<u>Sexual assaults – adult victims</u>

ss 325 & 326 Criminal Code

From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: This table is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

Glossary:

These per	iods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and anoth
Glossary:	
AOBH	assault occasioning bodily harm
agg	aggravated
att	attempted
burg	burglary
circ	burglary circumstances concurrent cumulative count
con	concurrent
cum	cumulative
ct	count
dep lib	deprivation of liberty
imp	imprisonment
indec	indecent
ISO	intensive supervision order
PCJ	pervert the course of justice
PG	plead guilty
PNG	plead not guilty
sex pen	sexual penetration without consent
susp	suspended
TES	total effective sentence
TIC	time in custody

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
16.	McFarlane v The	30 yrs at time offending.	1 x Sex pen without consent	2 yrs 4 mths imp.	Appeal dismissed (leave refused).
	State of Western	42 yrs at time sentencing.			
	Australia		The appellant committed the offence	EFP.	Appeal concerned the type of sentence imposed.
		Convicted after PG (25%	whilst attending a 'buck's party at a		
	[2024] WASCA 33	discount)	residence.	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	At [44] ' his Honour was correct to conclude that the imposition of a
				had offended against a victim who was highly	term of suspended imprisonment was inappropriate in this case.'
	Delivered	Minor criminal history; traffic	At the party, both the appellant and the	vulnerable, as she was so intoxicated she	
	02/04/2024	offences and criminal damage.	victim were intoxicated. During the	could not provide consent.	At [45] 'without question, the offence committed by the appellant was
			party, the appellant spoke to and flirted	·····	serious.'
		Born in WA; positive upbringing;	with the victim. The victim did not	The offending resulted in the victim suffering	
		devoid of any trauma or abuse.	reciprocate.	from long-term distress, trauma and fear; loss	At [46] 'even in his intoxicated state, the appellant was well aware that
		devold of any fraunia of abuse.	reeprocate.	of self-confidence and self-worth; diagnosed	the victim was heavily intoxicated she had left the party and
		Expelled from school in ur 9.	Later in the evening the victim was		
		Expelled from school in yr 8;	Later in the evening, the victim was	with severe anxiety and depression; struggled	retreated into a bedroom to sleep The appellant then went looking
		completed apprenticeship in	taken by a female friend to a bedroom	to re-engage in social activities and build	for her. There was no suggestion made that he did this out of concern
		carpentry.	in the house. In her intoxicated state, the	trusting relationships.	for the victim's welfare.'
			victim laid down on the bed. The		
		Employed as building supervisor;	appellant went searching for the victim	The sentencing judge accepted that the	At [47] ' [the victim's] confusion was no source of mitigation to the
		operated own carpentry business.	and found her in the bedroom.	appellant had an honest, but unreasonable,	appellant, as he was aware of her incapacity to consent to any sexual
				belief that the victim was consenting	activity.'
		Married one year before	The appellant and the victim spoke and		
		offending; four children;	engaged in mutual kissing. The	Despite the substantial delay, the sentencing	At [49] 'in Taylor v The State of Western Australia [2019] WASCA
		separated from wife; in another	appellant removed the victim's	judge found a suspended sentence would be	217 this court observed that, generally speaking, an offender who is
		relationship at time sentencing.	underwear and penetrated her vagina	wholly inappropriate.	convicted of a sexual offence that includes, as an element, the absence
			with his tongue. At the time the victim		of consent, and who honestly but unreasonably believed that the victim
		Began drinking at 13 yrs; later	believed the appellant was another		was consenting to the act in question, will be less morally culpable
		received treatment for alcohol and	friend of hers, named Jake. The victim's		than an offender who did not honestly believe the victim was
		substance abuse.	friend turned on the lights, and the \times		consenting. However, the court in <i>Taylor</i> emphasised that the question
			victim realised the appellant was not		of whether an honest belief will be a mitigating factor and, if so, to
		Diagnosed ADHD; received	Jake. The appellant got dressed, left the		what extent, will depend upon the relevant facts and circumstances of
		treatment for depression and	room and later left the house. During		the particular case.'
		anxiety.	the initial police investigation, the		the particular case.
		anxiety.	appellant told police he did not enter		At [50] ' generally speaking, where the inability of an offender to
			any bedroom nor did he touch 'any		appreciate the nature and consequence of his actions, mistake, or
			females'. A decade later, subsequent		misjudgement arises from self-induced intoxication, the moral
			forensic testing linked the appellant to		culpability of the offender is not reduced.'
			the swabs provided by the victim.		
					At [54] 'an examination of the comparable cases reveals that, over the
					last 20 years, this court has not imposed a sentence of suspended
					imprisonment, conditional or otherwise, for an offence contrary to s
					325 of the Code.'
					At [55] 'the mitigating factors in the present case were of substantial
			<i>*</i>		weight, when viewed in combinationTogether these factors justified
					the imposition of a term of imprisonment towards the lower end of the
					range. However none of the mitigating factors were of such a
					nature as to justify a departure from the ordinary position for an
					offence of sexual penetration without consent.'
15	The State of	12 yrs at time offending	1 x Sax pan without concert	12 mthe ISO with a program condition	
15.	The State of Western Australia	43 yrs at time offending.	1 x Sex pen without consent.	12 mths ISO with a program condition.	Appeal allowed.
	Western Australia	44 yrs at time sentencing.			

v Wynne		The victim was travelling on a	The sentencing judge found that the offending	Appeal concerned leng
	Convicted after PG (20%	Transperth bus on her way to school.	was fleeting and found it hard to imagine it	
[2024] WASCA 20	discount).	The victim was aged 16 yrs at the time	could be more fleeting.	Resentenced:
		of offending. She was wearing her		
Delivered	Criminal history; mostly traffic	school uniform.	The sentencing judge found that the offending	2 yrs imp.
01/03/2024	and drug related; no previous		as on the lower end of the scale for offences	
	sexual offences; long period of no	The respondent later got onto the bus,	of the same type.	EFP.
	offending until methyl use.	and sat on the right-hand side of the		
		aisle, immediately across from the	The sentencing judge found that the offending	At [73] 'it is noteworth
	Born in Albany; Indigenous	victim. The respondent angled towards	as 'absolutely out of character' for the	during submissions and
	heritage; parents both died when	the victim and mumbled something at	respondent.	refers to the offending
	he was a young child.	her to gain her attention. The victim		area" of the anus. The
		ignored what he said. The respondent	The sentencing judge found the respondent's	unnecessary for presen
	Difficult childhood; lived with an	then screwed up a bus ticket and threw	five mths on remand was persuasive in favour	her Honour erred in the
	aunt and uncle in a regional town;	it at the victim. She again ignored the	of imposing an ISO.	repeated use of the wor
	aunt died when the respondent	respondent.		how her Honour arrive
	was 10 yrs; uncle became			disposition.'
	physically abusive; sexually	The respondent then moved to the aisle		
	abused by a cousin; left the house	seat. Soon after, the victim stood up to		At [75] 'as to the serior
	at 14 yrs to live with a sister.	disembark the bus at her usual stop. As		described as brief or flo
		she did this the respondent rose from his		important. The offence
	Attended primary school; below	seat, he then reached under the victim's		respondent to engage v
	average performance; left school	school shorts and pushed his finger		it was obvious that the
	at 14 yrs old.	towards her anus. The respondent's	XY	school uniform and tra
		finger pushed the complainant's	OY.	vulnerable.'
	Attended TAFE; completed	underwear into her anus. He then		
	courses for bricklaying;	withdrew his hand and moved to an		At [76] 'to describe the
	completed a diploma in	opposite seat. The victim disembarked		extent that there is not
	counselling.	the bus and later reported the incident to	v	There was, however, and
		the police.		respondent carried it or
	Variety of jobs; community			respondent anticipated
	development employment work;			the bus and he moved of
	youth development officer;			
	counsellor at Aboriginal healing			At [80] ' the fact that
	service; pastor; employment			victim using public tran
	ceased in connection to	C NY		deterrence.'
	breakdown of marriage.			
		sice of the		At [81] 'there is no fixe
	Homeless at time of offending;			However, as a matter of
	assaulted whilst living on the			penetration without con
	streets.			immediate sentence of
	Cannabis and alcohol use since 13			At [89] 'in our view
	yrs; methyl use from age of 38			without consent was un
	yrs.			significantly higher ser
	One significant land (
	One significant long-term			
	relationship; 21 yr old son from			
	another relationship; limited			
	contract with son.			

rthy ... that in the sentencing judge's comments and in her sentencing remarks, she repeatedly ng as "touching" and to that touching being in "the ne word "penetration" is not used. Whilst it is sent purposes to make any finding as to whether the appreciation of the nature of the offence, the word "touching" in this context may well indicate ved at the conclusion that an ISO was an available

tiousness of the offence, whether its duration is fleeting, the context in which it occurred was ace was preceded by repeated attempts by the e with the complainant...Whatever his motivation, he complainant was a school aged girl, dressed in travelling on her own. She was self-evidently

the offence as opportunistic is accurate to the othing to suggest it was premeditated or planned. , an element of calculation in the way in which the t out. It is clear form the CCTV footage that the ed that the complainant was preparing to get off ed closer to the aisle.'

that the offence occurred on a vulnerable young transport reinforced the need for general

fixed range of sentences for sexual offences. r of fact, it is unusual for an offence of sexual consent to result in anything other than an of imprisonment.'

... an ISO for the offence of sexual penetration unreasonable or plainly unjust. A different and sentence should have been imposed.'

		Did not display any symptoms of			
		depression, anxiety or stress.			
14	The State of	39 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Sex pen without consent.	Ct 1: 14 mths (cum)	Appeal allowed.
	Western Australia		Ct 2: Indec assault.	Ct 2: 6 mths (conc)	
	v Pereira	Convicted at trial.	Ct 3: Sex pen without consent.	Ct 3: 14 mths (cum)	Appeal concerned leng
			Ct 4: Indec assault.	Ct 4: 6 mths (conc)	first limb of totality pri
	[2023] WASCA	No criminal history.			inst hint of totality pr
	162	i to eminina mistory.	Just after midnight, two women (the	TES: 2 yrs 4 mths imp.	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp
	102	Born in East Timor; moved to	victims) were walking together on their	126. 2 yrs + mus mp.	Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cond
	Delivered	Portugal and eventually Australia;	way to meet a friend. The respondent	EFP.	Ct 2: 0 mins mp (cond Ct 3: 3 yrs (reduced to
	15/11/2023	move was initially difficult;	had been following them in his car,		Ct 4: 6 mths (conc)
	13/11/2023	generally had a positive	tracking their movements.	Sentencing judge found the offending was	Ct = 0 multis (conc)
			tracking then movements.		TES. A ura imp
		upbringing.	The man and ant nonload his can and often	premeditated: the offender had followed and	TES: 4 yrs imp.
			The respondent parked his car, and after	observed both woman for a period of time	
		Completed yr 12; had been	waiting in the shadows of a side street,	leading up to the offending. The offending	EFP.
		continuously employed as an	ran up and grabbed both women from	occurred almost simultaneously.	
		adult.	behind.		At [45] 'it is recognise
				The offender had made admissions in his	is because offences of
		Lost his FIFO employment, likely	When the respondent grabbed the	record of interview, and formal admissions at	of circumstances it
		as a result of the publicity of the	women, he penetrated both women's	trial — reducing the length of the trial.	hierarchy of sexual off
		charges.	vaginas through their clothes using his		
			fingers, and simultaneously touched	Sentencing judge found the respondent as	At [50] 'in considering
		In a long-term relationship with	their bottoms or anuses.	genuinely remorseful.	against an approach th
		his partner for seven yrs;			penetration offences'.
		relationship was reasonably		Offending had left the women anxious,	
		strong; partner remained in		traumatised, fearful and withdrawn.	At [51] 'there are also
		Sydney.	X		the commission of the
				One victim said she felt violated; had been	Rayapen, Musgrave, a
		No diagnosed mental or physical		unable to eat or sleep properly; struggled to	of the respective offen
		health issues; had occasionally		be intimate with her partner and to show	this case the responder
		used illicit drugs.		affection; struggled to concentrate at work;	who were in a public p
		-		and did not feel safe in public places.	period of time and the
		Several character references from	s ce of the L	and the not reef sale in public places.	Nevertheless both t
		the respondent's family, friends		The other victim spoke about becoming	the aggregate sentence
		and former collections, include		extremely scared; anxious, stressed and	
		and former colleagues; references	C NY		less than the respective
		suggested the respondent was		feeling violated; her academic performance	Rayapen, Musgrave, a
		kind, supportive of others, and a		had deteriorated and was unable to qualify for	
		trustworthy person.		her honours program; had experienced	At [53] 'the fact that the
				feelings of shame, guilt and self-blame.	view, does not reduce
					respondent's actions w
				Sentencing judge erroneously stated the	serious physical violat
				maximum penalty of sex pen without consent	
				as 10 years' imp; respondent's counsel	At [56] 'on occasions,
				corrected the judge after the sentence was	offending was towards
				imposed; his Honour corrected himself but	it may be accepted that
				stated it would not increase the sentence	were, as a matter of fac
				because the offending was 'unique factually'.	seriousness of the offe
					At [56] 'the responden

ength of sentenced imposed for cts (1) and (3) and principle.

onc) to 12 mths for totality served cum)

ised that there is no tariff for sexual offences. This of that nature are committed in a very wide range it is also important to observe that there is no offending.'

ng [comparable cases] care must be taken to guard that assume the existence of a hierarchy of sexual '.

so obvious differences in both the circumstances of ne offences that were the subject of the appeal in r, and *Vartolo*, and in the personal circumstances enders. An important difference is the fact that in lent used violence to offend against two women c place at night, after stalking them in his car for a nen lying in wait for them in a dark side street. In the individual sentences for counts 1 and 3, and ce, imposed on the respondent were substantially ive sentences that were ultimately imposed in r, and *Vartolo*.'

the penetrations occurred through clothing, in our the seriousness of the respondent's conduct. The were forceful, demeaning, and amounted to a ation of two separate women.'

is, "unique" appeared to be used to suggest that the rds the lower end of the scale of seriousness. While hat the offences committed by the respondent fact, unusual, we do not think that this reduces the fending.

ent's conduct was shocking, humiliating, and it

					has had a profound im
					At [67] 'the aggregate respondent offended a
13.	Moore v The State of Western Australia [2023] WASCA 156 Delivered 06/11/2023	Convicted after trial. No physical or mental health issues.	 66 x Sex pen without consent. 10 x Indec assault. 7 x Sexual coercion. 2 x Agg indec assault. 1 x Agg sexual coercion. 1 x Agg sex pen without consent. The appellant committed 87 sex offences against 13 women, over a 12 year period. In all but 13 of the offences, the appellant drugged the victims with an unknown substance in order to offend against them. The offences included multiple acts of sexual penetration without consent, the use of bondage, domination, urination, acts intended to demean the complainants and bestiality. The appellant had photographed or videoed the victims whilst the sexual acts were occurring, and retained those images, which were subsequently seized by police. 	 TES: 30 yrs imp. EFP. The sentencing judge found the offending was in a 'truly exceptional category', falling within the worst category for totality purposes. The sentencing judge found the appellant derived ongoing sexual gratification from watching the extreme violence he had inflicted on his victims. The sentencing judge had explicit regard to the totality principle: only six of the 87 individual sentences were accumulated to arrive at the TES. The offender had made no steps towards rehabilitation at the time of sentencing. At [78] 'no summary of [the victim impact] statements can possibly convey the profound, devastating and enduring effect that the offending has had upon the victims.' 	Appeal dismissed (lea Sentence appeal conce At [88] ' although t significant allowances sentences and making Cumulative sentences victims. From this per could have been further reflection of the overa At [89] 'the second lin expense of the first. A proportionate to the ov Moreover, the second rule. If a sentence is com- permit a reduction in t At [91] 'there is no rea his sentence is comple sentence in this case is At [94] ' it cannot b offending over a 12-ye undetected for many y drugs that he used on
			the of the r		At [96] ' the number number of victims and continued places this to seriousness. Indeed, the that the appellant has released at an age whe prison.'
12.	The State of Western Australia v LSM	27 yrs at time offending.28 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Dep lib. Ct 2: Threat to kill. Cts 3-5: Agg sex pen without consent.	Ct 1: 9 mths imp (cum) Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 18 mths imp (conc).	Allowed. Appeal concerned len
	[2023] WASCA 132	Convicted after late PG (25% discount).	Ct 6: Att PCJ. LSM subjected his wife, F, to a	Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (conc). Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (conc). Ct 6: 4 yrs imp (cum).	Resentence (15% disc

impact on his two victims'.

te sentence must reflect the fact that the l against two women.'

eave granted).

cerned second limb of totality principle.

a the total sentence is long, it incorporated very es for totality. These included reducing individual ng the majority of the sentences wholly concurrent. es were imposed in respect of only five of the 13 erspective it is difficult to see how the sentence ther reduced without failing to be an adequate rall criminality.'

limb of the totality principle does not operate at the A total effective sentence must still be overall criminality of the appellant's offending. ad limb of the totality principle is not an absolute crushing in the relevant sense, that outcome may an the total sentence, but it does not require one.'

reason to believe that the appellant will die before blete. For that reason, it could be argued that the e is not crushing in the relevant sense.'

t be ignored that the appellant continued his -year period and much of that offending was / years because of the effects of the stupefying n the victims. He enjoyed underserved liberty and any complaint that any otherwise appropriate me much of his remaining life deserves little

ber of offences, the nature of the offences, the ind the length of time over which the offending is total offending into a category of extraordinary the offending in this case was of such seriousness is forfeited any right to expect that he will be here he could enjoy any significant life after

ength of sentence.

scount cts 1, 2, 3, 4 5 & 7 and 20% discount ct 6):

Delivered	No prior criminal history.	prolonged episode of physical and	Ct 7: 9 mths imp (cum).	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (cum)
Delivered		sexual violence.		Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (conc).
01/09/2023	Eldest of two children; parents		TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.	Ct 3: 5 yrs imp (conc).
	separated when young; four half-	Whilst out celebrating F's birthday		Ct 4: 6 yrs imp (cum).
	siblings; close and supportive	LSM became jealous and accused F of	EFP.	Ct 5: 6 yrs imp (conc).
	family.	being unfaithful. On leaving to go home		Ct 6: 5 yrs 9 mths imp
		they argued, so F said she would order	The sentencing judge found the respondent's	Ct 7: 18 mths imp (cum
	Dyslexic; struggled at school;	an Uber. At this point LSM grabbed the	offending 'incredibly serious'; the dep lib	
	completed yr 11 and trade	back of her neck and forced her to walk	'involved significant levels of control',	TES 9 yrs 6 mths imp.
	apprenticeship.	to their car. He then drove dangerously	including forcing F into the car and driving in	
		at speed and repeatedly told her he was	a manner that caused 'very real danger'; the	EFP.
	Hard working; consistent	going to crash the car with her in it.	offending took place over a period of about	
	employment history; own	When F attempted to get out of the car	two hrs.	At [4] 'it is clear that the
	business.	several times, LSM prevented her from		was a grotesque form o
		doing so by grabbing her arm or hair	The sentencing judge found the sex offending	calculated to demean hi
	Good physical health; history of	and pulling her back into the car. She	occurred in the context that the respondent	was callously indifferen
	alcohol and illicit drug use;	repeatedly asked SLM to pull over or	had already put F in danger; in circumstances	stop'
	struggled with alcohol and methyl	slow down, but he continued to drive	where she was entitled to look to him for	
	use aged 19 – 25 yrs; relapsed	dangerously.	protection, as her husband; he was physically	At [24]-[27] ' there v
	into methyl use; coming down		much bigger than F, who was not able to	offending, each of which
	from methyl and significantly	On two occasions SLM stopped the car.	resist him and the offending took place in the	had the underlying f
	intoxicated with alcohol at time of	F was able to get out of the vehicle and	family home, where she was entitled to feel	control by the responde
	offending.	call triple zero. However, on both	safe.	
		occasions he forced her back into the		At [59] 'another very se
		car. F put her mobile phone under her	The sentencing judge found the respondent	was the nature and qual
		seat, with the triple zero operator still	continued his violent behaviour towards F,	and sexual violence car
		on the line. The recording captured	who was calling out in pain and distress; the	psychological injury an
		parts of the offending the subject of cts	telephone calls constituting the att to PCJ,	violence is a major con
		3 - 6.	demonstrated the exercise of coercion over	offending included beh
			her; the whole of the offending has to be seen	and control F. Denunci
		Over the course of about 2 hrs SLM	in the context of the family relationship.	personal and general de
		deprived F of her liberty, during which		considerations.'
		time he also committed cts 2-6.	Respondent remorseful; offending out of	
			character.	At [71] 'a very serious
		On arriving home SLM pushed F into		and 7 (which also perm
		the house, stripped her naked and		pattern of abuse that ch
		forcefully penetrated her vagina with		cts manifested behavior
		his fingers. This incident was captured		intimidate, coerce and
		by the triple zero recording and F could		
		be heard pleading with SLM to stop and		At [127] 'because the r
		his reply, 'I'll rape you if I want'.		and ct 7 at the first reas
	C	instepry, i intape you if I want.		the statutory power to r
		SIM than forced E to perform fallatio		• -
		SLM then forced F to perform fellatio,		have imposed for these
		causing her to choke. He forced his		in doing so In respe
		penis into her mouth a second time,		grounds 2 and 3, would
		squeezing her throat with his hands		resentence the responde
		while she did so, causing her to choke		
		and experience difficulty breathing. The		At [147] ' while the
		triple zero recording captured this		to be ignored, they could
		incident.		serious nature of the res

n) c). c). n). c). np (conc). cum).

t the respondent's sexual violence against his wife n of 'punishment' ... His sexual offences were n his wife and assert his dominance over her. He erent to her cries of pain and her pleas for him to

re were, in essence, three distinct categories of which was inherently serious. All of the offences, ag feature that they all involved the coercive indent of his wife ...'

y serious feature of the respondent's offending ... uality of the violence he inflicted on F. Domestic can involve physical injury, sexual assault, and emotional trauma. Domestic and sexual concern in Australia. ... The respondent's behaviour that was calculated to intimidate, coerce nciation of the respondent's criminal conduct and I deterrence were important sentencing

us feature of the respondent's offending on cts 1, 2 ermeated his offending on cts 3, 4, 5 and 6) was the characterise his interaction with F \dots All of those viour by the respondent that was calculated to ad control F.'

the respondent did not enter his PG on counts 1-5easonable opportunity, her Honour did not have to reduce the head sentences she would otherwise ese offences by 25%.... her Honour erred in law spect of cts 1, 5 and ct 7, this error, regardless of ould have enlivened this court's power to ndent.'

he respondent's personal circumstances were not ould not, when weighed against the 'incredibly respondent's offending, give rise to what, on any

			SLM then had sexual intercourse with F. This was again heard on the triple zero recording in which F is heard crying, exclaiming in pain, and repeatedly begging him to stop. A short time later F was able to run partially clothed from the house. SLM was arrested and was remanded in custody.		analysis, were unduly unduly lenient TES.'
			While in custody SLM's telephone calls were monitored and on a number of occasions, during conversations with F, he sought to suborn her into dropping the charges bought against him.	Prosect	
11.	The State of	24 yrs at time offending.	Ct 2: Agg indec assault.	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	Allowed.
	Western Australia	26 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 4: Sex pen without consent.	Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc).	
	v Rayapen				Appeal concerned ler
		Convicted on late PG (in full	The victim, aged 21 yrs, was	TES 2 yrs imp, susp 2 yrs.	remorse and plea disc
	[2023] WASCA 55	satisfaction of the ind) (15%	celebrating the end of exams on	The conton size index found (the inherent	Decenter and (100/ 1
	Delivered	discount).	Rottnest Island. During the afternoon	The sentencing judge found 'the inherent	Resentenced (10% dis
	12/04//2023	No criminal history.	the victim, along with a male friend,	exercise of mercy' in combination with other	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (co
	12/04//2023	ino ciminar mstory.	socialised at a nearby unit. Later, Rayapen also arrived at the unit.	factors, concluded that it was not appropriate to impose an immediate term of imp.	Ct 2: 12 mins mp (cc Ct 4: 3 yrs 3 mths imp
		Born Italy; moved to UK aged six		to impose an initieurate term of imp.	$\begin{bmatrix} C_1 + . J \\ y_{15} \end{bmatrix}$ multipline
		yrs; moved to Australia with	The victim and Rayapen did not know	The sentencing judge found that while there	TES 3 yrs 3 mths imp
		family aged 17 yrs; raised loving	each other. They interacted with each	was a degree of persistence in the offending,	
		and caring family; not subjected	other during the evening.	it was opportunistic and overall it lacked any	EFP.
		to any severe physical		real premeditation; the widespread	
		punishment, trauma, abuse or	In the early hrs of the morning the	mainstream and social media reporting had no	At [164] we have a
		adversity during childhood.	victim returned to her unit with her	doubt been a source of humiliation to	in concluding that Mr
			male friend. Rayapen tagged along with	Rayapen and he had lost the ability to practice	'highest end or remor
		Positive and supportive	them and was told he could stay the	law in WA, or anywhere in the	
		references; offending inconsistent	night.	Commonwealth.	At [171]-[172] we
		and out of character.			head sentence was su
			The victim got into bed, which was	Significant steps taken towards rehabilitation;	sentencing judge]
		Time of offending studying law at	made up of two beds pushed together.	attending alcohol counselling.	at the earliest reasona
		university; moved to Melbourne	Rayapen lay in the bed next to her. On		PG at the latest availa
		to complete his studies.	the other side of the bed was the	Low risk of reoffending; deeply and	
		In a relationship at time	victim's male friend.	genuinely remorseful; deep sense he had	At [186] the State
		In a relationship at time	During the night Devenor squeezed the	brought dishonour to his family; attempt at self-harm.	a matter relevant to th
		sentencing.	During the night Rayapen squeezed the victim's breasts, causing her pain and	5011-1121111.	At [228] The sentenci
		No history of illicit drug use;	bruising, and penetrated her vagina with		exceptional circumsta
		commenced drinking alcohol aged	his fingers. She physically resisted him		his Honour was wi
		18 yrs; variable drinking pattern,	and curled herself up into a foetal		relevant sentencing fa
			1		-
		during university would get drunk	position. Six times she told him 'no'.		sentence other than in

ly lenient individual sentences for cts 3 - 6 and an

ength of sentence and error in sentencing (degree of scount).

liscount):

conc). np (conc).

ıp.

e concluded that the learned sentencing judge erred Ir Rayapen had 'deep and genuine remorse' at the prse'....

we are satisfied that the discount of 15% from the such that we should infer error on the part of the . Mr Rayapen did not PG, or indicate he would PG, nable opportunity. On the contrary, ... Mr Rayapen lable opportunity.

e case is properly characterised as strong. That was the discount to be given for Mr Rayapen's PG.

cing judge was wrong to conclude that there were tances capable of justifying the exercise of mercy wrong to conclude that, having regard to all factors, there was a proper basis for imposing a immediate imp.

		antidepressant medication since	on his throat with her hand.		At [240] The sente
		offending.			was not commensurat
			The next day the victim confronted		
			Rayapen and he told her he was sorry		At [241] the TES d
			for what had happened.		criminality involved in
			Some days later the victim made a		At [243] As to the obj
			pretext call to Rayapen and he made		the present case, while
			some admissions of wrongdoing.		nevertheless a serious
				,	position, affected by a
					Mr Rayapen began the
					pen, Mr Rayapen had
					consent, with sufficient
					attempts to prevent th
					saying 'no', left no an
					Notwithstanding those
					the offence of unlawfu
10.	The State of	35 yrs at time first offending.	5 x Sen pen without consent.	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (conc).	Allowed.
	Western Australia	44 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Indec assault.	Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (conc).	
	v Buscunan			Ct 3: 18 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned sen
	Cabrera	Convicted after trial.	The offending occurred when the	Ct 6: 2 yrs imp (cum).	assault); length of indi
			victims visited Buscunan Cabrera in his	Ct 8: 9 mths imp (conc).	principle.
	[2023] WASCA 34	No prior criminal history.	capacity as a practitioner of natural	Ct 9: 2 yrs imp (cum).	
			medicine.		Resentenced:
	Delivered	Born Chile, moved to Australia		TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.	
	21/02//2023	with family in 1983.	The offending extended over a period of		Ct 1: 3 yrs 9 mths imp
			about five-yrs on five separate	EFP.	Cts 2 & 6: 3 yrs 9 mth
		Completed yr 12; Bachelor of	occasions.		Ct 3: 3 yrs 3 mths imp
		Iridology and Advanced Diploma		The trial judge found the respondent's	Ct 8: 9 mths imp (cun
		in Natural Medicine.	<u>Ct 1</u>	offending very serious; it was opportunistic	Ct 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp
			The victim, AL, was aged 18 or 19 yrs.	and carried out for sexual gratification over a	
		Employed father's naturopath	In the company of her boyfriend AL	considerable, lengthy period of time; the	TES 7 yrs 3 mths imp
		business; eventually took over	consulted Buscunan Cabrera, who	victims were vulnerable and the offending	
		business with his brother.	performed iridology on her. He told her	aggravated by his position of trust, which he	EFP.
			she had thrush. She was then told to	ultimately breached by conducting	
		Married 10 yrs; two children.	remove her clothes and to lay down on	examinations that were not medically	At [57] it is appare
			the examination table. She was	warranted.	the subject of ct 3 (wh
		Good physical and mental health.	uncomfortable but did as instructed. He		penetrations the subject
			then touched her clitoris. He repeatedly	No findings of remorse; acceptance of	pen of the vaginal can
		No issues with drugs and alcohol.	told her that she had thrush. AL told	responsibility or demonstrated insight into his	subject of ct 1.
			him that she knew what thrush felt like	offending; low risk of re-offending if	
			and she did not have it.	employed different role and not as a	At [81] In the present
				naturopath.	respondent's offendin
			$\frac{Ct 2}{TT}$		serious. The responde
			The victim, NL, was aged 31 yrs. She	The trial judge found the only appropriate	complainants and he b
			consulted Buscunan Cabrera for	sentencing disposition was a term of imp.	the respondent as a pr
			shoulder and knee pain. During the		The complainants suff
			examination he asked her to remove her		vulnerable. The impac
			pants. She did so, keeping her		complainants was sign
1			underwear on. He then manipulated her		trust in medical profes

tence [for the offence of sex pen without consent] ate with the seriousness of the offence, ...

did not bear a proper relationship to the overall in all of the offences. ...

bjective seriousness of the offence, the offence in ile not in the most serious category, was us case of its kind. The victim was in a vulnerable v alcohol and, at least on the verge of sleep, when the offending conduct. Prior to the offence of sex ad persistently touched the victim without her tent force to cause her bruising. Her repeated that conduct, by physical resistant Mr Rayapen and ambiguity as to her wish to be left alone. See attempts, Mr Rayapen persisted, escalating to vful sex pen.

entenced on mistaken basis (ct 3 offence of indec dividual sentences cts 1, 2, 3, 6 & 9 and totality

np (cum). ths imp (conc). np (conc). im). np (conc).

ıp.

rent from his Honour's findings of fact that the pen while very serious) was less invasive than the ject of cts 2, 6 and 9 (all of which involved digital anal) and slightly less invasive than the pen the

nt case, the facts and circumstances of the ing in relation to cts 1, 2, 3, 6 and 9 were very dent was in a position of trust in relation to the e breached that trust. The complainants regarded professional healer and they put their faith in him. Iffered from a variety of ailments and were act of the respondent's offending upon the gnificant. His offending adversely affected their ressionals. The relevant examinations carried out

		knee. After performing iridology on NL he told her she might have thrush and that he had to check her vagina. NL agreed because she felt desperate about her pain and thought it somehow might help. During the examination he inserted a finger into her vagina, then informed her he had found inflammation. <u>Ct 3</u> The victim, FJ, was aged 33 yrs. She visited Buscunan Cabrera for recurring thrush. After performing iridology on FJ he told her he needed to know what he was dealing with and asked her to remove her lower clothing. She complied. He used his fingers to press her clitoris and down around her labia for about one minute. <u>Ct 6</u> The victim, TC, was aged 29 yrs. She consulted Buscunan Cabrera as she suffered from migraines and had coeliac disease. After he performed iridology on her the conversation turned to sexual intercourse. TC was taken aback. She said intercourse was fine but sometimes painful. He said there could be ulcers on her vaginal walls and asked to examine her. During the examination he circled the entrance to her vaginal canal with his finger, then inserted two fingers about 3 cm into her vagina. <u>Cts 8 and 9</u> CM was aged 26 yrs. She had lupus, which caused her fatigue, join pain and rashes so she consulted Buscunan Cabrera. During the consultation he performed iridology on her. Following a discussion of her symptoms he asked to look at her joints and chest. She removed her top and bra. She was not given anything to cover herself. He examined her breasts by touching them (ct 8). Buscunan Cabrera then spoke to CM		by the respondent were sexual gratification. The the complainant the sul- in the consulting room At [85] each individe 1, 2, 3, 6 and 9 was not offence the length of plainly unjust. At [87] Each individual substantially less than the exercise of the sentence At [93] the TES criminality involved in regard to all relevant far sentencing factors The sentencing factors The sentencing factors The sentencing factors is a sentencing factor in the sentencing factor is sentencing factor in the sentencing factor is sentencing factor in the sentencing factor is sentencin
--	--	--	--	---

ere not medically warranted. His motivation was The offending was brazen, especially in relation to subject of ct 1 ... whose boyfriend at the time was on when the offending occurred. ...

vidual sentence imposed on the respondent for cts not commensurate with the seriousness of the h of each individual sentence was unreasonable or

lual sentence for cts 1, 2, 3, 6 and 9 was in the sentence open to his Honour on a proper ncing discretion. ...

.. did not bear a proper relationship to the overall in all of the offences, viewed together, and having t facts and circumstances and all relevant .. The TES was unreasonable or plainly unjust.

			about vaginal discharged and asked to check her for it. CM agreed. During the examination he used a torch and inserted a finger into her vagina and moved it around (ct 9).		
9.	Mehta v The State of Western Australia [2023] WASCA 24 Delivered 08/02//2023	Mehta 28 yrs at time offending.Convicted after trial.No prior criminal history.Born and educated in India; arrived Australia aged 19 yrs; father deceased; financially responsible for his mother in India.Positive and supportive character references.Studies in engineering and business management.Employed in restaurants; purchased own pizza shop; worked very hard in the business; in business with co-offender Sachdeva at time offending.Stable relationship; intends to get married.Suffers depression and anxiety.Sachdeva 28 yrs at time offending.	 inserted a finger into her vagina and moved it around (ct 9). 1 x Sex pen without consent. The victim was aged 47 yrs. Mehta and Sachdeva owned a café style restaurant. The victim's daughter worked as a waitress at the restaurant. One evening after she had finished her shift, she and the victim dined at the restaurant. During the meal the victim drank about three glasses of wine. After the meal the victim and her daughter were joined by both Mehta and Sachdeva. They both provided the victim with more alcohol. She became increasingly drunk, causing her daughter to become concerned and upset. She wanted to take the victim home, but Mehta and Sachdeva encouraged the victim to stay. Sachdeva escorted the victim's daughter outside, following which the front door was locked. The victim remained inside the restaurant, she recalled she started getting hazy and the next thing she remembered was waking up in hospital. 	Mehta 7 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP. Sachdeva 7 yrs imp. EFP. The trial judge found, while not the worst example of its kind, the offending was extremely serious. The trial judge found Mehta the instigator of the offending, while Sachdeva aided him; both appellants came to an agreement that sexual activity would take place; the offending 'was not a spur of the moment decision'; the victim so obviously intoxicated she was not capable of freely and voluntarily consenting; both relied on her intoxicated state to commit the offence; Mehta's offending was more serious than Sachdeva's, including he was the instigator, persistent and ultimately did have sex with the victim without any thought or care for her health or welfare. Offending long-lasting and devasting effect on victim. No expressions of any real remorse by the	Dismissed. <u>Mehta</u> Appeal concerned error more onerous) and lend <u>Sachdeva</u> Appeal concerned leng At [168] There was not Mr Mehta's time in pri- he tendered multiple of (in Perth) who support At [189] To briefly refi- was planned and premi- agreement that they we position. He had contri- the victim with alcohor under a duty to care for was isolated and resistive including my moving committed his offence Mr Sachdeva, adding this with complete disting humanity At [192] In our view, was unjust or plainly under At [196] Mr Sachdeva's
		Convicted after trial Born and educated in India; impoverished upbringing; physically abused; arrived Australia aged 18 yrs. Educated in India; diploma in welfare; support worker in mental health field six yrs; at same time in business with co-offender Mehta; unemployed since	She had no memory of any sexual activity. Much of what occurred was seen on CCTV footage tendered at the trial.	appellants.	offence was to serve h active participation in At [201] While we are Mr Sachdeva was high At [208] In our vie impose sentences with

rror of law (failing to consider time in custody ength of sentence.

ength of sentence and parity principle.

no basis for the learned trial judge to conclude that prison would be more onerous ... On the contrary, e character references from his partner and friends ported him.

reiterate that seriousness: Mr Mehta's offending mediated. ... he and Mr Sachdeva came to an would take advantage of the victim's vulnerable atributed to that vulnerable position by providing hol, in his own business premises, where he was for his customers, not to prey on them. The victim isted his advances on a number of occasions, g away from him and saying 'no'. Mr Mehta ce with the assistance of, and in the presence of, g to the victim's vulnerability. Mr Mehta did all of isregard of the victim's autonomy and her

y, it cannot be said that Mr Mehta's sentence ... *y* unreasonable.

ndeva's conduct was not merely to assist Mr Mehta hta's sexual gratification at the expense of the a's participation in Mr Mehta's commission of the his own sexual gratification, as reflected in his n sexual activity with the victim.

re prepared to accept that the sentence imposed on gh, it was not plainly unjust or unreasonable. ...

iew, it was open to the learned trial judge to th the degree of disparity that her Honour did.

		offending.			
		No long-term intimate relationships.			
		History of depression and anxiety.			Ċ
8.	The State of	47 yrs at time offending.	1 x sex pen without consent.	2 yrs 6 mths imp.	Allowed.
	Western Australia v HNU	48 yrs at time sentencing.	The victim is the sister of HNU's de	EFP.	Appeal concerned len
	[2023] WASCA 6	Convicted after early PG (22.5% discount).	facto partner.	The sentencing judge found the offence a	Resentenced (22.5% d
	Delivered 05/01//2023	Prior criminal history.	The victim and HNU were drinking with family and friends. During the evening the victim left and walked to	very serious one; the respondent breached the trust the victim had in him because she was his sister-in-law and knew her well; the	3 yrs 4 mths imp.
	05/01//2025	Yindjibarndi man; spent entire life in regional town where born.	another house and went to sleep. In the morning she was alone in the house	victim was vulnerable as she was alone in the house and asleep when he arrived; he used	EFP.
		Seven siblings; difficult early life;	when HNU walked in through an unlocked door. She told him to leave.	physical force on the victim and there was persistence in what he did.	At [84] The victim family and the offendi
		parents drank heavily; violence common; witnessed domestic	After using the toilet, the victim walked	Traumatic effect on victim; suffers anxiety	fact that the responder victim three yrs earlier
		violence.	into the laundry. HNU also entered the laundry and closed the door behind him.	and sleep problems for which she continues to see a counsellor.	victim made it plain fr unwelcome and there
		Educated to yr 9; TAFE studies.	The victim told him not to be silly. HNU told the victim he wanted to have	Very remorseful; accepting of responsibility	belief to the contrary. in the face of the victi
		Employed various labouring roles; heavy machinery operator.	sex with her. She told him, 'No'.	and consequences of his offending.	restrain the victim and victim was vulnerable
		One long-term relationship; raised	HNU grabbed the victim by the arm and told her he would tell her sister that they		alone in the house and caused the victim to fe
		partner's two young nieces since	had had sex before. When she shouted		impact upon her.
		babies; partner remains supportive.	for help, he put his hands on her mouth and told her nobody could hear her. She managed to open the door and run into		At [87] In our view, plainly unjust. It did n
		Commenced drinking alcohol aged 14-15 yrs; soon drinking	another room.		offence
		weekly basis; continues to drink	HNU grabbed the victim, pushed her		
		heavily; acknowledges alcohol	onto a couch, took off his shorts and,		
		addiction.	while holding her throat with two hands, pushed his penis into her mouth.		
			HNU held the victim's neck and forced		
			his penis into her mouth again, demanding oral sex. He then pushed his		
			penis inside her mouth about three		
			times while she was being held down.		
			The victim shouted that she needed		
			water and couldn't breathe. HNU got up and went to the kitchen and the victim		
			took the opportunity to run from the		

ength of sentence.

discount):

m and the appellant were members of the same ading involved a significant breach of trust. The lent had had a prior consensual encounter with the ier provided no justification for his offending. The from the outset that his demands for sex were re was no suggestion that he had any reasonable y. The offending involved significant persistence ctim's resistance. The respondent used violence to nd to force her to comply with his demands. The ble as she had been drinking the night before, was nd had just been roused from sleep. The offence fear for her life and has had a significant

... the sentence of ... imp was unreasonable or I not adequately reflect the very serious circ of the

-				-	
			house to a neighbouring home.		
			The victim then got into her car and		
			drove to her partner. She told him what		
			had happened. They drove to the police		
			station, but she left without speaking to		
			police. Later that day police spoke to		Ċ.
			the victim.		
7.	The State of	Tumata	Tumata	Tumata	Allowed.
	Western Australia	$\overline{24}$ yrs at time offending.	$\overline{8 \text{ x Agg}}$ sex pen without consent.	$\overline{\text{TES } 14}$ yrs imp.	
	v Tumata	28 yrs at time sentencing.	3 x Agg indec assault.		Appeal concerned tot
			1 x Demanding property with oral	Sheppard	challenged).
	[2022] WASCA	Convicted after PG (cts 1, 6, 34	threats.	TES 13 yrs 6 mths imp.	
	161	and 35) (10% discount).	10 x AOBH.		Resentenced:
		Convicted after trial (cts 2-5; 7-	8 x Act with intent to harm.	Woods	
	Delivered	22; 25; 28; 29; 31; 32; 36-38	2 x Threats to harm.	TES 12 yrs imp.	<u>Tumata</u>
	06/12/2022				TES 17 yrs imp.
		Lengthy criminal history.	Sheppard	The sentencing judge found Tumata and	EFP.
			8 x Agg sex pen without consent.	Sheppard the ringleaders and that Woods'	
		Parents separated when aged 4	3 x Agg indec assault.	acted 'more as a follower' and he was overall	<u>Sheppard</u>
		yrs; raised by mother; sent to live	1 x Demanding property with oral	less culpable than Tumata and Sheppard;	TES 16 yrs 6 mths in
		with a relative in NZ aged 12 yrs	threats.	after the initial extortion the three	EFP.
		due to his behaviour; returned to	11 x AOBH.	respondents, sometimes as a pair or	
		live with his father, now	7 x Acts with intent to harm.	individually, engaged in a concerted,	Woods
		estranged.	1 x Threat to harm.	persistent and ongoing course of conduct	TES 14 yrs 6 mths in
				against M over an extended period; they	EFP.
		Limited literacy and numeracy	Woods	subjected M to increasingly violent physical	
		skills.	8 x Agg sex pen without consent.	and sexual attacks to enforce their demand for	At [113] The offendin
			1 x Agg indec assault.	money; Tumata and Sheppard were	sadistic, malicious, h
		No history of paid employment;	1 x Demanding property with oral	physically powerful men, M, helpless and	concert, deliberately
		other than labouring work about	threats.	defenceless and extremely frightened and	Together, the respond
		aged 17 yrs.	4 x AOBH.	scared of the three respondents who terrorised	caused him significar The respondents' acts
		Commenced cannabis and alcohol	4 x Acts with intent to harm. 1 x Threat to harm.	him; the attacks designed to intimidate and frighten; they attacked M's personal dignity	deliberate callousness
			1 x Threat to harm.	frighten; they attacked M's personal dignity and caused him to suffer significant	denderate canousness
		use aged 12 yrs; regular user of methyl and alcohol excessively.	The victim, M, was aged 22 yrs. He was	embarrassment; the sexual offences designed	At [114] An especial
		methyl and aconor excessivery.	remanded in custody and had never	to cower, humiliate and demean for the	committed in a prisor
		Sheppard	been to prison before.	purpose of forcing him to pay money when	particularly those wh
		23 yrs at time offending.	been to prison before.	there was no legitimate basis for the demand;	incarcerated before, r
		27 yrs at time sentencing.	Tumata, Sheppard and Woods, who	the respondents' domination and control over	intimidation of more
		2, jis at this solutioning.	were also prisoners, entered M's cell,	M extended to his communications with his	respondents [The
		Convicted after PG (ts 1, 4, 6, 7,	alleging he was an informant. Sheppard	family and the attacks generally occurred	to the respondents, w
		16 and 35) (10% discount).	told M he had to pay a fine, to increase	inside a prison cell away from the sight of	
		Convicted after trial (cts 2; 3; 5;	each wk until it was paid. If the fine	prison guards and other prisoners, with one of	
		8-15; 17-22; 25; 28; 29; 32; 34;	was not paid M was told he would be	the respondents acting as a lookout.	At [118] the eight
		36; 38 and 39.	killed.		criminality. The resp
				No demonstrated insight into the	offences over three se
		Lengthy criminal history.	After this incident, over a period of 18	consequences of their offending; no exhibited	either as a principal of
			days and on an almost daily basis,	remorse, apart from the PGs entered by	company and was des
		Positive, stable and prosocial	Tumata, Sheppard and Woods subjected	Tumata and Sheppard.	humiliate M as well a
L		rositive, stable and prosocial	rumata, Snepparu and woods subjected	rumata and Sheppard.	nummate ivi as we

otality principle (individual sentences not

mp.

mp.

ding was aptly characterised by the State ... as humiliating and intimidating. The respondents, in y preyed upon a highly vulnerable victim. ... ndents waged a campaign of terror upon M, which eant physical injury and broke him psychologically. cts were merciless. They involved a level of ess, cruelty and depravity seldom seen by this court.

ally serious feature of the offending was that it was on by inmates upon another inmate. ... Prisoners, who, like M, are young, alone and have never been , may be highly vulnerable to the threats and re experienced prisoners such as, in this case, the ne victim's] vulnerability would have been apparent who immediately proceeded to take advantage of it.

ht offences of agg sex pen involved a high level of spondents together committed each of these separate and distinct incidents on different days, l or an aider. ... Each offence was committed in lesigned to, and did in fact, terrify, degrade and l as cause him physical and psychological harm. ...

		 upbringing until the deaths of his mother and grandmother aged 15-16 yrs; struggled to deal with the grief; became homeless and associated with negative family members. Completed yr 10; no real work history. Methyl use from aged 15-16 yrs. Woods 26 yrs at time offending. 30 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after trial (cts 1; 2; 4; 5; 7-14; 18-22; 28 and 29. Significant prior criminal history. Parents separated aged 2 yrs; lived with mother and siblings; positive home life; eventually lived with father, exposing him to domestic violence and substance abuse. At time sentencing father and four brothers serving terms of imp. Left school during yr 10; never had paid employment. Long-term relationship; two children. Introduced to methyl by his 	M to violence and brutality of the most extreme kind. This included beating, kicking and indecently assaulting him, choking him to the point he lost consciousness, burning him with boiling water and repeatedly sexually penetrating him with their bodies, a broom handle and a pencil. Tumata, Sheppard and Woods also threatened to rape his partner.	Offending profound effect on the victim.	At [120] The seriousne consent was heightened ongoing extortion of N together, substantially
6.	Long v The State	father.19 yrs at time offending.	1 x Sex pen without consent.	4 yrs imp.	Dismissed (leave refus
	of Western Australia	22 yrs at time sentencing.	The victim was aged 21 yrs.	EFP.	Appeal concerned leng
		No prior criminal history.			
	[2022] WASCA 101	Convicted after trial.	The victim, Long, Mr G and Ms M were at a house. They had all consumed	The trial judge found, although not planned, the offending was serious; the appellant	At [39] In our opinion offending were ver
			a considerable quantity of alcohol. In	restrained the victim; she had already rejected	an absence of consent
	Delivered 08/08/2022	Very strong family support; positive contributions to local community.	the afternoon they all engaged in a water fight, after which they showered together.	his physical advances a number of times; he continued when told to stop and she showed signs of distress; he continued when Ms M entered the room and told him to get off the	consent to penile/vagin refusal of consent whi physically restrained th intercourse with her de

sness of the offences of agg sex pen without ened because they occurred in the context of the f M, ... All of these offences, when considered ly increased each respondent's overall criminality,

fused).

ength of sentence.

ion, the facts and circumstances of the appellant's very serious. The offending did not merely involve ent by the complainant. She expressly refused aginal penetration. She expressly reiterated her while the offending was happening. The appellant d the complainant to enable him to have sexual r despite her protestations. The complainant's

		Completed yr 12 high school. Employed shearing industry; strong work ethic. Good physical health.	 While in the shower Long att to touch the victim's buttocks and breasts and att to kiss her. She rejected his advances. During the evening they all danced with each other. Long again att to touch the victim's buttocks and breasts. She again rejected his advances. Later the victim, Mr G and Ms M were in bed. Long entered the room and also got into the bed. When Mr G and Ms M left the room Long began to touch the victim sexually. Long penetrated the victim's vagina with his fingers. Immediately afterwards she told him she was not consenting to any further physical activity. Long responded by grabbing her wrists and putting them above her head. He then removed her shorts. Pushing her underwear to the side he sex pen her vagina with his penis. The victim told Long to get off her and began to cry. She attempted to get out of the bed and leave the room but he prevented her from doing so. Ms M entered the room. On seeing Long and the victim engaging in sexual intercourse she asked the victim if this was what she wanted. She replied, 'No, get him off me'. Ms M could see the victim when he refused to do so. Ms M and the victim then left the room. The following day the victim complained to her mother. Long sent her a message of apology. 	victim and, despite his level of intoxication, he must have been aware she was not consenting. Psychological and emotional impact of offending on victim likely to be continuing. Remorseful; good prospects of rehabilitation and low risk of reoffending.	distress was obvious. and he prevented her The appellant ignored complainant. The app complainant. At [40] The appellant offending, but it is not At [43] In our opinion commensurate with the
5.	Harris v The State	22 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp (conc).	Dismissed (leave refu
	of Western Australia	26 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after trial; on pre-	Ct 2: Agg sex pen without consent. In the early hrs of the morning Harris	Ct 2: 16 yrs imp (conc). TES 16 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned len
	[2022] WASCA 84	sentence order at time offending.	unlocked a security screen and gained	125 10 Jio mp.	At [39] We do not ac
		8	entry to a house, occupied by L, and his	EFP.	offence and the circuit

is. The appellant refused to get off the complainant er from getting out of the bed and leaving the room. red Ms M when she told the appellant to get off the ppellant only desisted when Ms pushed him off the

ant's intoxication is, in part, an explanation for his not, to any extent, an excuse.

ion, the sentence of 4 yrs' immediate imp was in the seriousness of the offence. ...

efused).

length of sentence ct 2.

accept the submission that, when the nature of the cumstances of the appellant are considered, ct 2 was

	Delivered	Lengthy criminal history.	partner, E.		a case in the least seri
	15/07/2022	Aboriginal; traumatic childhood; dysfunctional upbringing;	L was asleep, naked, on the couch. E was asleep in a bedroom.	The trial judge found the offending spontaneous or opportunistic behaviour that took place over a short period of time.	At [40] Adding to vulnerability of L, wh
		profound childhood deprivation; born while mother incarcerated; father frequently in prison; raised by grandmother and sister; exposed to alcohol abuse and family violence.	Harris knelt next to the couch on which L was sleeping. He took L's penis and performed fellatio on him. L presumed it was his partner.	The trial judge found the offending as 'towards the lower end of the scale for agg sex pen without consent', but not at the lowest level having regard to the agg factors.	the act of penetration to be fleeting and resu humiliation for L. The apprehension, struck l injury. Compared to c circumstances of the o
		Death of grandmother aged 13 yrs had significant impact on him; time in care of DCP. Left home aged 18 yrs; resided with cousin who took own life; blamed for death.	When L opened his eyes and saw Harris he punched him in the face. Harris said sorry, then ran for the door. L wrestled with Harris and tried to detain him. Harris picked up a torch and struck L in the head, causing a small laceration which bled. After a short scuffle Harris left the premises.	Genuinely remorseful; high risk of future sex reoffending.	the lowest end of the At [42] In our opi sentence of 16 yrs' im
		Attended school to yr 10; some further education and training.	Harris returned a few minutes later and requested the return of his thongs.		
		Never employed. Good physical health; experienced depression, suicidal thoughts; acts of self-harm.	At the time of the offending Harris was under the influence of alcohol, drugs and solvents.	S P V	
		History of alcohol and illicit drug use; escalated following cousin's death.			
4.	Panomarenko v The State of	42 yrs at time offending.	1 x Sex pen without consent.	2 yrs 6 mths imp.	Dismissed (leave refu
	Western Australia [2022] WASCA 71	Convicted after PG (20% discount).	The victim was aged 50 yrs. She met Panomarenko on an online dating application. She would regularly stay at	EFP. The sentencing judge rejected submissions	Appeal concerned typ offending for sexual g
	Delivered	Very minor criminal history.	his home.	the appellant had honestly believed the victim had consented to the sexual activity.	At [50] It was open to that the appellant vide
	23/06/2022	Little contact with biological father; close relationship with step-father.	The victim, who had consumed drugs earlier in the evening, was asleep. Panomarenko lay on the bed beside her and began masturbating. He then	The sentencing judge found the seriousness of the appellant's offending was agg by his conduct in video recording his actions without	dominant purpose of s At [53] In any event, error, we are satisfied
		Educated to yr 11; completed trade apprenticeship.	positioned his penis near her head and inserted his penis into her mouth.	the victim's knowledge and consent, actions which were inherently demeaning and degrading; the victim felt humiliated and	arguable that the error imposed The alleg
		Gainfully employed; good work history; strong work ethic; running own business at time offending and sentencing.	The victim woke up, startled, disorientated and confused. Panomarenko comforted her and she fell asleep again. He continued	embarrassed; he recorded the offending for his own sexual gratification. Offending serious emotional and	At [60] In our opinior appellant is broadly co offending against s 32
		strending and sentenening.	masturbating and ejaculated over her	psychological consequences for the victim.	similarities and differ

erious category.

to the seriousness of the offending was the who was naked and asleep in his own home. While on was relatively brief in time, it could not be said esulted in L ejaculating. The offence caused The appellant, in an attempt to thwart his k L in the head with the ... torch causing a minor o other offences of its type, the objective facts and e offending could not reasonably be said to be at he scale of seriousness.

pinion it is not reasonably arguable that the imp was manifestly excessive.

fused).

ype of sentence and error in finding (recording of l gratification)

to her Honour to infer beyond reasonable doubt, ... deo recorded the offending for, at least, the f sexual gratification ...

t, even if her Honour's finding was attended by ed that, in all the circumstances, it is not reasonably ror was capable of affecting the actual sentence leged error was not 'material' in the relevant sense.

ion, the sentence of ... imp imposed on the consistent with previous sentencing decisions for 326(1) of the Code (having regard to the erences between the offenders and the offending),

	Married; relationship ended 2013; current partner supportive. Problems with obesity, low confidence and poor self-esteem. History of illicit drug use; particularly cannabis and methyl; other illicit drugs occasionally.	 back. Panomarenko video recorded, without the victim's knowledge and consent, this incident. The victim became increasingly suspicious of Panomarenko. When she examined the contents of his computer hard drives she found 41 recordings which captured sexual activity between them. She had not known these recordings had been made. 	Remorseful; below average risk for future sexual offending.	including those decise At [61] we are satt sentencing judge to c conditionally suspend type of individual ser unreasonable or plain
3. Suleman v The State of Western Australia [2022] WASCA 19 Delivered 18/02/2022	 26 yrs at time offending. 28 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after trial. No prior criminal history. Born Pakistan; good upbringing; 10 brothers and sisters; parents alive and living in Pakistan; supportive family. Liable for deportation on completion of sentence under current migration regime. Arrived Australia 2013; completed English language course; certificate and diploma in work health and safety. Married five yrs; 15-mth old daughter; care of 5-yr-old daughter from previous relationship with medical issues requiring ongoing treatment. Consistent employment history; various roles. No mental health or substance abuse issues. 	 3 x Sex pen without consent (digital). The victim, N, was aged 18 yrs. She was employed to promote and sell a mobile payment system. Suleman was employed by the same company to drive young women, including N, around. On the day of the offending Suleman drove N to various locations and, over the course of a few hrs, she sold some of the systems. At one point, Suleman collected a key to a vacant unit and, after buying N lunch, he drove her to the unit for a lunch break. At the unit Suleman sat next to N. Feeling uncomfortable she tried to move away. He persisted in leaning on her and she began to feel scared. He squeezed her thigh, undid the buttons and zip on her pants and placed his hand down her pants, underneath her underwear and rubbed her vaginal area. N told him 'Don't'. Suleman took his hand away, before digitally penetrated her vagina (ct 1). N told Suleman to stop and att to wriggle away, but he put his hand under her stomach. He put his hand down her pants and rubbed her vagina (ct 2). N again told him 'Don't'. 	Ct 1: 2 yrs 5 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 2 yrs 3 mths imp (cum). Ct 3: 2 yrs 7 mths imp (cum). TES 4 yrs 10 mths imp. EFP. The trial judge found the offending serious; it was persistent and involved three separate acts of sex pen; N repeatedly asked the appellant to stop; he was physically much larger than N and he used a degree of physical force to overcome her resistance when he committed ct 3. The trial judge noted the age disparity between the appellant and N; he was employed to drive N and he was her only means of transport. Significant adverse impact on victim. No demonstrated remorse; no insight into his offending behaviour.	Dismissed – leave ref Appeal concerned tot challenged). At [31] The offend At [32] We do not to his wife and childr appellant's daughters care. However, the de exceptional. At [33] the TES sentences customarily

tisions cited by counsel for the appellant.

atisfied that it was reasonably open for the o conclude that it was inappropriate to suspend or end (wholly or partly) the sentence of imp. ... The sentence imposed on the appellant was not ainly unjust. ...

refused.

totality principle (individual sentences not

ending involved a high degree of criminality....

ot doubt that his incarceration will cause hardship dren. His wife will, herself, have to care for the ers. The appellant's 5-yr-old child requires medical degree of hardship to the appellant's family is not

... was broadly consistent with the range of ily imposed.

			a finger or fingers inside her vagina (ct		
			3). During this conduct, which lasted		
			for less than a minute, N told him to		
			stop. He eventually did so. After briefly		
			leaving the room Suleman returned and		
			att to grab N's legs, but she pulled		
			herself into a protective ball.		Ċ
			1		
			They returned to the vehicle and		
			eventually to the depot at the end of N's		
			shift.		
2.	Musgrave v The	23 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Indec assault.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed.
	State of Western	25 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Sex pen without consent (digital).	Ct 2: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia				Appeal concerned er
		Convicted after trial.	The victim, S, was a young female	TES 3 yrs 6 mths imp.	and length of sentence
	[2021] WASCA 67		backpacker from Europe. On her arrival	TES 5 915 0 muis mip.	and longin of sentence
		Prior juvenile and adult criminal	in Perth she obtained work at a country	EFP.	At [3]-[6] Ground 1 c
	Delivered	history.	tavern owned by Musgrave's parents.		pen without consent
	23/04/2021	mstory.	She was provided with a room,	The trial judge characterised the sexual	[him] inserting his fir
	23/04/2021	Youngest of three siblings; home	containing two beds, attached to the	penetration as no less serious by the fact that	serious' by the fact th
		environment free from substance		-	
			tavern.	it was a digital penetration than it would have	it been a penile pen. I
		abuse and violence; experienced	On New Year's Eve S completed her	been had it been a penile penetration.	penile-vaginal sex pe
		some difficulties growing up;	On New Year's Eve S completed her		criminal conduct 7
		overweight; father a strict	shift and joined patrons and Musgrave's	The trial judge found the appellant's	law. It is incoherent.
		disciplinarian with high	family in the celebrations. During the	offending aggravated by his persistence; the	no hierarchy of sex p
		expectations; sexually abused by	evening she sat at a table and spoke	victim's vulnerability and defencelessness	sex pen must be deter
		two ministers of religion aged 14	with Musgrave, his mother and other	and the power imbalance, in that she was a	
		yrs.	people. However, S did not know	foreigner who had recently arrived in	At [186]-[187] the
			Musgrave's name and at no time did she	Australia, she had limited English skills and	appellant's offending
		Left school aged 14; bullied; often	talk solely with him.	she was employed by his parents.	that it was a digital pe
		retaliated resulting in his			penile penetration' in
		expulsion.	At about 4.00am S went to her room	Offending very significant and continuing	that should be impose
			and went to sleep in her bed. Sometime	impact on victim.	penetration should no
		Commenced TAFE pre-	later Musgrave went to her room		have been imposed if
		apprenticeship; did not complete	without invitation. He knocked	No victim empathy or demonstrated remorse;	Honour's view was n
		the course.	persistently on the door until she	continued to deny the offences; little	
			answered. He said something which she	understanding of appropriate conduct towards	At [205] The appe
		Some short term relationships; no	did not understand before asking S for a	women; elevated risk of reoffending if	complainant's vagina
		established long term	hug. She told him, 'no'. S then made it	treatment needs not addressed.	[her] despite [her] hav
		relationships.	clear she was not interested in him and		him. Later, when the
		_	that she wanted to sleep on her own. He		, forcefully and une
		Short periods of work various	then asked if he could sleep in her bed,		contact with him. The
		roles; employment terminated	to which she responded 'no'.		having in substance e
		primarily because of alcohol and	<i>y</i>		while she was sleepin
		drug misuse; unemployed two yrs	As he was the son of her employer S did		some premeditation.
		prior to sentencing.	not consider herself to be in any danger		shown by permitting
		1	from Musgrave, and appreciating he		
		Good physical health; history of	was drunk and would be unable to drive		At [283] Nothing in t
		hospital admissions for drug	a motor vehicle, she offered him the		Criminal Code sugge
		induced psychosis; periods of	other bed in her room. He agreed.		more serious than and
L		maacea psychosis, periods of	outer bed in her room. He agreed.		more serious than and

error in characterisation of the seriousness of ct 2 nce of ct 2.

challenges the ... remark that the offence of sex
t committed by the appellant, which consisted of
fingers into the complainant's vagina, was 'no less
that it was digital pen than it would have been had
Underlying that challenge is the proposition that
pen without consent is inherently more serious
That proposition is not only wrong, as a matter of
t... this Court has repeatedly confirmed, there is
pen. The seriousness of every offence of unlawful
termined by its own individual circumstances. ...

he statement by the sentencing judge ... that the ng in relation to ct 2 was 'no less serious by the fact penetration than it would have been had it been a indicated that, in her Honour's view, the sentence osed on the appellant for ct 2 involving digital not be materially less than the sentence that would if the ct had involved penile penetration. ... her not erroneous.

pellant did not simply digitally penetrate the na without her consent. [He] sexually penetrated naving made plain ... that she was not interested in he appellant was getting into her bed [she] reiterated unequivocally, that she did not want any physical the appellant ignored [her] wishes and, despite her expressly refused consent, sexually penetrated her bing. [His] offending was persistent and involved a. He breached the trust which the complainant had g him to sleep separately from her but in her room.

the definition in s 319(1) or in s 325 of the gests that any particular form of sex pen is, of itself, nother. ... That is not to suggest, ... that all

		depression and suicidal ideation. History of cannabis and alcohol use; later amphetamines and other drugs, including LSD; intravenous methyl use aged 14-15 yrs.	As S was falling asleep she realised Musgrave was getting into her bed. She screamed and told him to leave her alone. She then got out of her bed and into the other bed. Sometime later Musgrave offered to get out of her bed. S agreed and she returned to her own bed and went back to sleep. Later S woke up to find Musgrove in her bed. Her clothing was pulled down. He was touching her breasts and penetrating her vagina with his fingers. Shocked, S tried to push Musgrove away. She immediately got out of bed and left the room crying.	Riosect	offences of sex pen w seriousness of a partic to all of the circumsta At [322] The offen end of the spectrum o this case involved a v
			A short time later S returned to her room, locked the door, showered and prepared to leave. S then left the tavern and hitchhiked to a regional urban area. She reported the matter to the police that same evening.	e Pulolite	
1.	Alizada v The State of Western	45-46 yrs at time offending.	1 x Sex pen without consent.	5 yrs 8 mths imp.	Dismissed.
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	The victim, aged 18 yrs, was in hospital being treated for mental health issues.	EFP.	Appeal concerned len premediated and his '
	[2021] WASCA 18	Prior criminal history; conviction of AOBH on his (then) wife.	She had a mild intellectual disability. A friend, S, invited her to spend the day	The trial judge found the appellant penetrated a vulnerable young woman, while she was	women).
	Delivered 05/02/2021	 Born Afghanistan; difficult life in that country; endured war; came to Australia as a refugee. Granted Australian citizenship. Divorced; six children aged 11 to 24 yrs; continues to support his family. Very good work history; worked very hard to improve his position in life. No alcohol or drug issues. 	 with her in the community and the hospital granted her permission to do so. The victim and S were collected by a friend of S's. Later, Alizada agreed they could come to his factory unit to socialise. Alizada had not previously met S or the victim. At the factory Alizada gave the victim four cans of premixed Jack Daniels. The victim quickly drank the cans. She vomited. Feeling unwell the victim went and laid down on the back seat of Alizada's 	 asleep and unconscious and obviously intoxicated; the offending was agg by the victim's vulnerability; their substantial age difference and that he plied her with alcohol in the hope that she might become disinhibited. Victim suffered significant ongoing emotional trauma; agg the trauma she was already suffering; attempted suicide. Appellant not remorseful and no insight into his offending. 	At [54] We are satisfi premediated in that th appellant made a delif whom he knew to be At [63] We are satisfi appellant's offending appellant had a seriou appears to think that h woman who is asleep At [76] the facts an appellant were very so At [77] We consider t seriousness of the offer relevant facts and circ
			vehicle. She quickly fell asleep, as a result of her intoxication and the medication she had taken.		that the length of the

without consent will be equally serious. Rather, the rticular offence will fall to be assessed by reference stances of the case, ...

fending in ct 2 was clearly not at the most serious n of offending conduct of this kind. Nevertheless, ... a very serious instance of sex pen without consent.

ength of sentence and errors of finding (offending 'serious attitudinal problem' in relation to

sfied that, although the offending was not the offending was not a planned event, the eliberate decision to exploit an 18 yr old woman be vulnerable.

sfied that the facts and circumstances of the ng against the complainant do indicate that the ous attitudinal problem with women in that he it he is entitled to have sexual intercourse with a ep or unconscious.

and circumstances of the offence committed by the viscous. ...

r that the sentence ... was commensurate with the ffence. ... We are satisfied, having regard to all ircumstances and all relevant sentencing factors ... e sentence was not unreasonable or plainly unjust.

	While the victim was unconscious in his vehicle Alizada removed her pants and had sexual intercourse with her. After having sex with her he left her undressed in the back of his car.		Ċ
	The victim eventually woke up. She put on her clothes and went inside the factory unit where she told S she though she had been raped.		1012
	The victim was taken back to the hospital and the police were called.	SC	
	Alizada was interviewed by the police some mths later. He denied any relationship with the victim and when shown her photograph claimed not to recognise her.	AIC PRO.	
	DNA analysis established Alizada had sexual intercourse with the victim.	R JN	

DNA analysis sexual intercourse with us