: Use identification material with	Ct 32: 3 yrs imp (cum).	duration of the offendi
		with his grandmother; exposed to	intention to commit fraud.	N Y	
		drug abuse and violence; absent		<u>IND 1013</u>	At [50] 'there is no ch
		father; abandoned by his mother	<u>IND 136</u>	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (cum).	the second ind. That se
		entirely aged 15 yrs; no contact	Searle committed the offences over a	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	offence, Further, it
		with her since.	period of about five months in the	Cts 3-5: 9 mths imp (conc).	included cts $2-5$, whi
			course of a small-scale drug-dealing		character from the dru
		Frequently moved schools; no	business.	Ct 32 (IND 136) cum on sentence imposed ct	offending. While the s
		close friendships; completed yr		1 (IND 1013).	offences involved dist
		10; obtained certificates in various	Searle was stopped driving a motor	TEC Quere inter	appellant's overall crin
		trades.	vehicle and following a search, two	TES 8 yrs imp.	$A \neq [51]$ (the Q surges
		Good work history and good	mobile telephones were seized. Later analysis of the phones revealed	EFP.	At [51] ' the 8-yr se criminality manifested
		employment prospects.	communications via text message and		cillinanty mannested
		employment prospects.	Facebook Messenger in which he	IND 136	At [52] 'nothing in the
		Stable family and partner; current	offered to sell or supply prohibited	The sentencing judge found the appellant's	supports a conclusion
		partner non-drug user; close	drugs to various people.	offending was for a commercial purpose,	to reveal error.'
		relationship with his sister and		offering and selling methyl and cannabis in	
		stepfather; supportive family.	On seven occasions Searle offered to	part to help fund his own drug use; sentenced	At [59] ' giving full
			sell or supply cannabis in quantities	on basis he was towards the middle of the	mitigating factors in h
		Commenced cannabis use aged 15	ranging from $7g - 140g$.	drug-distribution network, above the street-	error.'
		yrs; introduced to methyl aged 19	X	level user/dealer.	
		yrs; drug-free for extended	On 25 occasions Searle offered to sell		
		periods.	or supply methyl in quantities ranging	The sentencing judge accepted that the	
			from 0.1g – 7g.	transactions the subject of cts 32 and 25 did	
				not proceed; sentenced on the basis the	
			On one occasion Searle offered to sell	balance of transactions generally did proceed	
			or supply a trafficable quantity of	and the appellant was able to readily source	
			methyl.	methyl and cannabis.	
			<u>IND 1013</u>	<u>IND 1013</u>	
			Whilst driving a motor vehicle police	The sentencing judge found the offending	
			stopped Searle for a random breath and	serious; it occurred while he was on bail for	
			drug test. He tested positive for drugs.	the offending the subject of IND 136; ct 1	
			Searle ran from the police and despite a	involved a significantly greater quantity of	

ength of sentence and totality principle.

Illant's 2019 offending had a number of serious t that [he] was dealing in two different drugs adds at to his criminality. Further, one of the appellant's g of methyl.'

ellant been sentenced for the offences on the first a sentence appreciably in excess of 3 yrs' imp propriate, particularly given the persistence and ading the subject of the fist ind.'

challenge to the sentence of 5 yrs imp for ct 1 on a sentence reflects the serious features of the it should not be overlooked that the second ind which involved additional criminality of a different rug-dealing the subject of the appellant's other e sentences for cts 2-5 were made conc, those astinct additional criminality that forms part of the priminality.'

sentence reflects, as it should, the overall ed in all of the appellant's offending.'

he consideration of other comparable cases on that the TES in the present case was so high as

ull weight to the appellant's PG, and to all the his favour, his TES of 8 yrs imp does not reveal

			chase he was unable to be located and apprehended.	methyl than the earlier offending and confirmed the appellant's ability to access	
			Inside Seerle's vehicle a bag containing	significant quantities of methyl; the appellant	
			Inside Searle's vehicle a bag containing a total of 43.44 g of methyl was located,	played a significant role in the distribution of large quantities of methyl into the community	
			along with \$5,540 in cash.	and he did so for commercial purposes.	
			along with \$5,540 in cash.	and he did so for commercial purposes.	Ċ
			About two weeks later Searle was	Remorseful; insight into his offending	
			located and arrested at an address.	behaviour; accepting of responsibility and	· 0 ·
			During a search of the property a wallet	contributing factors to his offending.	
			containing three counterfeit MDLs,		
			each featuring his image and false identification details. He had used the		
			fake MDLs to obtain accommodation		
			under false identities, which enabled		
			him to avoid police detection during the		
			period up to his arrest.		
21.	Stipanich v The	41 yrs at time sentencing.	IND 1926	IND 1926	Dismissed (leave refu
	State of Western		Ct 1: Poss methyl 6.78g.	Ct 1: 8 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia	<u>IND 1926</u>	Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained property	Ct 2: 10 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned len
		Convicted after early PG	(\$75,170 cash).	c X	principle.
	[2023] WASCA	(ct 1 20% discount)		<u>IND 1878</u>	
	118	(ct 2 15% discount).	<u>IND 1878</u>	Ct 1: 7 yrs imp (cum).	At [36] ' the quanti
		NID 1070	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 107.1g at 52%		four times the traffica
	Delivered 11/08/2023	IND 1878 Convicted after early PC (17.5%	and 74% purity (trafficable quantity).	TES 7 yrs 10 mths imp.	it is important to bear arrest must be seen in
	11/08/2025	Convicted after early PG (17.5% discount).	IND 1926	EFP.	the appellant had been
		discount).	In the early hrs of the morning		extended period, a fin
		Extensive criminal history.	Stipanich and his partner checked into a	Sentenced on basis a mid-level user/dealer for	appellant in the offend
			hotel.	profit.	courier or aider, who
		Dysfunctional and disadvantaged		r	or for modest reward.
		childhood; alcoholic mother;	A hotel security camera recorded their	The sentencing judge found the offending	commercial dealer.'
		violent father; sexually abused.	movements.	serious; there was a significant quantity of	
			c XY	methyl, well in excess of the trafficable	At [37] 'The third offe
		Educated to yr 10.	Stipanich was seen carrying a backpack.	quantity; the offending was committed for	committed when the a
				commercial purposes, but accepted the	one of which was also
		10 yrs stable relationship; two	In the afternoon Stipanich and his	appellant was selling drugs partly to fund his	
		teenage children from previous	partner left the hotel room. He was	own heavy drug use; the extent of the	At [38] ' it can be n
		relationship.	again seen carrying the backpack. They later returned to their room with the	commerciality was reflected by the sum of \$75,170 found in his poss; the offending was	perspective of the qua the role of the offende
		Commenced, but did not	backpack.	not isolated or one-off and the offending must	of conduct, as it was h
		complete, an apprenticeship;	ouexpuex.	be considered in the context of and against a	
		employed in rigging and general	That evening Stipanich was arrested	background that he was involved in drug	At [50] 'in the present
		construction.	outside the hotel. A clipseal bag	dealing activities over an extended period of	off or single instance
			containing 6.78 g of MDMA was found	time.	noted, the appellant's
		Struggled with drug addiction	in his pocket. The backpack was located		dealing over an exten
	1	00		The contensing judge also took into account	dealer, engaged in dea
		many yrs; using methyl at time of	in the hotel room and was found to	The sentencing judge also took into account	dealer, engaged in dea

fused).

ength of sentence ct 1 (IND 1878) and totality

ntity of 107.1 g possessed by the appellant is nearly cable quantity prescribed for methyl. Furthermore, ear in mind that the quantity possessed at the time of in the context that the sentencing judge found that een involved in commercial drug dealing over an finding that is not challenged. The role of the ending is also clearly important. He was not a mere to only came into poss of the drugs for a short time rd. He was, and accepted that he was, a mid-level

offence was also aggravated by the fact that it was e appellant was on bail for the first two offences, lso a drug offence ...'

e misleading to view cases primarily from the uantity of drugs involved without proper regard for ider and whether the offending was part of a course s here.'

ent case the appellant's role did not involve a onece of criminality. As the sentencing judge properly t's role was one of involvement in commercial drug ended period of time. He was a mid-level drug dealing for a profit as well as for the purpose of bit. This places into proper context the quantity of

			\$75,170.	appellant was on parole and on bail.	the drugs involved
			In the room elastic bands matched those found on the bundles of cash. Digital scales, a smoking implement and a clipseal bag containing three oxazepam tablets were also located. Also found were two further bundles of cash, in the amounts of \$1,850 and \$850, and three mobile telephones. Stipanich claimed he could not remember the PINs to the mobile telephones. Stipanich's DNA was later found on the backpack and clipseal bag. <u>IND 1878</u> While Stipanich was on bail for the offences the subject of IND 1926, a search warrant was executed at his home. Inside an exercise roller, in a box wrapped in an elastic band, were clipseal bags containing three separate quantities of methyl. DNA consistent with that of Stipanich and his partner was detected on the outside of the box. Two sets of digital scales and two mobile telephones were also found. Stipanich declined to provide the PIN numbers for the mobile phones. When the contents of one of the mobile phones was able to be downloaded it revealed he had sent and received messages consistent with the sale of methyl.	Courses undertaken while in prison; demonstrated commitment to rehabilitation.	At [51] ' the senten lenient sentence when circumstances of the of The relatively low sent that the sentencing just there be any sensible so offence was inappropri offending conduct?
20.	Humes v The State of Western	34 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 166.3 g at 80- 81% purity (trafficable quantity).	Ct 1: 6 yrs 2 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (conc).	Allowed (Quinlan J di
	Åustralia	Convicted after PG (25% discount).	Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtain property.	TES 6 yrs 2 mths imp.	Appeal concerned len
	[2023] WASCA		Humes drove his utility from Perth to		Resentenced ct 1 (20%
	110	Prior criminal history; no previous sentences of imp.	Bunbury 'to assist with a job'. He did not know precisely what the job	EFP.	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp.
	Delivered		entailed until his arrival in Bunbury.	Appellant sentenced on the basis that the	_

...,

tence for the second offence was, if anything, a nen regard is had to the amount of cash involved, the se offending and the max penalty for that offence ... sentence for that offence is accounted for by the fact judge reduced it for totality reasons. ... Nor can le suggestion that a cum sentence for the second opriate, given that it was serious independent

J dissenting).

ength of sentence ct 1.

20% discount):

	17/07/2023	Mother died when he was about 8		methyl had been in the front passenger seat	TES 5 yrs imp.
		yr old; good childhood but	At an address in Bunbury Humes met	footwell of the vehicle and he had put the	
		generally very unstable; family	Mr L. Both Humes and Mr L then got	drug in his underwear with the intention of	EFP.
		moved constantly.	into a vehicle and drove away.	returning it to Mr L.	
					At [90] it appears the
		Left school aged 17 yrs.	Sometime later the vehicle, being	The sentencing judge found the offending	to do 'a job' without l
			driven by Mr L, was stopped by police.	serious; the appellant possessed six times the	that he was paid \$1,06
		Employed various labouring jobs;	Humes was seated in the front	minimum trafficable quantity of methyl and	aware that the job enta
		not worked since 2016-2017 as a	passenger seat. The vehicle was	characterised the quantity of the drug as	J
		result of injury; started own	searched and \$15,030 in cash was	'significant'.	At [91]-[92] Exact
		business prior to his incarceration.	located.		is not known. It is agr
				The sentencing judge found the \$15,000 cash	his underwear, with th
		Married; one child; child from	Three clipseal bag were also found	located in the car an aggravating feature;	vehicle, The appell
		wife's previous relationship.	concealed in the front of Humes'	confirming the appellant was an essential part	into the community, a
		whe s previous relationship.	underwear. The three packages weighed	of a commercial drug dealing organisation.	it therefore appears
		Member of OMG since 2018.	a total of 166.3 g of methyl (ct 1).	of a commercial drug dealing organisation.	drug for a short period
		Weinber of OWIG since 2010.	a total of 100.5 g of methyl (et 1).	No demonstrated remorse; participated and	involved himself in a
		Good physical health, mixed	Humes' utility was also searched and a		scale, and did so for p
		Good physical health; mixed	5	engaged in drug counselling.	about the circumstanc
		antisocial-borderline personality	further \$1,066 in cash was located in		
		disorder; PTSD and disorders	the vehicle (ct 2).		was at the low end of
		relating to alcohol and stimulate			$A \neq [0.4] [1.0.4] Diamaa$
		use.		$\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$	At [94]-[104] Discuss
		History of illicit substance abuse.			At [108] the appell
					and involved an intent
					of the vehicle. Althou
					was significant and [h
			X) í	the day, at a low level
			C		There is nothing to inc
					he was to be involved
					of the drugs
19.	HSH v The State	50 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Poss methyl wiss 70.7 g at 77%-	Ct 2: 3 yrs 6 mths imp.	Dismissed.
	of Western		78% purity (trafficable quantity).		
	Australia	Convicted after PG (10%		EFP.	Appeal concerned len
		discount).	HSH was a passenger in a vehicle		
	[2023] WASCA	and county.	stopped by police. In the boot of the	At time of sentencing serving a TES of 2 yrs	At [92]-[99] Discussio
	113	Prior criminal history; including	vehicle a magnetic lock box was found,	2 mths imp, and eligible for release to parole,	
	115	drug offences, no previous	inside which were two clip seal bags.	in relation to two further offences on the same	At [100] The appellan
	Delivered	convictions for dealing in drugs.	The first bag contained 55.7 g of	IND, namely:	As the authorities reve
	14/07/2023	convictions for dealing in drugs.		IND, hamely.	
	14/07/2025	Deligious unbringing, sugn ortige	methyl, with a purity of 77% and the	$C_{t} 2$, D_{abs} mothed using (17.50 s)	offences of this type a
		Religious upbringing; supportive	second bag contained 15 g of methyl,	Ct 3: Poss methyl wiss (17.59 g).	appellant's involvement
		family.	with a purity of 78%.	Ct 4: Failing to obey data access order.	of imp that would ach
					efforts and motivation
		Number of intimate relationships;	In HSH's pants two Post-it Notes with a	Ct 3: 20 mths imp (cum).	there was nothing exce
		children and stepchildren.	series of names and numbers consistent	Ct 4: 6 mths imp (cum).	
		ennaren ana stepennaren.			
			with a 'tick list' were also found.		At [101] In all of the c
		Long and varied working life.		TES 5 yrs 5 mths 5 days imp.	from the sentence imp
		Long and varied working life.	At a location rented by HSH digital	TES 5 yrs 5 mths 5 days imp.	from the sentence imp Honour's consideration
				TES 5 yrs 5 mths 5 days imp. The sentencing judge found the appellant acted as a courier, delivering drugs to a	At [101] In all of the c from the sentence imp Honour's consideration contrary, in our view,

that the appellant travelled from Perth to Bunbury t knowing precisely what the job entailed. Given 066 for the job, it may be inferred that [he] was ntailed some kind of illegal conduct.

ctly how long the appellant was in poss of the drug greed that the appellant, in effect, hid the drug in the intention of giving it back to the driver of the ellant was aware that the drug would be distributed , although he was not to be a part of that process. ars that [he] was in temporary possession of the od of time. Nevertheless, as [he] acknowledges, he a commercial drug trafficking operation of some personal reward. Having regard to what is known nces, we accept the appellant's submission that he of the commercial drug trafficking operation.

ssion of comparable cases.

ellant's poss of the methyl was brief, if not fleeting, ention to, in effect, return the methyl to the driver ough it is true that the quantity of methyl involved [he] was paid for his actions, he was, at the end of rel in the commercial drug trafficking enterprise. indicate that he was the owner of the methyl or that ed or have some continuing role in the actual sale

ength of sentence.

sion of comparable cases.

ant in this case committed a serious drug offence. weal, the major sentencing considerations for a regeneral and personal deterrence. The nent in the illegal trade in methyl called for a term chieve that necessary deterrence. The appellant's on towards rehabilitation were to his credit, but acceptional about his personal circumstances.

e circumstances, ..., there is no basis to conclude nposed by the learned sentencing judge that her ion of those matters involved any error. On the v, in the absence of the matters referred to in the ant could have expected a significantly greater

	lead to morphine and then methyl addiction; abstinent from drug use while in prison.	with tick lists were found. Telephone intercept data indicated HSH's involvement in the sale of prohibited drugs, including the use of encrypted communication applications.	 purchaser for the purchase price of \$12,000; in return he was to be given an eight-ball (3.5 g) of methyl; the seriousness of the offence was found in the quantity and the purity of the methyl, being just over two and a half times the trafficable quantity. The sentencing judge found the appellant a trusted person to the dealer; he was trusted with such a quantity of methyl and with the 	sentence than he rece unreasonable.
			 proceeds of the sale; he was also in the business of commercial drug dealing himself in quantities between a half-ball and an eightball (1.75 g to 3.5 g); the courier job was a means of sourcing material for his own commercial drug dealing; his drug dealing was not only to support his own drug use but to generate income generally. Genuinely remorseful; attempts made to rehabilitate himself from drug use; insight into his drug use. 	
18.VRW v The State of Western Australia[2022] WASCA 177Delivered 30/12/2022	 33 yrs at time offending. 34 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after early PG (25% discount). No criminal history. Born outside Australia; moved to WA as an adult. Positive childhood; mother constant source of support. Consistent employment history. 	 Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 3 kg (trafficable quantity). Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtain property. VRW was the sole occupant of a vehicle stopped by police. A search of the vehicle revealed a bag containing methyl hidden in the boot. The same day a search warrant was executed at VRW's home. There, police located \$1,085 in cash. VRW admitted he had been paid the cash for transporting drugs. Also located in the home were scales, gloves, a cryovac machine and cryovac bags, which he told police were items used to package cash. On the day of the offending VRW received messages on his telephone from a person identified as 'X'. These messages referred to both 'product' and 	 Ct 1: 8 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 9 mths imp (conc). TES 8 yrs imp. EFP. Discount for cooperation on ct 1 of 1 yr 5 mths imp or about 15%. The sentencing judge found the offending the subject of ct 1 serious; it was not isolated, having regard to the appellant's admissions he had delivered drugs the previous day; the offending involved a degree of sophistication, using a CIPHR phone and code names and the offending was planned in such a way as to reduce the risk of detection. Genuinely remorseful; cooperative; low risk of reoffending. 	Dismissed. Appeal concerned ler At [31] The appellant been involved in the prohibited drug, volu c 1 purely for financi At [32] The appellant with the intention of was also prepared to and store the money the hierarchy than him. Thigh. Accordingly, th once distributed, was

ceived. The sentence was not plainly unjust or

length of sentence ct 1.

ant's offending was, ... serious. [He], having already e transportation of a significant quantity of a luntarily participated in the offending the subject of cial gain, albeit a modest one.

ant not only picked up the large quantity of methyl of delivering it to three different customers, but he o accept payment for the sale of the prohibited drug y temporarily on behalf of those higher in the drug . The amount and the purity of the drug was the potential for harm to others in the community, as great.

			locations. He was provided with detailed instructions as to how to carry out this task. VRW carried out the directions that he had been given until he was apprehended by police and before he could effect the 'drop'.		
17.	Le v The State of Western Australia [2022] WASCA 163 Delivered 08/12/2022	 41 yrs time sentencing. Convicted after PG (15% discount). Extensive criminal history. Born WA; parents refugees; two older siblings; father suffered trauma as a result of experiences in Vietnam; domestic violence; parents worked long hrs; often left to fend for himself. Sexually abused as a child. Education disrupted by frequent moves; experienced bullying; difficulties making friends; began misbehaving high school; often truanted; repeated yr 11. Commenced, but did not complete, TAFE course. Employed family business when still at school; continued to work in the business for many yrs. One child from former relationship. Long history of illicit drug use; commenced using alcohol and marijuana aged 13 yrs; methyl and ecstasy aged 19 yrs; daily user of heroin. 	 before he could effect the 'drop'. Cts 1-4: Sold methyl 3.4 g - 14.27 g at 69%-77% purity. Ct 5: Sold methyl 83.7 g at 63% purity (trafficable quantity) Ct 6: Offer to sell methyl 56 g (trafficable quantity). Ct 7: Poss methyl wiss 31.91 g (trafficable quantity). Ct 8: Poss unlawfully obtained property (\$7,580 cash). Ct 9: Poss methyl wiss 7.13 g. Le sold a quantity of methyl to an UCO in exchange for \$900. Analysis found the drug weighed 3.4 g and at 77% purity (ct 1). Two days later Le sold the UCO 3.44 g of methyl at 76% purity for \$900 (ct 2). About a fortnight later Le offered the UCO 56 g of methyl. At an arranged meeting Le said he could only supply 28 g of the drug. Lee supplied the UCO with a parcel of drugs for which he was paid \$5,500. Analysis found the methyl weighed 13.5 g and at 74% purity (ct 3). The following day Le met the UCO and supplied the UCO with a further 14.27 g of methyl at a purity of 69%. There was no payment, as this quantity was the balance for the 28 g promised the day before (ct 4). A few days later Le arranged to meet the UCO with another man. It was arranged the other man would provide the UCO with a quantity of methyl for which he paid \$16,500 cash (ct 5). 	Cts 1 & 2: 15 mths imp (conc). Cts 3 & 4: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 5: 4 yrs 6 mths imp. Ct 6: 3 yrs imp (cum). Ct 7: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 9: 20 mths imp (conc). TES 7 yrs 6 mths imp. The sentencing judge found the appellant committed the offences for financial gain; his conduct was repeated and persistent and the offences were committed within a short time after being released from prison. Remorseful; desire to overcome drug dependency; past attempts at rehabilitation unsuccessful.	Dismissed (leave refuse Appeal concerned total At [87] The total offer six separate occasions including trafficable a searched, the police well as over \$7,000 re obtained A further appellant's house was At [88] The appellant possessing drugs with released from a length months prior to the cu At [94] It has not beer limb of the totality pri that the TES failed to criminality involved in having regard to all re referrable to the appel and sentences imposed

fused).

otality principle.

fending in this case was clearly very serious. On ns the appellant either sold or offered to sell methyl e amounts on two occasions. ... When his car was ice located another trafficable amount of methyl as reasonably suspected to have been unlawfully her quantity of methyl was found when the as searched. ...

nt had numerous previous convictions for th intent to sell or supply. He had only been gthy prison sentence for similar drug offending five current offending. ...

een established that the TES ... breached the first principle. In particular, it has not been established to bear a proper relationship to the overall l in all of the offences, viewed in their entirety, relevant facts and circumstances (including those bellant personally), all relevant sentencing factors, sed in comparable cases.

	1		1	1	1
			Some days later Le offered so sell the UCO 56 g of methyl for \$11,000. This		
			offer was made via messages sent using WhatsApp (ct 6).		
			The next day, Le was apprehended. A		~
			search of his vehicle located a clipseal bag containing 75.5 g of methyl. A		
			further search of the vehicle also		
			revealed a pouch, containing about 1.75		
			g of methyl secreted behind a panel.		
			Also found was a set of digital scales	C	
			and numerous unused clipseal bags. A		
			clipseal bag containing 1.75 g of methyl and more unused clipseal bags was also		
			located in the roof lining. Two mobile		
			phones were also found. The founds		
			contained messages indicating his		
			involvement in the sale of prohibited		
			drugs (ct 7).		
			Le was searched and cash totalling		
			\$1,650 was found in one of his pockets.	cX	
			A further \$480 was found in his wallet and in the car a further \$5,450 was		
			found (ct 8).		
			The home at which Le was residing was	01	
			also searched. A clipseal bag containing		
10			7.13 g of methyl was found (ct 9).		A 11 1
16.	The State of Western Australia	Age at time of offending and sentencing not available.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 26.01 g at 35-72% purity.	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (conc).	Allowed.
	v Stocker	sentencing not available.	Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained property	Ct 3: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned er
		Convicted after early PG (cts 1 &	(\$107,270 cash).	Ct 4: 1 yrs imp (conc).	imp infringed s 88(4)
	[2022] WASCA	2 - 20% discount).	Ct 3: Poss methyl wiss 28.13 g at 81%		sentences ct 1 and 3
	178	Convicted after very early PG (cts	purity (trafficable quantity).	Individual sentences for cts 1 and 2 cum upon	
		3 & 4 - 25% discount).	Ct 4: Poss unlawfully obtained property	conc individual sentences for cts 3 and 4.	Resentenced (20% di
	Delivered	No prior criminal history	(\$10,595 cash).	TES partly supprupon conving 20 mths imp	Ct 1. 2 yrs imp (aver
	17/11/2022	No prior criminal history.	Stocker was engaged in the business of	TES partly susp; upon serving 20 mths imp balance (3 yrs 4 mths imp) susp period of 2	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (cum Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (conc
		Raised close-knit, loving and	dealing in methyl.	yrs.	Ct 2: 2 yrs hip (cone Ct 3: 3 yrs 6 mths im
		supportive family environment;			Ct 4: 12 mths imp (ce
		parents and siblings remain	A SW was executed at Stocker's home.	The sentencing judge found the offending	
		supportive.	At the time he was not at home,	'very serious'; the offending was not isolated;	TES 6 yrs 6 mths im
		Complete days 12: tag 1	although a co-accused was present.	over a period of at least six mths and, in all	EFP.
		Completed yr 12; trade	On the kitchen bench in a glove, police	likelihood, much longer the respondent was	At [188] There is
		apprenticeship.	On the kitchen bench in a glove, police found two clipseal bags and a plastic	conducting a drug-dealing business in which he was the principal and the amount of money	At [188] There is was very serious. It i
		Commenced working father's	wrapper containing quantities of	he possessed suggested the business was	extended period of ti
		business aged 25 yr; operational	methyl. In addition, two clipseal bags	'very lucrative.	in excess of \$100,000
	•			· ·	

error in sentencing (partial conc and partial susp (4) *Sentencing Act 1995*); type of individual 3 and totality principle.

discounts cts 1 & 2 and 25% discounts cts 3 & 4):

im). onc). imp (cum). (conc).

mp.

is no dispute that the respondent's overall offending It involved dealing in methyl over a relatively f time, in part, at least, for profit. ... the presence of 000 in cash, ... indicates that the respondent's drug

		 manager by aged 29 yrs; did well financially; able to build own home; made redundant 2020. Turbulent and dysfunctional relationship; until partner's tragic death 2019. Commenced another relationship; partner a methyl user. Introduced to cannabis aged 14 yrs; methyl use from aged 25 yrs; methyl use increased following partner's death; \$1,000 a day habit time offending; prior attempt made to address methyl addiction. 	 were found on the bench. Stockers DNA profile was found on the surfaces of the glove, the plastic wrapper and a clipseal bag (ct 1). Bags containing \$107,270 in cash were also found in a bedroom. Stockers DNA profile was found on a satchel in which the bulk of the cash (\$74,960) was found (ct 2). Items consistent with being engaged in the business of drug dealing, including multiple electronic scales, tick lists, clipseal bags and disposable gloves, were also found. Stocker was arrested and released on bail. Stocker was on bail when police again attended his home. He arrived when police were still present and found in poss of 25.8 g of methyl in a bumbag he was carrying. Also discovered in the bumbag were three clipseal bags containing 0.99 g, 0.18 g and 1.16 g of methyl (ct 3). A search of his bedroom located \$10,000 in cash and a further \$595 in cash in the bumbag (ct 4). Two mobile CIPHR phones were also found in the house. 	Genuinely remorseful; participated in training course and drug intervention program in custody; low risk of reoffending if drug problem addressed.	dealing derived a subs committed some five r for cts 1 and 2. At [193] Having ev and having regard to th are favourable, and the sentencing considerati primary judge to order some concurrency was principle, the orders fo resulted in an overa with the overall seriou respondent
15.	The State of Western Australia v Radford	52 yrs at time sentencing.Convicted after early PG (25% discount).	Ind 517 Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 119 kg (trafficable quantity). Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained property	<u>Ind 517</u> Ct 1: 14 yrs imp. Ct 2: 1 yr's imp (conc). Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Allowed. Appeal concerned leng (Ind 1920) and totality
	[2022] WASCA 142	Very minor criminal history.	(\$1,300 cash). Ct 3: Poss unlawfully obtained property (\$24,750 cash).	Ct 4: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Ind 1920	Resentenced:
	Delivered 15/11/2022	One of three sons to parent's union; parents; siblings and long-	Ct 4: Poss unlawfully obtained property (\$10,000 cash).	Ct 1: 12 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 8 yrs imp (conc).	<u>Ind 517</u> Ct 1: 17 yrs imp (cum)
	15/11/2022	term friend remain supportive.	Ind 1920	TES 17 yrs imp.	Ct 2: 1 yr's imp (cum) Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (conc).
				5 1	• • •
	Co-offender:	Left school yr 11.	Ct 1: Supplied methyl 14.6 kg. Ct 2: Dealt with money the proceeds of	EFP.	Ct 4: 2 yrs 6 mths imp

bstantial commercial gain. Cts 3 and 4 were e mths afters cts 1 and 2 and ... when ... on bail

evaluated the respondent's overall criminality ... o the respondent's personal circumstances, which the other mitigating factors ... and all relevant ations and principles, it was not open to the der partial concurrency of the sentences. While was required ... having regard to the totality of or partial concurrency as between ct 1 ... and ct 3 erall term of imp which was not commensurate ousness of the offences committed by the

ength of individual sentences ct 1 (Ind 517) ct 1 ity principle.

m). m). c). np (conc).

	Western Australia v Edwards	significant debts from a failed business.	Ind 1920	The sentencing judge it a very serious instance of this type of offending and the	Ct 1: 14 yrs imp (con Ct 2: 8 yrs imp (conc
	[2022] WASCA	Volunteer fire fighter prior to	Radford was involved in packing approx. 14.6 kg of methyl and approx.	offending agg by the weight and purity of the methyl; the large scale of the sophisticated,	TES 18 yrs imp.
	141	incarceration.	\$3.5 million into cardboard boxes. The boxes were sealed with tape and he	well-planned and well-resourced operation; the nature and level of the respondent's	EFP.
	Delivered 15/11/2022	Single; no children.	arranged for them to be delivered to a Mr Kreidie.	participation in the enterprise, which was an essential role in the continued operation of the	At [31] In The State
		Some issues with methyl use.	A prime mover truck towing three	criminal enterprise and that his role enabled those higher up in the drug distribution chain	concluded that the ine Edwards for selling o
			trailers and being driven by Mr Kreidie was stopped by police. The truck and	to make profits in a way that escapes detection.	manifestly inadequate that the sentence imp
			trailers were subjected to x-rays and it revealed a number of suspicious anomalies in the trailers. Among other	The sentencing judge regarded the respondent and the co-offender Edwards as equally	517] was also manife was substantially the offending and the sim
			cargo five cardboard boxes containing 14.6 kgs of methyl and large amounts of	culpable in relation to the offending the subject of ct 1 on Ind 417.	In [37] In the present
			Australian cash were located.	Very good prospects of rehabilitation.	offending of the kind maintain public confid
			Radford's DNA profile was identified on one of the carboard boxes and a bag		justice
			located inside the box.	c^{γ}	
			Ind 517 Radford met the co-accused Edwards at a truck bay. Edwards had driven a truck	0	
			into WA from NSW. Edwards unloaded boxes from his truck and passed them to		
			Radford, who loaded them into his van.		
			Later that same day police attended Radford's home address and conducted		
			a search of the van. Inside they located 11 cardboard boxes containing a total of 119.05 kg of methyl.		
			Radford admitted hiring the van and		
			putting the boxes in the van. He declined to make any comment as to		
			any knowledge of the contents of the boxes.		
		C	A search of Radford's residence located \$1,300 cash in a draw; \$24,750 in a robe and \$10,000 inside a gun safe.		
14.	The State of Western Australia	41 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Sold/supplied methyl 119 kg (trafficable quantity).	Cts 1 & 2: 14 yrs imp (conc). Ct 3: 8 yrs imp (conc).	Allowed.
	v Edwards	Convicted after early PG (25% discount).	Ct 2: Sold/supplied methyl 43 kg (trafficable quantity).	TES 14 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned len principle.
	[2022] WASCA		Ct 3: Poss unlawfully obtain property		

onc). nc).

te of Western Australia v Edwards, we have individual sentence of 14 yrs imp imposed on Mr g or supply 119 kg of methyl to the respondent was ate. The same reasoning leads us to the conclusion nposed the respondent in this matter for ct 1 on [Ind festly inadequate. The criminality of both offenders ne same, having regard to the nature of the imilar personal circumstances of the two men. ...

nt case, the sentencing outcome for very serious and in question requires correction in order to infidence in the proper administration of criminal

length of individual sentences cts 1 & 2 and totality

	141	Prior criminal history NSW and QLD.	(\$4,503,630 cash).	EFP.	Resentenced (25% dis
	Delivered 15/11/2022	 QLD. Born NSW; good childhood; one of five children; parents separated when young; mother remarried; raised by mother and stepfather; good, hardworking parents; family in NSW supportive. Completed yr 10 high school. Good work ethic; employed mostly in rural NSW and QLD; commenced working as a truck driver 2018; own business 2019. Single at time sentencing; no children. In good health; apart from suffering arthritis; very depressed by current situation. Social drinker; past cocaine use. 	Edwards drove a truck, registered in NSW, into WA. Edwards stopped in a truck bay. A van, driven by the co-offender Radford arrived and parked next to the truck. Edwards entered the rear of the truck and handed Radford multiple boxes. Radford loaded the boxes into the van and then left the area. A second van arrived, driven by the co- accused Diamantopoulos. He also parked next to the truck. Multiple boxes were loaded into this van before Diamantopoulos left the area. Edwards was arrested at the truck bay. He was holding a mobile 'Ciphr' phone, a dedicated encryption communication device, costing approx \$2,500 - \$3,000 for six months' use. A search of the rear of the truck located five individually padlocked suitcases, found to contain \$4,503,630 in Australian cash. The first van was located at Radford's home. Inside 11 boxes, containing approx. 119 kg of methyl, were located. The second van was also located and four boxes, containing approx 43 kg of methyl, was found. A total of 162.74 kg of methyl was located in the two vans, with the purity of the drug between 77% and 84%.	The sentencing judge it a very serious instance of this type of offending; the offending agg by the fact the drugs would have caused enormous harm if distributed in the community; the large scale of the sophisticated, well-planned and well- resourced operation illustrated the quantity of drugs and cash involved in the offending and the nature and level of the respondent's participating in the enterprise, which enabled those higher up in the drug distribution chain to make profits in a way that escaped detection. The sentencing judge found the respondent a very well trusted courier; and he was to be paid \$30,000 for his role in bringing the drugs into WA. Genuinely remorseful; accepting of responsibility for his offending.	Ct 1: 17 yrs imp (cond Ct 2: 15 yrs imp (cond Ct 3: 8 yrs imp (cond) TES 17 yrs imp. EFP. At [44] the very lan significant agg feature increases the potential distribution into the co demonstrated a high d knowingly involved h distribution operation. commercial gain. His and he performed the transporting the drugs operation from appreh At [45] It must also be the operation was appr syndicate At [48] Having reg respondent's role and respondent's role and respondent's commerce the mitigating factors; was not open to the se imp as commensurate 1
13.	Siskopoulos v The State of Western	42 yrs at time offending. 45 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Att poss methyl wiss (trafficable quantity).	16 yrs imp.	Dismissed.
	Australia	Conviction after late PG (17%	The co-offender Kezkiropoulos was in	EFP.	Appeal concerned part
	[2022] WASCA 138	discount).	custody, serving a sentence of imp. Siskopoulos would visit him in prison.	Co-offender Kezkiropoulos sentenced to 21 yrs imp.	At [46] Whilst all e Kezkiropoulos are rele
ļ		No criminal history.	1 1	EFP.	his sentence represents

liscount):

onc). onc). c).

large quantity of the drugs involved in this case is a ure of the offence. The quantity of drugs involved tial harm which would have resulted from their community. Those running the operation h degree of trust placed in the respondent. He himself in a large scale and well-organised drug on. [He] participated in the operation for significant tis involvement in the offending was not fleeting, he important task in the criminal enterprise of tigs into WA and shielding the organisers of the drug rehension and punishment.

be recognised that the respondent's involvement in opparently at a relatively low level in the criminal

regard to ... the quantity of drugs involved; ... the nd position in the drug operation; ... the ercial motive for involvement in the operation; ... rs; and ... all relevant sentencing principles, ... it sentencing judge to regard a sentence of 14 yrs ate with the seriousness of the offence charged in ct

arity principle.

I elements of the sentence imposed on elevant, the need to reflect principles of totality in nts an obvious reason why there is not a marked the sentence imposed on him and the sentence

	28/10/2022	 Experienced trauma throughout his life. Married 21 yrs; daughter aged 20 yrs. Unemployed; assisted wife in her business. No assets; outstanding family debt of around \$100,000. 	between the Kezkiropoulos, and Siskopoulos were covertly recorded. They revealed a plan to acquire a large quantity of methyl through an Asian syndicate. It was arranged Kezkiropoulos would arrange the transaction and Siskopoulos would deal with the methyl. He expected to sell or supply large quantities, around 1 or more kgs, to various associates. An OCO spoke with Siskopoulos and they arranged to meet at a café. During the meeting Siskopoulos confirmed an order for 20 kg of methyl and arrangements were made for delivery the following day. Siskopoulos was given a \$5 note with a serial number and told to use that as a token to validate his identity with the delivery driver. There was a delay with delivery and, during subsequent messages, Siskopoulos increased the amount of methyl ordered to 40 kg. When Siskopoulos became suspicious he stopped communicating. Siskopoulos was arrested and a search of his car revealed paperwork for the lease of a storage unit. He denied the storage unit was for storing drugs. A	The sentencing judge sentenced the appellant on the basis that he intended to gain poss of 40 kg of methyl from the UCO and that he carried out a series of acts which were more than merely preparatory, with the result that he had att to commit the substantive offence. The sentencing judge found the quantity the subject of the attempt as 'vast', reflecting a large-scale, wholesale drug operation; the appellant and Kezkiropoulos anticipated a profit in the order of between \$200,000 to \$400,000 and that they hoped it would be an ongoing, profitable operation; the appellant was an equal and active participant, undertaking significant and crucial steps in what was a joint venture and while his role was somewhat lesser, it was nevertheless significant. No genuine remorse.	imposed on the appell parity cannot overlood by totality, an issue w At [51] The sentencin appellant and Kezkiro that it was necessary to level of culpability At [52] It cannot be sad discretion, the sentence to account when addre when all consideration offenders are brought reflects a failure to pr principles required a se
12.	FZA v The State of	38 yrs at time offending.		Ct 1: 4 yrs imp (cum).	Allowed – parity prin
	Western Australia [2022] WASCA 124	39 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after early PG (25% discount).	 purity (trafficable quantity). Ct 2: Poss methyl wiss 13.46 g at 64%-71% purity. Ct 3: Poss methyl wiss 2.87 g. Ct 4: Poss unlawfully obtain property 	Ct 2: 14 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 14 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 9 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 6 mths imp (cum). TES 4 yrs 6 mths imp.	Appeal concerned err principle. Resentenced (25% dis
	Delivered 23/09/2022	Prior criminal history. Raised WA; close to parents and family.	(\$11,750 cash). A SW was executed at the house occupied by FZA and the co-offender A.	EFP. <u>Co-offender A</u> Also charged with poss of the methyl subject	Ct 1: 3 yrs 6 mths imp Ct 2: 15 mths imp (co Ct 3: 6 mths imp (cun Ct 4: 4 mths imp (con

bellant for their common offending. The question of ook that the sentence of Kezkiropoulos was affected which was not relevant to the appellant.

cing judge appropriately recognised that the iropoulos were engaged in a joint venture, albeit by to reflect the appellant's good record and lower

e said that, in the proper exercise of her sentencing encing judge failed to properly bring these matters dressing the parity principle. It cannot be said that, tions relevant o the sentences imposed on the coght to account, that the appellant's ... sentence properly apply the parity principle, or that those a shorter sentence.

rinciple.

error (discount for past cooperation) and parity

discount):

mp (cum). (conc). cum). conc).

		Completed vir 10		of at 1, the 'common offense' Contant 1 to	
		Completed yr 10.		of ct 1 - the 'common offence'. Sentenced to	
			FZA and A were in the bedroom. A	4 yrs 4 mths imp with a TES of 5 yrs 2 mths	TES 4 yrs imp.
		Good work history; employed	CCTV home security system was	imp. EFP.	
		retain sector and pharmacy	operating through a television in the		EFP.
		technical; victim of two armed	room.	The sentencing judge found the appellant's	
		robberies while working in a		involvement in the commercial distribution of	At [47] As the app
		pharmacy; engaged in sex work to	During the search a package wrapped in	methyl as mid-level.	cooperation and did n
		alleviate financial difficulties.	paper towels and electrical tape		assistance, her Honou
			containing methyl was located (ct 1).	Remorseful; insight into her drug addiction;	Sentencing Act.
		Twice married.		offending closely tied to drug dependency;	
			In a storage box eight clipseal bags	completed drug and alcohol course while in	At [73] It is clear that
		Suffers back and neck pain since	containing methyl were also found. The	custody; motivated to continue rehabilitation;	offences committed b
		motor vehicle accident.	weights of the methyl in the bags varied	reasonable risk of re-offending if addiction	the appellant and A w
			between 1.62 g and 1.72 g (ct 2).	not addressed.	common offence.
		Commenced methyl using to cope			
		with physical and emotional pain	In a draw 17 clipseal bags of methyl,		At [82] When all relev
		of sex work.	containing between 0.08 g and 0.5 g of	X Y	disparity of 8 mths im
			the drug, were also found.		insufficient to reflect
			_		which favoured the ap
			A total of \$11,750 cash was located.		
					At [87] The offences
			At various places in the bedroom items		serious The appell
			commonly associated with the sale of		commercial drug distr
			prohibited drugs, including unusual		6
			clipseal bags, several sets of electronic		
			scales, glass smoking implements and		
			handwritten 'tick lists', were also found.		
11.	Den Ridder v The	36 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Sold methyl 28 g (trafficable	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp (conc).	Dismissed (leave refu
	State of Western	39 yrs at time sentencing.	quantity).	Ct 2: 9 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia		Ct 2: Conspired to sell methyl 1.75 g.	Ct 3: 4 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal concerned len
		Convicted after PG (18%	Cts 3; 5; 6; 7; 8 & 11: Supplied methyl.	Ct 4: 3 mths imp (conc).	
	[2022] WASCA	discount).	Ct 4: Sold cannabis 28 g.	Ct 5: 18 mths imp (cum).	At [45] In the present
	113	Convicted after very late PG (cts	Cts 9 & 10: Offered to sell/supply	Ct 6: 3 yrs imp (conc).	[ct 10] of methyl, again
		3, 6 & 9) (8% discount).	methyl (trafficable quantity).	Ct 7: 2 yrs imp (conc).	in methyl who had ac
	Delivered		Ct 13: Poss unlawfully obtain property	Ct 8: 2 yrs imp (cum)	he dealt in the drug fo
	26/08/2022	Formidable criminal history; prior	(\$6,260.70 cash).	Ct 9: 4 yrs 6 mths imp.	the capacity to source
		terms of imp.		Ct 10: 5 yrs imp (cum).	offer. The seriousness
		r ·	The offending took place over a period	Ct 11: 2 yrs 3 mths imp (conc).	he was on bail at the t
		Three siblings; fairly stable	of about five wks.	Ct 13: 10 mths imp (conc).	
		upbringing; at times subjected to		L V V.	At [48] having reg
		violence and threats of violence.	All offences were committed while Den	Not genuinely remorseful; no insight into his	circumstances and the
			Ridder was on bail for firearm offences.	offending.	reasonably arguable th
		Stealing and fighting from aged		- .	or plainly unjust and
		14 yrs; involved local gangs aged	Den Ridder agreed to supply an		or praining unjust and
		15 yrs; left home due to his	associate with methyl. He met the		At [51] The quanti
		behaviour.	associate with heavy. He net the associate and supplied him with 28 g of		cts 1, 3, 6, 8, 10 and 1
			the drug for \$5,000 (ct 1).		the appellant had read
		Family supportive	$\begin{bmatrix} 110 & 0102 & 101 & 03,000 & (011). \end{bmatrix}$		sell or supply methyl
		Family supportive.	On another occasion Den Ridder		overlooked that [he] v
		Two significant relationships: two			
		Two significant relationships; two	arranged for a Mr Davidson to supply a		in respect of cts 1, 9 a

ppellant's cooperation was limited to past I not include an undertaking to give future our was not obliged to comply with s 8(5) of the

at the common offence was the most serious of the l by the appellant and A and, ... we consider that were equally involved in the commission of the

elevant facts and circumstances are evaluated, ... a imp in the TES is, in our view, markedly ct the differences between the appellant and A appellant. ...

es committed by the appellant were undoubtedly ellant was part of a reasonably sophisticated stribution operation. ...

fused).

ength of sentence ct 10 and totality principle.

nt case, the appellant offered to sell or supply 42 g gainst the background that he was a dealer access to substantial quantities of the drug and that for profit. There is no reason to doubt that he had ce the drug and that he intended to fulfil the ess of the offence is aggravated by the fact that e time of the offence.

egard to all of the relevant facts and he sentencing principles to be applied, it is not that the sentence on count 10 ... was unreasonable d was therefore manifestly excessive.

ntities of methyl involved in the commission of d 11 were reasonably significant and showed that eady access to such quantities, and that his offers to yl were serious and able to be fulfilled. It cannot be] was subject to the higher max penalty of life imp 9 and 10.

	Australia	is yis at time somenening.	Ct 9: Supplied methyl 373.6 g	Ct 9: 8 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned par
10.	Walker v The State of Western	38 yrs at time offending.40 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 6: Supplied methyl 83.3 g (trafficable quantity).	Ct 6: 5 yrs 6 mths imp (partially cum, to commence after having served 4 yrs 6 mths).	Dismissed - Buss P di
			home and \$6,260.70 in cash was located and seized (ct 13).		
			A SW was executed at Den Ridder's		
			obtained 13.7 g of the drug (ct 11).		
		S	associate attended his home and		
		_	the associate with 14 g of methyl. The		
			received another series of calls from an associate in which he agreed to supply		
			On another occasion Den Ridder		
			an associate with 42 g of methyl (ct 10).		
			of telephone calls he agreed to supply		
			associated replied, 'Yes, the usual' (ct 9). On the same day, following a series		
			one', being an ounce of methyl. The		
			via mobile telephone to an associate. Den Ridder asked if he wanted 'a big		
			On another occasion Den Ridder spoke		
			7) and the other 14 g (ct 8).	07	
			one associate with 7.12 g of the drug (ct		
			associates and agreed to provide them with quantities of methyl. He supplied		
			received a series of calls from two	c X ···	
			On further occasions Den Ridder		
			of methyl at 79% pure (ct 6).		
			with a male associate, who later attended his home and purchased 13.4 g		
			The following day Den Ridder spoke		
			and supplied an associate with 3.5 g of methyl (ct 5).	ototic Prosect	
			On another occasion Den Ridder agreed		
		his addiction.	(ct 4).		
		problematic aged 19 yrs; commenced selling drugs to fund	instead obtain an ounce of cannabis he agreed and supplied her with the drug		
		14 yrs; methyl addiction	When she asked whether she could		
		Commenced using methyl aged	offered to supply a female associate with a half-ounce of cannabis for \$150.		Ċ
		relationship.	(ct 3). Again on the same day, he		relevant facts and circ
		his incarceration; daughter and stepdaughter to current	same day he supplied an associate with 27.2 g of methyl with a purity of 81%		relationship to the ov appellant committed,
		mother's care due to neglect and	in exchange for \$600 (ct 2). On the		At [53] the TES in

imposed upon the appellant bore a proper overall criminality involved in all of the offences the d, viewed in their entirety and having regard to all ircumstances, ...

dissenting.

parity principle and length of sentence ct 9.

	[2022] WASCA 100 Delivered 08/08/2022	Convicted after PG (20% discount). Prior criminal history. Dysfunctional upbringing; marred by parents drug use and domestic violence. Married ex-wife 15 yrs; two children. Suffered depression after disintegration of his marriage. History of association with OMC; held the position of sergeant at arms.	 (trafficable quantity). An UCO communicated with the cooffender Alo using an encrypted messenger service known as Ciphr. During these communications Alo arranged to sell the UCO a quantity of methyl. Walker met with Alo and supplied him with the drug (ct 6). The UCO gave Alo \$15,000 in exchange for the methyl. The methyl was seized and later analysis showed it weighed 83.3 g (at approx 53% purity). On another date Walker and another coaccused, Robinson, met at an address. The meeting was arranged by Walker in order to facilitate the sale of 10 ounces of methyl to Alo. That same day a SW was executed at the address. Walker and Robinson were located in the house and arrested. The search located a clip seal bag containing 82.9 g of methyl (65% to 67% purity); a wrapped package containing 10 smaller clip seal bags each containing 1 ounce of methyl, weighing a total of 277 g (at between 57% and 76% purity). In Walker's car a clip seal bag containing 1.7 g of methyl (at 65% purity) was 	 TES 10 yrs imp. EFP. Co-offender Alo: Charged with seven offences on same indictment, two overlapping, albeit not identical, set of facts. TES 10 yrs imp. EFP. The sentencing judge found the appellant as equally culpable as his co-offender. The sentencing judge found the quantities of the drug to be very significant; his ability to fulfil at relatively short notice, 3 ounces and then 10 ounces of methyl, highlighted the level of his involvement in the hierarchy of the drug dealing community. The sentencing judge found the appellant had the capacity to source significant quantities of illicit drugs; he played a major role in the distribution of drugs and was high up in the chain of command in relation to the distribution of drugs within the community. Appellant undertaken all available programs; ceased all involvement with OMC gang at time sentencing. 	At [71]-[72] the indescribed as heavy and discretion could have in our view the serunreasonable or plain sentence for ct 9 was any event softened by sentence for ct 6 At [98]-[99] the la appellant and Mr Alo seriousness of ct 9 (committed by Mr Al in ct 9 compared to ct individual sentences is possession of an addidistinguishing features of the seriousnes of the sector of the
9.	Watson v The	27 yrs at time offending.	also located (ct 9). Ct 1: Supplied methyl 3.999 kg at 68-	Ct 1: 10 yrs imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refu
	State of Western Australia [2022] WASCA 80	28 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after PG (20% discount).	72% purity. Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained property (\$5,987,220 cash).	Ct 2: 3 yrs imp (cum). TES 13 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned ler At [56] The objective
	[2022] WASCA 80 Delivered 06/07/2022	Prior criminal history. Family in New Zealand; imp more difficult because of absence	Watson and others were part of a significant drug and money laundering enterprise. Watson was observed entering bushland on foot carrying a backpack. A short	EFP. The sentencing judge found the appellant willingly participated in the commission of ct 1 for commercial reward (\$1,000), this offending was not an abarration or a one offi	At [59] In our opinion with the seriousness of reasonably arguable t
		of family support. Positive character references.	on foot carrying a backpack. A short time later he left the bushland, no longer in possession of the backpack. A	offending was not an aberration or a one-off; although the reward was 'paltry' it did not excuse or reduce the seriousness of the	At [64] The objective offending on ct 2 wer

individual sentence for ct 9 may properly be and that the exercise of a sound sentencing ve led to a lighter individual sentence. Nevertheless, sentence cannot properly be characterised as inly unjust. ... to the extent that the individual as heavy (albeit not manifestly excessive), it was in by the order that it be served partly conc with the

lack of disparity in the TES imposed on each of the lo must be explained, if it can be, by the greater (committed by the appellant), compared to ct 7 Alo) ... the additional quantities of methyl included oct 7, readily justify the disparity of 1 yr imp for the as imposed in relation to those cts. ... the appellant's ditional 93.6 g of methyl was a significant ure of that offending.

fused).

ength of sentence and totality principle.

ve facts and circumstances of the appellant's ere very serious. ...

on, the sentence ... for ct 1 was commensurate s of the appellant's offending It is not e that the offence is manifestly excessive.

ve facts and circumstances of the appellant's ere very serious ...

	male person then entered the same	offending and his conduct provided protection	
No history of drug use.	bushland and returned, carrying the	to the principals of the drug dealing	At [66] In our opinion
	backpack towards a vehicle. The	enterprise.	with the seriousness of
	vehicle was searched and the backpack,		
	containing the methyl, was located.	The sentencing judge found the appellant knew the cash	At [69] The TES bears involved in both of the
	A forensic examination of the backpack	the subject of ct 2 was the proceeds of the sale	regard to all relevant fa
	provided a DNA match to Watson.	of prohibited drugs and he expected to receive a commercial benefit for his participation in	
	Watson was also involved in packaging	the commission in the offence;	
	cash. At his home, he and two co-	although not 'a decision maker' he was an	
	accused, White and O'Callaghan,	ambitious and enthusiastic supporter of the	
	vacuum sealed cash in plastic bags and	enterprise and 'more than a warehouseman'	
	packed it into six boxes, each box	and 'more than a courier'; the amount of cash	
	contained about \$1,000,000 cash.	demonstrated the vast reach and magnitude of	
		the enterprise and he was a person who	
	A SW was later executed at		
	O'Callaghan's premises and the boxes	people higher in the chain of hierarchy reposed a large degree of trust.	
	were located. The cash was seized and	reposed a large degree of trust.	
	substituted with paper. The boxes were		
	resealed and left in place. A few days		
	later O'Callaghan transported the cash		
	to White's home.	C V	
	About two wks later O'Callaghan		
	returned to White's premises, collected		
	_	R C	
	the boxes, drove them to a carpark and unloaded them into the vehicle of		
	another co-accused. This person then drove the boxes to his home. Several		
	days later he drove the boxes to a place		
	where they were transferred to a truck.		
	On the same day a warrant was		
	executed at Watson's home. A CIPHR		
	encrypted mobile phone was located,		
	along with boxes, strapping and clips		
	identical to the boxes containing the		
	cash.		
	Watson admitted the mobile phone was		
C	his, that he had attended the park and		
	dropped the backpack containing the		
	methyl and that he was paid \$1,000 to		
	supply the drug.		
	CIPHR messages downloaded from		
	Watson's phone and that of each co- accused revealed he was involved in		
	arranging the distribution, sale and		

on, the sentence ... for ct 2 was commensurate of the appellant's offending.

ars a proper relationship to the overall criminality the offences, viewed in their entirety, and having it facts and circumstances, ...

			supply of prohibited drugs.		
8.	Ta v The State of	36 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 2.875kg at 78-	Ct 1: 11 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal allowed.
	Western Australia	38 yrs at time sentencing.	81% purity.	Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	
			Ct 2: Poss methyl wiss 245 g at 79%	Ct 4: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned pari
	[2022] WASCA 49	Convicted after PG (15%	purity.		
	Delivered	discount).	Ct 4: Poss unlawfully obtained property (\$361,000 cash).	TES 13 yrs 6 mths imp.	Resentenced (15% dise
	05/05/2022	No prior criminal history.	(\$501,000 cush).	EFP.	Ct 1: 11 yrs imp (cum)
	03/03/2022	i to pilor eminina history.	Ta was a member of a syndicate		Ct 2: 5 yrs 6 mths imp
		Born Vietnam, migrated to	transporting significant quantities of	The co-offender Mr Le was sentenced to a	Ct 4: 12 mths imp (cur
		Australia 2005.	prohibited drugs from Victoria to WA.	TES of 16 yrs 6 mths imp with EFP.	
			A unit, known as the Forrest Avenue		TES 12 yrs imp.
		Educated in Vietnam to	unit, was used to store prohibited drugs	The co-offender Mr Tran was sentenced to a	EFP.
		equivalent of	and cash.	TES of 11 yrs imp with EFP.	
		yr 12.			At [65] the offendir
		5	Ta flew from Melbourne to Perth on 19	The co-offender ELA was sentenced to a TES	had, without doubt, a r
		Employed in a bakery on arrival	occasions in 2018. On each occasion	of 9 yrs 6 mths imp with EFP.	appellant.
		in Australia; ceased working after	she remained in Perth for a short period.		
		birth of second child.	She leased seven hire cars, which were	The sentencing judge found the co-offender	At [66] Although th
			driven to WA, from various companies	Mr Le central to the operation and more	including Mr Tran's ac
		Single at time sentencing; three	in Victoria.	culpable than the appellant; however the	same extent as Mr Le.
		children now cared for by an aunt;		appellant co-ordinated Mr Tran, who acted as	cts 1, 2 and 4. Howeve
		no contact with her children since	Over several days police intercepted	a courier, to distance herself from the	committed ct 3. The of
		her arrest.	telephone messages and conversations	prohibited drugs.	heroin wiss it to anothe
			between Ta and the co-offenders Mr Le,		the purity of the drug v
		Struggled emotionally as a result	Mr Tran and ELA that revealed they	The sentencing judge found Mr Le, the	
		of separation from her children.	were planning to transport a significant	appellant and Mr Tran were involved in the	At [69] In our opinion
			quantity of drugs to Perth.	offending for personal gain.	cts 1 and 2 and the TE
			Ta leased a vehicle in Melbourne and	The contensing index found the event	unwarranted leniency of
				The sentencing judge found the overall	between the appellant
			Mr Tran drove the vehicle from	offending very serious and the criminality	principle, that is unreas
			Melbourne to Perth. On the day Mr Tran's arrived in Perth Ta flew from	high. It involved the dissemination of serious quantities of high-grade drugs into the	At [71] We are satisfie
			Melbourne to Perth on a commercial	community for substantial profit and it	compared to the TES i
			airline flight. At an arranged meeting	involved sophisticated systems for the	marked and unjustifiab
			Mr Tan provided Ta with the keys to	purpose of avoiding detection.	favourable to Mr Le.
			the vehicle he had driven from		
			Melbourne.	Appellant remorseful and accepting of	
				responsibility.	
			Ta drove the vehicle to the Forrest		
			Avenue unit. On her arrival ELA		
			opened the electronic gate to the unit		
			complex. Ta alighted the vehicle and		
			walked to the passenger side while ELA		
			approached the driver's seat. At this		
			point, she and ELA were arrested by		
			police.		
			A search of the vehicle located 12		
			cryovac bags containing a total of 2.875		
L	1		eryovae bags containing a total of 2.875		1

arity principle.

iscount):

m). np (conc). cum).

ding by the appellant and Mr Le reveals that Mr Le a materially higher level of culpability than the

the appellant coordinated various activities activities, she did not coordinate matters to the e. ... Each of the appellant and Mr Le committed ever, in addition, Mr Le (but not the appellant) offence charged in ct 3 involved the poss of ther. The quantity of heroin was substantial ... and g was high ...

on, the individual sentences imposed on Mr Le for TES he received were, without doubt, lenient. That y contributed to an outcome in the relativities as at and Mr Le, for the purposes of the parity easonable or plainly unjust. ...

fied that the TES imposed on the appellant, S imposed on Mr Le, reveals that there was a table lack of disparity adverse to the appellant and

					1
			kg of methyl concealed in the centre console (ct 1).		
			A search of ELA located a satchel bag containing \$30,835 in cash, the keys to an electric gate fob for the unit and for another premises.		Ś
			A search of the Forrest Avenue unit located 245 g of methyl in a clip seal bag concealed in a wardrobe (ct 2). Also located was \$361,000 in cash concealed in the lining of three eskies (ct 4). The cash was packaged in bundles and either cryovac sealed or wrapped with glad wrap.	orosect	
			Various items connected with the sale and supply of prohibited drugs were found in the Forrest Avenue unit, including a set of digital scales with traces of white powder; large-size scales	to to t	
			with detectable traces of a white crystal substance; a cryovac machine and		
			unused cryovac rolls; a money counter; large glad wrap rolls, several tick lists		
			and a press machine and cash, used to		
7.	Curry v The State	31 yrs at time sentencing.	compress heroin into block form. Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 248 g at 74%	Ct 1: 6 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refu
	of Western		purity.	Ct 2: 8 mths imp (cum).	
	Australia	Convicted after PG (20%	Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained property		Appeal concerned plo
	[2022] WASCA 36	discount).	(\$146,225 cash).	TES 7 yrs 2 mths imp.	At [46] The appell
		Long criminal history; including	A SW was executed at an apartment and	The sentencing judge found the offending part	reasonable opportuni
	Delivered 25/03/2022	offences involving violence and	Curry was found in a bedroom of the	of a serious criminal enterprise in which the	At [40] There is a
	25/05/2022	weapons; on parole for offence of agg burg at time offending.	apartment	appellant was an essential part; he offended for commercial gain and there was a clear	At [49] There is n the 20% discount to l
			A vacuum sealed bag containing the	connection between his poss of the methyl	
		Raised by single mother; absent father; aged 12 yrs parents	methyl was also located in the bedroom.	and his poss of the \$146,225 in cash.	At [60] Bearing in m found to be a prol
		unsuccessfully attempted to	Also in the bedroom was \$146,225 in	The sentencing judge found text messages	purity of the methyl;
		revive their relationship.	cash, a box magazine, five shotgun	suggested the appellant dealt with 'lower	while on parole, [his]
		Left school aged 16 yrs;	rounds, a stun device, metal baton and identification documents in Curry's	level' dealers and users; indicating his involvement was much more than that of a	sentences available o materially higher sen
		undertook four-yr apprenticeship.	name.	courier or storekeeper, the messages helped	error
		Two significant relationships; first	The box magazine fitted a rifle seized	better identify his role and showed that his offending the subject of ct 1 was sustained	At [61] The same is t
		involved mutual substance abuse;	earlier from the apartment complex.	rather than isolated; it was significant the	2, and the TES on cts
		second partner positive and		criminal enterprise possessed firearms and	
		supportive; two young children at	Curry was involved with a group of	weapons; although the appellant was not	At [69] the appell

efused).

plea discount and totality principle.

ellant did not enter his PG to cts 1 and 2 at the first unity. ...

s no basis to suppose that the judge failed to apply o both cts 1 and 2.

mind ... the appellant's essential role in what [was] rolonged drug-dealing enterprise ...; the weight and yl; and ... the fact that [he] committed the offence his] sentence on ct 1 was well within the range of e on a proper exercise of the sentencing discretion. A sentence could have been imposed without revealing

s true, in our opinion, of the sentence imposed on ct cts 1 and 2 as a whole.

ellant was not being sentenced for offences

		time sentencing. Commenced methyl use aged 20 yrs; long-standing entrenched drug addiction at time sentencing.	people who dealt drugs and he did not possess the drugs and the cash alone.	 being sentenced for poss of weapons, they formed part of the circ of the offending. The sentencing judge found the offending aggravated by the fact the appellant committed the offences while on parole and while cts 1 and 2 were connected, their seriousness made it appropriate to impose cum sentences. Remorseful; insight into his offending; courses undertaken while in custody; steps taken to address his drug use; expressed desire to avoid reoffending and to fulfil his responsibilities as a father. 	concerning the firearr that the criminal enter those items formed pa offending. The judge characteristics of the offending formed a pa of the seriousness of t
6.	ATH v The State of Western Australia	36 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after PG.	1 x Poss methyl 977 g at 75-77% purity.ATH drove her co-offender, M, from a	7 yrs imp. EFP.	Dismissed (leave refu Appeal concerned par
	[2021] WASCA 149 Delivered 24/08/2021	 Minor criminal history. Raised stable household until aged 11 yrs; parents separated; mother's new relationship marred by domestic abuse; sexually abused by mother's new partner; relationship with mother broke down; subsequently lived with her father and then her aunt. Three children; separated from their father 5 yrs prior to sentencing; one child diagnosed ADHD, another autism; one abused by a step-brother. Father supportive; cares for her children whilst in custody. New relationship marred by domestic abuse; hospitalised on one occasion; partner arrested and threatened to kill her. 	rural location to a Perth suburb for M to take delivery of a quantity of methyl. The length of the return journey was about 1,000 km. M hid the package in the roof cavity of ATH's vehicle. The same day they made the return journey. ATH initially drove and then M took over the driving. M was driving when he was stopped by police. ATH's vehicle was confiscated because M did not have a valid MDL. The vehicle was searched and the drugs were located in the roof cavity.	 <u>Co-offender M</u> PG (20% discount) 6 yrs imp. The trial judge found the appellant's role was similar to that of a courier; she agreed to drive M to Perth in the knowledge M was intending to take poss of a significant quantity of methyl; she gave M permission to hide the methyl in the roof cavity of her vehicle; she intended to supply the drugs to M upon their return by permitting M to retrieve the drugs from her vehicle and it was a reasonable inference that she stood to gain a benefit by driving M to and from the rural location in one day. The trial judge found the facts and circumstances of the appellant's offending were less serious than those of M; she acted, in essence, under M's instructions and the extent to which she was to benefit from the offending was much less than that of M; however M, unlike the appellant, had the benefit of three important mitigating factors; an early PG; cooperation and assistance; 	At [31] The facts and and M reveal that M H appellant. However, t that [she] did not know methyl (in circumstan carries, at best, very H At [36] We are satisfi sentencing factors, in offending by the appe similarities and differ circumstances and an appellant did not in equal justice.
		receiving unemployment benefits at time offending. Suffered stress after death of her sister in MV accident.		remorse. No demonstrated remorse; genuine attempts made at rehabilitation and to abstain from illicit drugs.	

arms, ammunition and weapons. However, the fact terprise of which [he] was a part was in poss of part of the circumstances relevant to [his] ge did not err in so finding. The nature, scale and he criminal enterprise of which the appellant's part was a matter relevant to the judge's evaluation of the appellant's offences.

fused).

parity principle.

A had a higher level of culpability than the r, the appellant's role was still important. The fact now that she was transporting as much as a kg of trances where she knew the quantity was significant) y limited weight in assessing her culpability. ...

sfied, after evaluating and weighing all relevant in the context of the facts and circumstances of the pellant and M, and after taking into account the erences between their offending and their personal antecedents, that the sentence imposed on the t infringe the parity principle or the principle of

		Medicated for depression and anxiety; att suicide about two mths after offending; sought and			
		participated in counselling.			
		Commenced using methyl 2018; drug use quickly escalated; drug rehabilitation undertaken.			ns.
5.	McGrath v The State of Western Australia [2021] WASCA 118 Delivered 06/07/2021	 27 yrs at time offending. Convicted after early PG (25% discount). Criminal history; 2018 and 2020 convictions for poss methyl; no prior sentences of imp. Positive upbringing; supportive family. Family financial trauma when significant amount of money stolen from family business 2012; resulted in significant family disruption and parents' personal struggles and separation. Stressed by previous imp of twin brother. Good education; completed yr 10 high school. Good work history; completed 	 1 x Poss methyl wiss 985 g at 78% purity. McGrath agreed to assist a friend with the transportation of drugs, in exchange for an amount of methyl for his own use. McGrath was a passenger in a vehicle, being driven by his friend, when it was stopped by police. Police observed a bag in the footwell of the passenger's seat, between McGrath's feet. He was asked to step outside the vehicle so he could be searched. As he did so he picked up the bag and attempted to run. He was restrained by one of the officers. McGrath then threw the bag over the car. The bag and its contents were secured by police. Inside was a package wrapped in tape. The package contained 985 g of methyl. 	 8 yrs imp. EFP. The sentencing judge found that while the appellant did not know the amount of the drugs being transported the quantity of methyl was 'very serious' and he was to receive a commercial benefit for his role in the offending, being a quantity of the drug for his own use. The sentencing judge found the appellant was at the lowest end of the hierarchy and his role was that of someone who was involved only in the transportation of methyl. Remorseful; regretful of his conduct; insight into his offending and its effect on the community; good prospects of rehabilitation. 	 Allowed. Appeal concerned len Resentenced (25% dis 5 yrs 9 mths imp. EFP. At [55]-[56] There is properly characterised assisting the transport kg Further, [he] of the extent that he was return At [58] putting to a of the appellant's invo criminality towards the offences of this kind. both fleeting and oppo- he had any other role with anyone involved At [62] We also accertance
		apprenticeship; employed in security; drug use escalated to point no longer able to hold down a job. Commenced using drugs on moving out of home.	The methyl, as a single lot, was valued at about \$125,000, or between \$500,000 and \$800,000 if sold in individual doses.A search of McGrath's residence found nothing to indicate he was involved in the distribution or sale of methyl, other than the drugs the subject of the offending.		At [62] We also at methyl over the car taking poss of the bag undermine the opport involvement Wha and desperate att to av when the police stopp At [65] in our resp merely high, but, rath plainly unjust.
4.	Nickson v The State of Western	58 yrs at time sentencing.	Ind 2154 Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 69.5 g.	<u>Ind 2154</u> Ct 1: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refu

ength of sentence.

discount):

is no doubt that the appellant's offending is sed as serious. [He] willingly involved himself in ortation of a substantial quantity of methyl, almost 1 offended for commercial gain, in the sense and to vas to receive an unidentified quantity of methyl in

to one side the quantity of drugs involved, the extent nvolvement, and what he actually did, puts his is the lowest end of the scale of seriousness of d. The appellant's offending is fairly described as pportunistic. ... There was nothing to suggest that le in the drug dealing or had met or communicated red in the enterprise other than the driver.

accept that, in throwing the bag containing the ..., the appellant sought to prevent the police from bag. Nevertheless, in our view, that conduct does not ortunistic and fleeting character of the appellant's hat the appellant did is consistent with a panicked avoid detection of the drugs he had at his feet opped the car.

spectful view, the sentence of 8 yrs imp was not ther, is properly characterised as unreasonable or

fused).

	(Ind 2154 10% discount;	<u>Ind 990</u>	Ind 990	
20211 WASCA 40				
	Ind 990 20% discount).	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 505.59 g at 4%	Ct 1: 7 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	At [52] It was a signi
		and 77%-80%.	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc).	relation to [Ind 990]
	Extensive criminal history;	Ct 2: Poss dexampletamine wiss 2.95 g.	Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).	charged in [Ind 2154]
	previous convictions for drug	Ct 3: Poss cannabis wiss 105.5 g.	Ct 4: 12 mths imp (conc).	to the offences involv
	related offences.	Cts 4-6: Poss unlawfully obtained	Ct 5: 12 mths imp (conc).	commercially in that
		property (\$8,745 cash; jewellery and	Ct 6: 12 mths imp (conc).	drug dealing offences
	Born New Zealand; unremarkable	\$700 cash).		weapons
	childhood; came to Australia aged		TES 11 yrs imp.	
	30 yrs; close with his mother and	<u>Ind 2154</u>		At [53] we are sati
	sister; father deceased.	A SW was executed at Nickson's home.	EFP.	mark the seriousness
		A package, containing five clip seal		individual sentences
	Educated to yr 10; completed	bags, was located in a freezer. Each	The sentencing judge found it was an agg	to be served cumulati
	trade apprenticeship; employed	clipseal bag contained quantities of	factor that the offences the subject of Ind 990	separate and distinct
	consistently until 2007.	methyl, weighting a total of 69.5 g.	were committed while the appellant was on	
			bail for the offence charged in Ind 2154 and	At [55] The TES bear
	Marriage of 17 yrs ended 2006.	In Nickson's bedroom three sets of	that all the offences were committed in the	involved in all of the
		digital scales, a small quantity of	context of the appellant conducting an	regard to all relevant
		methyl, numerous clipseal bags, various	ongoing drug dealing business for	
		weapons, a mobile telephone and	commercial gain.	
		\$6,000 cash was found.		
			The sentencing judge found the appellant had	
		A further \$2,000 cash was also found in	been selling illicit drugs since 2007 to fund	
		a shed, along with a quantity of the	his personal illicit drug use; he was within the	
		cutting agent MSM.	mid to high level user/dealer range.	
		Nickson was charged and released on	Some demonstrated remorse; steps taken to	
		bail.	rehabilitate himself and drug programmes	
			undertaken while in custody.	
		<u>Ind 990</u>		
		Some mths later Nickson was inside a		
		unit when it was searched by police.		
		The property was fortified with chains		
		and pieces of property. Police were		
		forced to dismantle the barricade to gain		
		entry.		
		Inside the unit three separate quantities		
		of methyl were found in three separate		
		locations. In a cupboard in clipseal bags		
		a total of 194.9 g of methyl with a		
		purity of between 77% and 80% was		
		found. In another part of the cupboard		
		clipseal bags containing a total of 12.69		
		g of methyl with a purity of 4% was		
		found. In the shower area police also		
		located a clipseal bag containing 298 g		
		of methyl with a purity of 77% (ct 1).		

totality principle.

gnificant agg factor that the appellant's offending in 0] occurred while he was on bail for the offence 54]. Also, it was a significant agg factor in relation olving methyl that the appellant was dealing hat drug. Further, the seriousness of the appellant's ces was underscored by his poss of a variety of

satisfied that it was necessary, in order properly to ess of the appellant's overall offending, for the es for the ct on [Ind 2154] and for ct 1 on [Ind 990] latively. The offences charged in those cts involved ct offending.

ears a proper relationship to the overall criminality he offences, viewed in their entirety, and having nt circumstances, ...

			Another alineast has found in the welt		
			Another clipseal bag found in the unit contained 13 tablets, being 2.95 g of dexamphetamine (ct 2).		
			Also located were two clipseal bags containing cannabis, with a total weight of 105.5 g (ct 3).		ŝ
			In various locations within the unit a total of \$8,745 in cash was found (ct 4) and inside a safe were various items of jewellery with an estimated value of \$10,000 (ct 5).		KION.
			Data from a mobile telephone located in the unit revealed Nickson had been offered jewellery in exchange for the discharge of outstanding debts. Digital scales, numerous clipseal bags, stun guns and an electrical shotgun were also located in the unit.	tolle Prose	
			A SW was then executed at another	- 22	
			premises. A caravan, over which		
			Nickson had control, was searched and	0,	
			found to contain \$700 cash, scales and a stun gun (ct 6).	X	
3.	Trainor v The	53 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 3892.96 g at	Ct 1: 14 yrs imp (conc).	Dismissed.
	State of Western Australia	Convicted after PG (25%	74%-81% purity. Ct 2: Poss unlawfully obtained property	Ct 2: 16 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned len
	Ausiruliu	discount).	(\$16,655 cash).	TES 14 yrs imp.	Appear concerned for
	[2021] WASCA 36				At [52] While the app
		No relevant prior criminal history.	Police observed Trainor enter a home	EFP.	serious category of o
	Delivered		and a short time later leave the premises		very serious. The app
	26/02/2021	Born in UK; came to Australia	carrying a small bag.	The sentencing judge found the offending	quantity of methyl of
		aged 7 yrs.	Later that day a SW was executed at	'very serious'; the quantity and purity of the drugs involved were indicative of the	was working placed a important role in the
		Married; wife significant health	Trainor's home. During the search a bag	seriousness of the offence and the large sum	As this court observe
		problems.	matching the description of the bag he	of money in the appellant's possession	quantities of drugs fo
		1	was seen carrying from the house was	indicated those with whom he was working	not substantially less
		Consistent employment history;	located in his bedroom. The bag	placed a high level of trust in him.	drugs to dealers or th
		previously working well-paid	contained three packages of methyl		A ([20])
		position; new work significantly lower remuneration; good work	weighing 999 g, 998 g and 1 kg with a	The sentencing judge found the appellant had	At [53] We are no
		ethos; history of volunteer work.	purity between 80% - 81%.	possession of the drugs for the purpose of passing them on further down the chain of	imposed by the sente plainly unjust
		callos, history of volunteer work.	Also in Trainor's bedroom was a bag	distribution; the seriousness of the offending	Praining angust
		Experiencing financial pressures	containing a further package of methyl,	was significantly aggravated by the fact he	
		at time offending.	weighing 836 g of 81% purity, and two	was involved in the offending for commercial	
			clipseal bags. The clipseal bags	gain.	
			contained 58.4 g of 74% purity and 0.48		

ength of sentence ct 1.

appellant's offending is by no means in the most offences of this kind, his offence was undoubtedly ppellant's offence involved a very substantial of a very high level of purity. Those with whom he d a high level of trust in him. He played an he drug operation of which his offence was a part. ved in *Musulin*, those who securely store large for others play a role in the distribution networks ss important than those who actually distribute the those who sell them to the ultimate users.

not persuaded that the sentence of 14 yrs imp tencing judge in respect of ct 1 was unreasonable or

			g of methyl.	Cooperative; showed police the locations of the drugs; made admissions as to his	
			Methyl crystal residue and methyl	possession of the drugs.	
			shards weighing 0.22 g and 0.23 g,		
			along with 0.3 g of methyl, were also		
			found in a box.		
					Ś
			In a cryovac bag 0.3 g of methyl and		
			2.09 g of dimethyl sulfone (MSM) were		
			located.		
			Trainor directed police to a safe		
			containing \$15,000 in cash. He was		
			also found to have \$1,655 cash in his		
			wallet.		
			Trainor admitted the drugs belonged to		
			him and that he was going to pass them		
			on to another person.		
2.	Blasco v The State	39 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Sold/supplied methoxphenidine.	Ct 1: 12 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed.
	of Western		Cts 2-4: Offer to sell methyl 1 g; 14 g	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia	Convicted after PG (22%	& 14 g.	Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Appeal concerned tot
		discount).	Ct 5: Offer to sell cannabis $0.1-0.4$ g.	Ct 4: 3 yrs imp (conc).	challenged.
	[2021] WASCA 26	Fatancian arise sainting thistown	Ct 6: Offer to sell methyl 28 g.	Ct 5: 2 mths imp (conc).	A ([50] The sum allow
	Delivered	Extensive prior criminal history;	Ct 7: Poss methyl wiss 45.18 g at 72%- 81% purity.	Ct 6: 4 yrs imp (conc).	At [58] The appellant the course of a number
	12/02/2021	prior drug convictions.	Ct 8: Poss methoxphenidine wiss 72.9	Ct 7: 5 yrs imp (cum). Ct 8: 3 yrs imp (conc).	events but reflected th
	12/02/2021	Chaotic and dysfunctional	g.	et 8, 5 yrs mp (cone).	substantial business of
		upbringing.	5.	TES 8 yrs imp.	those drugs for the pu
		-F88-	Blasco's mobile telephone was lawfully		was nevertheless a co
		Expelled yr 9; never returned to	monitored. The offending occurred over	EFP.	
		school.	a number of months.		At [60] Given the
				Drug trafficker declaration made.	accumulation was need
		Worked short period; otherwise	Blasco telephoned a woman and offered		seriousness of the off
		no substantial employment	to supply her with an unknown quantity	The sentencing judge found the appellant was	involved
		history.	methoxphenidine in tablet form. He	involved in a very significant and substantial	
		Three adult shildrens	agreed to meet the woman to complete	ongoing drug distribution for commercial	At [65] the TES in
		Three adult children; supportive	the transaction (ct 1).	gain, in the context of an OMG; the offending was in the low to mid-level of criminality.	range of sentences cu
		current partner and mother of his fourth child born while in	During a text message conversation	was in the low to find-level of criminality.	since the passing of the or plainly unjust.
		custody.	Blasco offered an unknown male 1 g of	Appellant sought and participated in	or prainty unjust.
		Custouy.	methyl for \$400. They arranged to meet	counselling while in custody; high risk of	
		Commenced using drugs aged 15	to complete the transaction (ct 2).	reoffending.	
		yrs; methyl use from age 17 yrs;	7		
		relapsed into drug use at time	During a text message conversation		
		offending; accumulated a drug	with a woman, Blasco offered to sell		
		debt to an OMG; commenced	her 14g of methy. They arranged to		
		selling drugs in order to repay the	meet and completed the transaction (ct		
		debt.	3).		
		selling drugs in order to repay the	meet and completed the transaction (ct		

totality principle. Individual sentences not

ant committed a series of serious drug offences over aber of months. The offences were not isolated I the reality that the appellant was a participant in a s of distributing prohibited drugs. While he dealt in purpose of paying his own drug debts, that purpose commercial one.

ne ongoing nature of the appellant's conduct, some necessary in order to properly reflect the overall offending and the totality of the criminality

imposed on the appellant fell within the emerging customarily imposed for this type of offending, f the 2017 Amendment Act. It was not unreasonable

			 Through text messages Blasco offered to supply a man with a 'family pack'. A reference to four balls of methyl, each being 3.5 g. The man collected the drugs from Blasco's home (ct 4). Blasco received a test message from a woman requesting cannabis. He offered her a cone and then made arrangements for the woman to collect the drug (ct 5). During a telephone call from the same man the subject of ct 4 Blasco agreed to supply him with a 28 g of methyl for \$5,600 (ct 6). Blasco and an associate travelled to Perth to collect drugs. After meeting a male in Perth, his car was stopped by police. A search of his vehicle located a total of 45.18 g of methyl divided into clip seal bags (ct 7). 	Plote	ions
			The vehicle was seized and a further search revealed 258 tablet containing methoxphenidine, weighing 72.9 g (ct 8).	NOT Y	
1.	Cochrane v The State of Western Australia [2021] WASCA 5 Delivered 08/01/2021	 40 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after early PG (25% discount). Long criminal history; prior conviction for poss methyl wiss. Difficult childhood; subjected to physical and emotional abuse; transient lifestyle; parents entrenched in alcohol and illicit 	 1 x Poss methyl wiss 47.13 g at 71%- 79% purity. Cochrane flew from Perth to Geraldton. He was arrested in the airport terminal. When searched he reached down the front of his jeans and produced a bag, which he tried to put into his mouth. A later examination of the bag revealed it contained methyl, cannabis and dexamphetamine. 	 5 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP. Drug trafficker declaration made. The sentencing judge characterise the appellant as a courier who was to receive a relatively small portion of the drugs for personal use and who facilitated the distribution of drugs into a community already severely affected by methyl use. 	Dismissed. Appeal concerned len imp - ind did not incl trafficable quantity o At [7] in our view committing the crime quantity of methyl] . At [152]-[153] ha limited assistance fro
		substance use and violence. Supportive family and partner. Educated to yr 11. Good work history; labouring employment various industries. Number of significant relationships; 19 yr-old daughter	The methyl was separated into four clip seal bags.	Remorseful; willingness to change; efforts taken towards rehabilitation in custody.	inferred that the learn caseThe appellan sentencing considera personal deterrence. is offending which connecessary deterrence

length of sentence and error of law (max penalty life nclude the words 'and the offence involved a y of methyl').

ew, the appellant was charged and convicted of me [in circumstances which involved a trafficable] ... and so was liable to a max penalty of life imp.

having regard to the increase in the penalty and the from comparable cases, in our view it cannot be arned sentencing judge was in error in the present ant committed a serious drug offence. ... the major erations for offences of this type are general and e. Any involvement in the illegal trade in methyl, ... a calls for terms of imp that will achieve that ce.

first marriage; baby with curr partner.	ent	
Long history of substance ab cannabis aged 11 yrs; alcoho yrs; ecstasy and LSD from ag 14 yrs; regular user of methy 20 yrs.	13 ed	Ś

Amendment to s 34(1)(a) Misuse of Drugs Act (18/09/2017) Offence amended to include trafficable quantity of methylamphetamine (28 grams or more as specified in Schedule VII Item 8 of the Misuse of I Maximum penalty life imprisonment.
office of the Direction of PC.

f Drugs Act).