## **Attempt to pervert the course of justice** and conspire to defeat justice

ss 143 and 135 Criminal Code

## From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: Each of the two tables is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period (after 14/01/09)
- Transitional provisions period (31/08/03 to 14/01/09) -
- Pre-transitional provisions period (before 31/08/03)

were en. These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

## Glossary:

agg	aggravated
att	attempted
conc	concurrent
cum	cumulative
ct	count
EFP	eligible for parole
imp	imprisonment
PCJ	pervert the course of justic
PG	plead guilty
PSO	pre-sentence order
susp	suspended
TES	total effective sentence

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	
5.	The State of	27 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Dep lib.	Ct 1: 9 mths imp (cum)	Allowed.
	Western Australia	28 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Threat to kill.	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc).	
	v LSM		Cts 3-5: Agg sex pen without consent.	Ct 3: 18 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned leng
		Convicted after late PG (25%	Ct 6: Att PCJ.	Ct 4: 3 yrs imp (conc).	
	[2023] WASCA	discount).		Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (conc).	Resentence (15% disc
	132		LSM subjected his wife, F, to a	Ct 6: 4 yrs imp (cum).	
		No prior criminal history.	prolonged episode of physical and	Ct 7: 9 mths imp (cum).	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (cum)
	Delivered		sexual violence.		Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (conc)
	01/09/2023	Eldest of two children; parents		TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.	Ct 3: 5 yrs imp (conc)
		separated when young; four half-	Whilst out celebrating F's birthday		Ct 4: 6 yrs imp (cum).
		siblings; close and supportive	LSM became jealous and accused F of	EFP.	Ct 5: 6 yrs imp (conc)
		family.	being unfaithful. On leaving to go home		Ct 6: 5 yrs 9 mths imp
			they argued, so F said she would order	The sentencing judge found the respondent's	Ct 7: 18 mths imp (cur
		Dyslexic; struggled at school;	an Uber. At this point LSM grabbed the	offending 'incredibly serious'; the dep lib	1 \
		completed yr 11 and trade	back of her neck and forced her to walk	'involved significant levels of control',	TES 9 yrs 6 mths imp
		apprenticeship.	to their car. He then drove dangerously	including forcing F into the car and driving in	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
		TT T	at speed and repeatedly told her he was	a manner that caused 'very real danger'; the	EFP.
		Hard working; consistent	going to crash the car with her in it.	offending took place over a period of about	
		employment history; own	When F attempted to get out of the car	two hrs.	At [4] It is clear that the
		business.	several times, LSM prevented her from		was a grotesque form
			doing so by grabbing her arm or hair	The sentencing judge found the sex offending	calculated to demean l
		Good physical health; history of	and pulling her back into the car. She	occurred in the context that the respondent	was callously indiffere
		alcohol and illicit drug use;	repeatedly asked SLM to pull over or	had already put F in danger; in circumstances	stop
		struggled with alcohol and methyl	slow down, but he continued to drive	where she was entitled to look to him for	stop:
		use aged $19 - 25$ yrs; relapsed	dangerously.	protection, as her husband; he was physically	At [24]-[27] there
		into methyl use; coming down	kangerousiy.	much bigger than F, who was not able to	offending, each of whi
		from methyl and significantly	On two occasions SLM stopped the car.	resist him and the offending took place in the	had the underlying
		intoxicated with alcohol at time of	F was able to get out of the vehicle and	family home, where she was entitled to feel	control by the respond
		offending.	call triple zero. However, on both	safe.	control by the respond
		orrending.	occasions he forced her back into the	sale.	At [59] Another very
			car. F put her mobile phone under her	The sentencing judge found the respondent	was the nature and qua
			seat, with the triple zero operator still	continued his violent behaviour towards F,	and sexual violence ca
			on the line. The recording captured	who was calling out in pain and distress; the	psychological injury a
			parts of the offending the subject of cts	telephone calls constituting the att to PCJ,	violence is a major co
			3 - 6.	demonstrated the exercise of coercion over	offending included be
			5-0.	her; the whole of the offending has to be seen	and control F. Denunc
			Over the course of about 2 hrs SLM	in the context of the family relationship.	personal and general of
				In the context of the family fefationship.	considerations.
			deprived F of her liberty, during which time he also committed cts 2-6.	Respondent remorseful; offending out of	considerations.
			une ne also committed cts 2-6.		
			On amiging home SI M sychod E into	character.	At [71] A very serious
			On arriving home SLM pushed F into		and 7 (which also peri
			the house, stripped her naked and		pattern of abuse that c
			forcefully penetrated her vagina with		cts manifested behavio
			his fingers. This incident was captured		intimidate, coerce and
			by the triple zero recording and F could		
			be heard pleading with SLM to stop and		At [127] Because the
			his reply, 'I'll rape you if I want'.		and ct 7 at the first rea
					the statutory power to

## Appeal

ength of sentence.

scount cts 1, 2, 3, 4 5 & 7 and 20% discount ct 6):

n) c). c). n). c). np (conc). cum).

ıp.

t the respondent's sexual violence against his wife m of 'punishment' ... His sexual offences were n his wife and assert his dominance over her. He erent to her cries of pain and her pleas for him to

e were, in essence, three distinct categories of which was inherently serious. All of the offences, ng feature that they all involved the coercive ndent of his wife. ...

y serious feature of the respondent's offending ... puality of the violence he inflicted on F. Domestic can involve physical injury, sexual assault, and emotional trauma. Domestic and sexual concern in Australia. ... The respondent's behaviour that was calculated to intimidate, coerce nciation of the respondent's criminal conduct and l deterrence were important sentencing

bus feature of the respondent's offending on cts 1, 2 ermeated his offending on cts 3, 4, 5 and 6) was the t characterise his interaction with F. ... All of those viour by the respondent that was calculated to and control F.

e respondent did not enter his PG on counts 1-5easonable opportunity, her Honour did not have to reduce the head sentences she would otherwise

			<ul> <li>SLM then forced F to perform fellatio, causing her to choke. He forced his penis into her mouth a second time, squeezing her throat with his hands while she did so, causing her to choke and experience difficulty breathing. The triple zero recording captured this incident.</li> <li>SLM then had sexual intercourse with F. This was again heard on the triple zero recording in which F is heard crying, exclaiming in pain, and repeatedly begging him to stop.</li> <li>A short time later F was able to run partially clothed from the house. SLM was arrested and was remanded in custody.</li> <li>While in custody SLM's telephone calls were monitored and on a number of occasions, during conversations with F, he sought to suborn her into dropping the charges bought against him.</li> </ul>	E PILOILO SOCI	have imposed for the in doing so In res- grounds 2 and 3, wou resentence the respon At [147] While the be ignored, they coul- serious nature of the analysis, were unduly unduly lenient TES.
4.	NSA v The State of Western Australia	49-55 yrs at time offending. 57 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Persistently engaged in sexual conduct child U16 yrs.	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (cum). Ct 2: 1 yr imp (cum).	Allowed.
		s / yis at time senteneng.	Ct 2: Sex pen child U13 yrs (digital).	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned err
	[2023] WASCA 53	Convicted after PG (20% discount).	Ct 3: Poss CEM. Ct 4: Att PCJ.	Ct 4: 8 mths imp (cum).	Individual sentences
	Delivered			Ct 2 reduced from 3 yrs imp for totality and	Resentenced (20% di
	06/04//2023	Short and minor criminal history.	The victims, S and T, are brother and	Ct 4 reduced from 18 mths imp for totality.	
		Good childhood; supportive	sister and NSA's children. T has a cognitive impairment.	TES 7 um imp	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (cum)
				TES 7 yrs imp.	• • •
		parents and younger siblings.	By reason of a Family Court order S	EFP.	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cur
			By reason of a Family Court order S was placed in the care of her father.	EFP.	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cur Ct 4: 11 mths imp (cu
		parents and younger siblings.	By reason of a Family Court order S was placed in the care of her father. Over a period of five yrs, from the time	EFP. The sentencing judge found the offending	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cur Ct 4: 11 mths imp (cu
		parents and younger siblings. Victim of sexual abuse aged 10 yrs.	By reason of a Family Court order S was placed in the care of her father. Over a period of five yrs, from the time she was 11 or 12 yrs old, NSA engaged	EFP. The sentencing judge found the offending against S was prolonged and insidious having	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cur Ct 4: 11 mths imp (cu Ct 4 reduced from 18
		parents and younger siblings. Victim of sexual abuse aged 10	By reason of a Family Court order S was placed in the care of her father. Over a period of five yrs, from the time she was 11 or 12 yrs old, NSA engaged in varying kinds of sexual conduct with	EFP. The sentencing judge found the offending against S was prolonged and insidious having regard to the pretexts created by the appellant	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cur Ct 4: 11 mths imp (cu Ct 4 reduced from 18
		<ul><li>parents and younger siblings.</li><li>Victim of sexual abuse aged 10 yrs.</li><li>Dyslexic; left school yr 10.</li></ul>	By reason of a Family Court order S was placed in the care of her father. Over a period of five yrs, from the time she was 11 or 12 yrs old, NSA engaged	EFP. The sentencing judge found the offending against S was prolonged and insidious having regard to the pretexts created by the appellant in order to cover his offending and his	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cur Ct 4: 11 mths imp (cu Ct 4 reduced from 18
		parents and younger siblings. Victim of sexual abuse aged 10 yrs.	By reason of a Family Court order S was placed in the care of her father. Over a period of five yrs, from the time she was 11 or 12 yrs old, NSA engaged in varying kinds of sexual conduct with	EFP. The sentencing judge found the offending against S was prolonged and insidious having regard to the pretexts created by the appellant	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cur Ct 4: 11 mths imp (cu Ct 4 reduced from 18 TES 6 yrs 3 mths imp
		<ul> <li>parents and younger siblings.</li> <li>Victim of sexual abuse aged 10 yrs.</li> <li>Dyslexic; left school yr 10.</li> <li>Regular employment history; worked variety of jobs.</li> </ul>	By reason of a Family Court order S was placed in the care of her father. Over a period of five yrs, from the time she was 11 or 12 yrs old, NSA engaged in varying kinds of sexual conduct with S (ct 1).	EFP. The sentencing judge found the offending against S was prolonged and insidious having regard to the pretexts created by the appellant in order to cover his offending and his ongoing sexualisation of S; S was particularly	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cur Ct 4: 11 mths imp (cu Ct 4 reduced from 18 TES 6 yrs 3 mths imp EFP. At [49] s 321A(13
		<ul> <li>parents and younger siblings.</li> <li>Victim of sexual abuse aged 10 yrs.</li> <li>Dyslexic; left school yr 10.</li> <li>Regular employment history; worked variety of jobs.</li> <li>Two adult children in addition to</li> </ul>	By reason of a Family Court order S was placed in the care of her father. Over a period of five yrs, from the time she was 11 or 12 yrs old, NSA engaged in varying kinds of sexual conduct with S (ct 1). When S was 12 yrs old NSA penetrated her vagina with his finger (ct 2).	EFP. The sentencing judge found the offending against S was prolonged and insidious having regard to the pretexts created by the appellant in order to cover his offending and his ongoing sexualisation of S; S was particularly vulnerable and T a very vulnerable young person by reason of his cognitive impairment.	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cur Ct 4: 11 mths imp (cu Ct 4 reduced from 18 TES 6 yrs 3 mths imp EFP. At [49] s 321A(13 the sentence she imp
		<ul> <li>parents and younger siblings.</li> <li>Victim of sexual abuse aged 10 yrs.</li> <li>Dyslexic; left school yr 10.</li> <li>Regular employment history; worked variety of jobs.</li> <li>Two adult children in addition to S and T; at time of sentencing</li> </ul>	By reason of a Family Court order S was placed in the care of her father. Over a period of five yrs, from the time she was 11 or 12 yrs old, NSA engaged in varying kinds of sexual conduct with S (ct 1). When S was 12 yrs old NSA penetrated her vagina with his finger (ct 2). In addition to the conduct the subject of	EFP. The sentencing judge found the offending against S was prolonged and insidious having regard to the pretexts created by the appellant in order to cover his offending and his ongoing sexualisation of S; S was particularly vulnerable and T a very vulnerable young person by reason of his cognitive impairment. The sentencing judge found the att to PCJ	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cur Ct 4: 11 mths imp (cur Ct 4 reduced from 18 TES 6 yrs 3 mths imp EFP. At [49] s 321A(13 the sentence she impo imposed on ct 1 i
		<ul> <li>parents and younger siblings.</li> <li>Victim of sexual abuse aged 10 yrs.</li> <li>Dyslexic; left school yr 10.</li> <li>Regular employment history; worked variety of jobs.</li> <li>Two adult children in addition to</li> </ul>	By reason of a Family Court order S was placed in the care of her father. Over a period of five yrs, from the time she was 11 or 12 yrs old, NSA engaged in varying kinds of sexual conduct with S (ct 1). When S was 12 yrs old NSA penetrated her vagina with his finger (ct 2). In addition to the conduct the subject of cts 1 and 2 NSA would engage in other	EFP. The sentencing judge found the offending against S was prolonged and insidious having regard to the pretexts created by the appellant in order to cover his offending and his ongoing sexualisation of S; S was particularly vulnerable and T a very vulnerable young person by reason of his cognitive impairment. The sentencing judge found the att to PCJ serious; he enlisted the assistance of others	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cur Ct 4: 11 mths imp (cur Ct 4 reduced from 18 TES 6 yrs 3 mths imp EFP. At [49] s 321A(13 the sentence she impo imposed on ct 1 i
		<ul> <li>parents and younger siblings.</li> <li>Victim of sexual abuse aged 10 yrs.</li> <li>Dyslexic; left school yr 10.</li> <li>Regular employment history; worked variety of jobs.</li> <li>Two adult children in addition to S and T; at time of sentencing</li> </ul>	By reason of a Family Court order S was placed in the care of her father. Over a period of five yrs, from the time she was 11 or 12 yrs old, NSA engaged in varying kinds of sexual conduct with S (ct 1). When S was 12 yrs old NSA penetrated her vagina with his finger (ct 2). In addition to the conduct the subject of	EFP. The sentencing judge found the offending against S was prolonged and insidious having regard to the pretexts created by the appellant in order to cover his offending and his ongoing sexualisation of S; S was particularly vulnerable and T a very vulnerable young person by reason of his cognitive impairment. The sentencing judge found the att to PCJ	Ct 2: 3 yr imp (conc) Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cur Ct 4: 11 mths imp (cu Ct 4 reduced from 18 TES 6 yrs 3 mths imp EFP. At [49] s 321A(13 the sentence she impo imposed on ct 1 if accumulation of the s At [75] the sexual

hese offences by 25%.... her Honour erred in law espect of cts 1, 5 and ct 7, this error, regardless of ould have enlivened this court's power to ondent.

the respondent's personal circumstances were not to uld not, when weighed against the 'incredibly e respondent's offending, give rise to what, on any ly lenient individual sentences for cts 3 - 6 and an

error in law (cum of sentence of ct 2 with ct 1). s not challenged.

discount):

m). c). tum). (cum).

18 mths for totality.

np.

13) precluded the sentencing judge from ordering posed on ct 2 to be served cum upon the term . it was not open to the sentencing judge to order the e sentence on ct 2 with the sentence on ct 1. ...

al acts the subject of ct 1, ... did not include the ct of ct 2.

			contain three photographs of T, aged	Demonstrated lack of victim empathy and	
			about 12 years old, posing in women's lingerie and high-heeled shoes. The photographs were classified at Cat 1 (ct 3).	insight into consequences of his behaviour.	At [120] the appel of serious elements. T breach of the position appellant's daughter,
			In custody, NSA used intermediaries to suborn S to not cooperate in the		offending was extrem five yrs. The appellar carrying out of the va
			prosecution against him (ct 4).		had a profound adver
3.	Curry v The State of Western	31 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Conspiracy to PCJ.	2 yrs imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refu
	Åustralia	Convicted after trial.	Curry, and the co-offenders Mr Taylor; Ms Taylor and Rodgers, conspired to	EFP.	Appeal concerned pa
	[2023] WASCA 10	Long criminal history; on bail at time of offending.	PCJ by pressuring and prevailing a victim, V, to provide a false statement	Cum on TES 7 yrs 2 mths imp already serving.	At [67] There was no Mr Taylor and the ap
	Delivered 25/01/2023	Dysfunctional childhood; father physically and psychologically	in a criminal prosecution. Curry and Mr Taylor were both in	TES 9 yrs 2 mths imp.	At [75] difference justify a difference in
		abusive and drug user; close relationship with his mother who	custody. They knew each other and were housed in the same unit. There	<u>Co-offenders</u> : Mr Taylor - 2 yrs imp, cum on existing TES 6	At [101] In was neces
		remains supportive.	was also a further connection in that Taylor's mother, Ms Taylor, was a	yrs imp.	criminality, to order a concerning the consp
		Qualified electrician.	friend of Curry's mother.	Ms Taylor – 2 yrs imp, conditionally susp 2 yrs.	erroneous the hig offending, and the fac
		Entrenched drug problem; long term user of methyl.	When it became known that V was prepared to provide a new statement Mr Taylor discussed the situation with Curry, providing him with a version of	Rodgers – 3 yrs imp. The sentencing judge found the appellant	PCJ while on bail, rea At [106] The TES criminality involved
			events significantly minimising the incident and his involvement in it. Curry agreed with Mr Taylor that, upon	played a critical role in carrying out the conspiracy; he was tasked with ensuring V substantially watered down his allegations	
			his release from prison, he would approach V to prevail him to change his account of the incident.	and in letting V know that there would be unpleasant consequences if he was not cooperative; the conspiracy stood no chance	
			Two wks after Curry's release from custody he contacted V, informing him	of succeeding without his actions.	
			he was acting on behalf of people in prison. V understood the veiled threat and that he would cooperate.		
		5	Curry reported to Ms Taylor, who in turn reported to Mr Taylor, that he had		
			made contact with V and V would cooperate and change his account of the incident.		
			Much then ensued with a view to having V see a lawyer to change his statement. Curry approached a solicitor,		

ellant's offending the subject of ct 1 had a number . The appellant's offending involved an egregious on of trust occupied by the parent of a child. As the r, S was, ... 'particularly vulnerable'. The emely prolonged, occurring over a period spanning ant engaged in a series of pretexts to facilitate his various sexual acts. Further, ... the offending has erse effect upon S. efused).

arity and totality principles.

no material disparity in the sentencing outcomes for appellant. ...

es between Mr Taylor and the appellant did not in sentencing outcome. ...

essary, to properly mark the appellant's overall a degree of accumulation of the sentence piracy to PCJ. A failure to do so would have been gh order of seriousness of the appellant's fact that he committed the offence of conspiracy to equired a degree of accumulation.

... bears a proper relationship to the overall l in all of the offences, ...

			Rodgers and a number of attempts were made for V to see Rodgers. Eventually Curry drove V to meet Rodgers, resulting in a two-page handwritten statement written by Rodgers and signed by V. At the time Rodgers was well aware that V was there to falsely recant important parts of his earlier statements to the police. V provided the new statement to Curry, who provided it to Ms Taylor. Rodgers also provided a copy to the lawyer		ions
			involved in the prosecution. V later contacted police and informed	02056	
2.	Didaway - The	41 yrs at time sentencing.	them what was happening. Ct 2: Att PCJ.	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (aurs)	Dismissed (leave refu
4.	Ridgway v The State of Western	41 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: All PCJ. Ct 3: With intent to harm did an act	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (cum). Ct 3: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	Distilissed (leave refus
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	resulting in bodily harm.	Ct 5: 6 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned erro
			Ct 5: Poss unlicensed ammunition.		victim's injuries); leng
	[2021] WASCA	Extensive criminal history;		TES 4 yrs imp.	principle.
	143	convicted wide variety of offences	Ridgway was in custody on remand when a SW was executed at the home	EFP.	At [50] Howing magane
	Delivered	over more than 20 yrs; numerous sentences of imp.	where he usually lived with his partner,	EFF.	At [50] Having regard photographs and the e
	13/08/2021	sentences of mp.	ADT. A quantity of methyl was located	The sentencing judge found the offending	sentencing judge to m
	10,00,2021	Parents separated aged 7 yrs;	at the home and ADT was charged with	serious and it was an aggravating factor that	suffered by STH, inclu
		lived with his mother; childhood	two offences, including poss of methyl	the offending was committed while he was on	bruising, tenderness a
		marred by father's substance use and violence.	wiss.	home detention bail.	left arm.
			Some days later Ridgway was released	The sentencing judge found the offence of att	At [52] Dr Wee ide
		Left school during yr 11.	to bail and returned to live at the house. He arranged for the victim, STH, to sign	PCJ was pre-planned; he involved STH in the	superficial penetrating consistent with STH's
		Sporadic work history;	a statutory declaration form, blank save	offence; although it was not carried out over a longer period of time and the police were not	with scissors by the ap
		unemployed time sentencing; full-	for the details of the witness before	induced to act on the false statutory	were four penetrating
		time employment available upon	whom he had purportedly executed the	declaration.	such wound. His Hone
		his release from prison.	document.		
				The sentencing judge found ct 3 towards the	At [54] – [54] There is
		Three children from three	Ridgway later completed the factual	low to mid-end of the scale of seriousness; the	erroneously assessed t the low to mid-end of
		relationships; married ADT after this offending; wife and mother-	details of the statutory declaration, falsely stating the methyl found during	violence against STH were acts of vengeance; the injuries sustained by STH were not	his finding that the act
		in-law supportive.	the search belonged to STH. He then	serious or permanent, but the deliberate act of	substance had the pote
			provided the completed statutory	setting STH alight using a flammable	health and safety'. Suc
		Long-standing history of illicit	declaration form to police.	substance had the potential to result in very	
		drug use, particularly heroin and		serious consequences and was a high risk act.	At [67] Ct 2 was a
		methyl; attempts made to	Two days later STH went to Ridgway's	General de la companya de la	appellant hatched a pla
		rehabilitate himself; past	home. Ridgway was angry with him for not giving the false statutory declaration	Some signs of remorse; participated in	blame for the offence
		participation in drug rehabilitation programs, including naltrexone	to the police. He grabbed STH by his	counselling while in custody; motivated to avoid further illicit substance use.	blank statutory declara details in which STH
		implants.	shirt and neck chain and dragged him	avoid further mich substance use.	the search of the house

fused).

rrors of fact (injuries suffered and seriousness of ength of individual sentence ct 3 and totality

and to the relevant testimony of STH, the six e evidence of Dr Wee, it was well open to the make the findings he did about the injuries cluding the impugned findings concerning and the small superficial penetrating wound to the

identified one of the four wounds, being the 'small ng wound to the left arm', as more recent. This was I's evidence that he had been stabbed in the arm appellant. ... his Honour did not find that there ng wounds to the left arm. He referred only to one onour did not err in his finding ...

e is no merit in the claim that his Honour d the injuries suffered by STH as being 'towards of the scale' ... Finally, his Honour did not err in act of setting STH alight using a flammable otential to result in a 'potential risk to [STH's] life, Such an act plainly had this potential. ...

a reasonably serious example of its type. The plan in which he recruited STH to falsely take the ce committed by ADT. [He] had STH sign the aration form, then later completed the factual H purportedly stated that the methyl found during use ... belonged to him. ... Although the police

		Suffers anxiety; depression and antisocial personality disorder.	<ul> <li>inside. He then punched STH a number of times to the face and body, forced him onto a couch and continued to beat him over a long period of time. He also sprayed aerosol degreaser onto STH's arm and set it on fire, causing a burn to his arm. STH fled the house and hid.</li> <li>Sometime later STH was found by police and taken to hospital. He sustained a broken nose, bruising and a small superficial penetrating wound to his arm, caused by Ridgway stabbing him with scissors.</li> <li>Ridgway was arrested the next day at his home. A SW located 42 rounds of .22 calibre ammunition hidden in a vent in a bedroom.</li> </ul>	prosect	
1.	Charles v The State of Western Australia	33 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after early PG (15%	1 x Att to PCJ. Charles was in contact with a Mr Salt,	2 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP.	Allowed. Appeal concerned error
		discount).	who was remanded in custody on		
	[2021] WASCA 114	Lengthy criminal history; large	serious drug offences. Salt was a schedule 2 offender for the purposes of	The sentencing judge found the PG came late in the day in the face of an exceptionally	Resentenced (25% dise
		number of offences involving	the Bail Act 1982 (WA) and needed to	strong case.	22 mths imp.
	Delivered 23/06/2021	dishonesty.	demonstrate exceptional circumstances to obtain bail.	Remorseful; accepting of responsibility for	EFP.
		Difficult life.	In support of his application for bail Mr	the offending; steps taken towards rehabilitation; addressing drug use and mental	$A \neq [42]$ the appella
		Diagnosed with borderline personality disorder; secondary	Salt sent three letters to the Magistrates Court.	health issues.	At [43], the appella opportunity. The sente late in the day was a m
		diagnosis of PTSD; substance use disorder.	One of the letters was purportedly		At [49] The offende
		Drug user.	<ul> <li>written by Mr Salt's ex-partner. Her name and been misspelt and the mobile telephone number provided in the letter was registered to Charles.</li> <li>The author of the second letter was purportedly written by a Naomi Rodling Lester, who claimed to have taken care of Mr Salt's son and that work was available for Mr Salt. In fact, Mr Salt's son had never resided with Charles and there was no such offer of employment.</li> </ul>		over a number of days assumption of a numb a court in the exercise to note that the course in the wrongful convic While the appellant's of was not the most serio <i>Criminal Code</i> . At [51] the serious immediate imp was the

ceived, the appellant's actions had the potential to ion away from its true path. This offending was y to cts 3 and 5, and plainly warranted additional to properly reflect the appellant's overall

The presence of the ammunition ... increases the triminality, even though the sentence was to be served conc.

rror of fact (late PG).

liscount):

Illant's PG was entered at the first reasonable ntencing judge's finding that the plea was entered a material error of fact. ...

nding was a planned course of conduct sustained ys, involving the writing of three letters and the observed of false identities. It involved an att to mislead se of its judicial functions. However, it is relevant se of conduct was not designed or likely to result viction or acquittal of any person of an offence. .... 's conduct was a serious example of the offence, it rious category of offending against s 143 of the

usness of the offending was such that a sentence of the only appropriate kind of sentence.

