## **Murder, Attempted Murder** and Attempt to Procure Another to Murder

ss 279, 283 and 556 Criminal Code and repealed murder provisions

## From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: The table is divided into two relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post homicide amendments (post 1/08/08)
- Pre homicide amendments (pre 1/08/08)

## **Glossary**:

<ul> <li>Post homicide amendments (post 1/08/08)</li> <li>Pre homicide amendments (pre 1/08/08)</li> <li>Glossary:</li> <li>AOBH assault occasioning bodily harm conc concurrent cum cumulative ct count deprivation of liberty</li> <li>EFP eligible for parole imp imprisonment min minimum</li> <li>PG plea of guilty</li> <li>TES total effective sentence</li> <li>TOI trial of issues</li> <li>VRO violence restraining order</li> </ul>	Tansition	an Sentenening Trovisions. The table is divided into two relevant periods of Sentenening Trovisions.
Glossary:AOBHassault occasioning bodily harmconcconcurrentcumcumulativectcountdeplibdeprivation of libertyEFPeligible for paroleimpimprisonmentminminimumPGplea of guiltyTEStotal effective sentenceTOItrial of issuesVROviolence restraining order		homicide amendments (post 1/08/08) homicide amendments (pre 1/08/08)
AOBHassault occasioning bodily harmconcconcurrentcumcumulativectcountdep libdeprivation of libertyEFPeligible for paroleimpimprisonmentminminimumPGplea of guiltyTEStotal effective sentenceTOItrial of issuesVROviolence restraining order	<u>Glossary:</u>	
	conc cum ct dep lib EFP imp min PG TES TOI	assault occasioning bodily harm concurrent cumulative count deprivation of liberty eligible for parole imprisonment minimum plea of guilty total effective sentence trial of issues violence restraining order

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
4.	Doohan v The	18 yrs 6 mths at time offending.	1 x Murder.	Life imp.	Appeal dismissed (leave refused).
	State of Western	20 yrs at time sentencing.		•	
	Australia		The appellant was in a relationship with	EFP after 13 yrs.	Appeal concerned length of sentence.
		Convicted after PG.	Mr H. The appellant and Mr H had a		
	[2024] WASCA 80		baby together, A.	The offending had an extreme impact on Mr	At [72] 'as his Honour observed, the appellant took the life of a
		No criminal history.	buby together, M.	H; he felt responsible for A's death, believing	completely vulnerable infant. A's vulnerability could hardly have been
	Delivered	ivo emininar mistory.	Shortly after A's birth, the appellant's	she had drowned as he was in the shower;	greater. Although the appellant did not intend to kill A, she violently
		Oldest of trues high gigal father	• • • • • • •	,	
	05/07/2024	Oldest of two; biological father	mother noticed bruises on A. The	lapsed in an out of depression; dependent on	shook A, intending to cause bodily injury. It was not the first time that
		was aggressive and violent;	appellant's younger brother had also	drugs; attempted suicide.	she had shaken A and inflicted excessive physical force on her. The
		subjected to verbal abuse from her	observed the appellant violently shaking		appellant's conduct was not a one-off, isolated incident in which
		father; mother entered a	A. Further marks and bruising were also	The sentencing judge accepted the expert	excessive physical force had been used against A.'
		relationship with the appellant's	observed on A.	opinion that there was a causal relationship	
		stepfather; good relationship with		between the appellant's upbringing, ADHD,	At [73] 'while the use of force was not pre-planned, the appellant
		stepfather.	While Mr H was in the shower, the	personality disorders and the offending.	shook her daughter at a time when Mr H was in the shower and could
			appellant took A and vigorously shook		do nothing to stop her. Moreover, the appellant did nothing herself to
		Completed schooling at end of yr	her. The shaking inflicted serious	The sentencing judge found the appellant	raise the alarm after inflicting what she must have immediately
		11; limited history of	injuries to A's head, brain, eyes, and	became excessively frustrated with A's crying	realised were serious injuries. To the contrary, she placed A back in
		employment.	spine. The head and neck injuries were	and shook her due to a failure to control her	the cot and asked Mr H to pass the child to her when he returned to the
		emproyment	the cause of A's death.	emotions.	room, thereby giving the false impression that she had not yet touched
		Lived with maternal grandmother		ciliotions.	the child.'
		from 14 yrs; supportive of the	When Mr H returned from his shower,	The sentencing judge found the appellant had	the child.
			,		At [75] for found by his Honour the mitigating singurater are an
		appellant.	the appellant asked him to take A out of	failed to demonstrate any genuine remorse for	At [75] 'as found by his Honour, the mitigating circumstances are
			the cot and bring her over to her. As Mr	the offence; however, the lack of remorse was	powerful.'
		Diagnosed with ADHD; exhibited	H took A out of the cot, he noticed she	a direct result of her psychological conditions.	
		hyperactivity, impulsivity, anger	was unresponsive. He commenced CPR		At [76] 'the appellant was, at the time of the commission of the
		management issues and	on A while the appellant rang triple	The sentencing judge found the seriousness of	offence, barely an adult. She had no prior record of convictionsthere
		aggression; hospitalised for	zero. A was declared dead later that	the offence was not commensurate with a	is little to suggest that upon her release she will pose a risk to the
		significant self-harming	afternoon.	sentence other than life imp.	community generally.'
		behaviour.			
					At [77] 'the moral culpability for the offending was reduced to some
		Experts formed the opinion the			limited extent as a result of the causal relationship found between the
		appellant satisfied the criteria for			commission of the offence and the appellant's immaturity, ADHS and
		narcissistic personality disorder	Q.Y		personality disorders'
		and histrionic personality			
		disorder. One expert formed the			At [79] 'in our view the circumstances of the offending were simply
		opinion the appellant met the			too serious to justify anything other than life imprisonment, even when
		criteria for dissocial personality	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		the mitigating circumstances are given full weight and effect.'
		disorder.	<b>O</b> <sup>'</sup>		the initigating circumstances are given full weight and effect.
		disoluel.			At [02] (in our view, the minimum new newsle newigh of 12 une
		Construction for 15	2.07		At [92] 'in our view, the minimum non-parole period of 13 yrs
		Cannabis user from 15 yrs; used			imposed by the sentencing judge was appropriately lenient The
		cannabis during pregnancy.			crime committed by the appellant was very serious, and any minimum
			Y		non-parole period needed to reflect this and properly denounce, punish,
					and deter such conduct.'
3.	Austin v The State	47 yrs at time offending.	1 x Att murder.	5 yrs 6 mths imp.	Appeal dismissed (leave granted on ground one).
	of Western				
	Australia	Convicted after PG.	EG is the youngest daughter of MG and	EFP.	Appeal concerned the procedural fairness at sentencing and length of
			BG. EG suffers from Aicardi-Goutieres		sentence.
	[2023] WASCA	No prior criminal history.	syndrome, a rare disorder that results in	The sentencing judge accepted that at the time	
	191	1	severe mental and physical disability as	of the offending the appellant was 'struggling	At [63] 'the sentencing judge did not inform defence counsel, either at
	1/1		bevere mental and physical disability as	of the orienting the appendit was struggling	The loss are senteneng judge and not inform defence counsel, efficient

	Born in South Africa.	well as reduced life expectancy.	significantly' and was 'regularly overstepping	the hearing on 30 Janua
Delivered 13/11/2023	Medical practitioner: at time of offending was a GP.	The appellant was the family doctor for MG, BG and their children. The	professional boundaries' between him and his patients.	sentencing remarks on the article (referred to i formed the view that th
	-	appellant would see EG on a weekly	Although accepting the appellant suffered	opinion that there was a
	Self-diagnosed depression; self- prescribed medication; few	basis. On one occasion MG informed the appellant that she had attempted to	from depression at the time of offending, the sentencing judge did not accept that the	the appellant's mental s
	months prior to offending had	smother EG, and asked the appellant for	depression reduced the appellant's moral	At [65] 'the sentencing
	relapse of depression.	something to give her to end EG's life. The appellant did not comply with this	culpability.	described, to inform de above, occasioned mate
		request.	The sentencing judge, without informing the	above, occasioned mat
		EG was later discharged from hospital	parties, erroneously obtained a copy of an article referred to in the addendum psychiatric	At [79] 'in all the circu the appellant's major d
		and deemed palliative. MG asked the	report, and formed the view that the article	offending on the other,
		appellant about the most humane substance to inject EG with to end her	did not support Dr Wojnarowska's opinion and took that view into account in rejecting	for the offence of attem the relevant time is a
		life. The appellant prescribed insulin	Dr Wojnarowska's opinion.	and antecedents which,
		and explained to MG how it was injected under the skin. MG obtained	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	the extent to which he s a relevant sentencing fa
		the insulin but did not inject EG with it.	came to see EG's situation as hopeless, and	
		MG asked the appellant for another	came to overly empathise with her mother's perspective.	At [89] 'in the present of serious.'
		insulin prescription, which he gave her.		
		MG later administered insulin to EG.	The sentencing judge found that the appellant's conduct was not engaged in out of	At [90] 'the following the appellant was a general
		BG arrived home earlier than expected,	any ill-will or malice; rather, subjectively the	trust; (b) the appellant
		noticed something amiss with EG and took her to hospital. Hospital staff	appellant considered he was trying to help.	facilitate the offending: connection with the me
		discovered EG had been injected with	2	access to the insulin; (e
		insulin.		conscious decision; (f) his conduct, and did no
		After his arrest, the appellant made full		intentions; (g) EG was
		admissions to the police.		becoming aware EG ha not inform EG's treatin
				At [96] 'we are satisfie
		$\mathcal{L}$		depressive episode and
		O'Y		the appellant's culpabil
				At [99] 'we are satisfie
				of a proper exercise by

nuary 2023 or before her Honour commenced her on 3 February 2023, that: (a) her Honour had read to in the addendum report); and (b) her Honour the article did not support Dr Wojnarowska's as a causal connection between the offending and al state at the time.'

ng judge's failure, in the circumstances as defence counsel...of the matters set out at [63] aterial procedural unfairness to the appellant.

cumstances of this case the connection between depressive episode, on the one hand, and his er, does not significantly diminish his culpability empted murder. His major depressive episode at . an aspect an aspect of his personal circumstances ch, in combination, decrease to a moderate degree e should be punished. General deterrence remains factor.'

nt case, the appellant's offending was extremely

g features demonstrate its gravity': (a) the ral practitioner, occupying a position of great at used his knowledge as a general practitioner to ng; (c) the appellant provided advice to MG in method of killing EG; (d) the appellant gave MG (e) the appellant's actions were the product of a f) the appellant had an opportunity to reflect on not protect EG when he became aware of MG's as an extremely vulnerable child; and (h) after had been admitted to hospital, the appellant did ting doctors or police about had had happened.

ied ... that the connection between the major and the offending does not significantly diminish bility.'

ied ... that the sentence imposed was the product by her Honour of her discretion.'

2.	Sturniolo v The State of Western	<ul><li>29 yrs at time offending.</li><li>39 yrs at time sentencing.</li></ul>	1 x Murder.	Life imp.	Dismissed.
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	The victim was Sturniolo's grandmother.	EFP after 20 yrs.	Appeal concerned leng
	[2023] WASCA 147	No prior criminal history.	The victim's son, Mr Baldwin, died of cancer and prior to his death was	The trial judge found the appellant was motivated by her animosity towards the victim; while a motive to gain financially may	At [299] The appellant by the sentencing judg
	Delivered 20/10/2023	Very close relationship with her mother; abused as a child causing trauma throughout her life.	regularly taking medications, including MS Contin tablets.	be regarded as a more severe agg factor, her conduct was morally inexcusable.	At [300] the judge of appellant's sentence of established principles
		Faced challenges at school; repeated some yrs; left after yr 11.	Sturniolo substituted Mr Baldwin's MS Contin medication into the victim's Webster-pak and placed the modified Webster-pak in the place where she	The trial judge found the offending at a high level of seriousness and aggravated by an intention to kill; it was premeditated; the victim, being elderly and unwell, was	to which, hardship to t properly reduce the ser particularly where, as l The judge's approach t
		Consistent work history; employed various retail positions.	knew the victim usually kept it. Over a period of about four days' the	vulnerable; the offending occurred in the victim's own home, where she was entitled to feel safe; as her granddaughter, she abused	reveal error. At [301] the delay b
		Married; two children who suffer from developmental disorders.	victim consumed eight MS Contin tablets from the Webster-pak, believing it to be her medication. As a result she	the trust inherent in the relationship and the effect of the MS Contin meant the victim would have been distressed and confused in	charging of the appella
		Suffers depression; anxiety; intermittent mental health difficulties and sleep issues.	became unwell and collapsed at her home.	the brief periods of lucidity while hospitalised.	
			The victim spent seven days in hospital before she died from complications initiated by morphine toxicity.	Low risk of violent reoffending.	
1.	The State of	Phillips	1 x Att murder.	Phillips	Allowed.
	Western Australia v Phillips	41 yrs at time of sentencing.	Phillips and Martin were both sentenced	9 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned leng
	[2023] WASCA	Convicted after early PG (25% discount).	prisoners. The victim, 65 yrs old, was also serving a sentence in the same	EFP.	Resentenced:
	104 Delivered	Significant criminal history; convictions for offences of	prison. Knowing the victim's offending history,	<u>Martin</u> 9 yrs imp.	Phillips 12 yrs imp.
	05/07/2023	violence; serving a sentence of 8 yrs 3 mths at time of offending.	Phillips and Martin planned to attack him.	EFP.	EFP.
		Parents separated when 2 yrs old; raised by his father; very limited	Martin fashioned two improvised knife- like weapons from materials he found	<u>Phillips</u> The sentencing judge found the respondent's offending serious and aggravated by the fact	<u>Martin</u> 11 yrs imp. EFP.
		contact with his mother; estranged from his sister; supportive father.	within the prison. He hid the weapons and later informed Phillips where they could be located, knowing Phillips	it was committed while he was serving a term of imp for violent offending; the victim was targeted because he believed he was a	Phillips At [103] Mr Phillips' of
		Sexually abused aged 10 yrs.	would use one or more of the weapons to attack the victim in the near future.	paedophile, thereby engaging in vigilante behaviour; the attack was planned and	objectively, a very seri
		Initially bullied at school, later intimidated others; left in yr 8.	On a number of occasions Martin told the victim he had permission to kill him	premediated; weapons were used to inflict serious injuries on the victim.	At [104] First, the attac period of mths. Given murder, the lengthy du
		Employed various jobs until most recent term of imp.	and of Phillips intention to assault him.	No remorse or victim empathy; very high risk of future violent offending; does not have	victim is itself a seriou
			One afternoon Phillips approached the	good prospects for rehabilitation.	At [105] Secondly, Mr

ngth of sentence.

nt's offence had several agg features, as identified lge: ...

e did not fail to take into account the effects of the on her family. Rather, the judged applied the wells that limit the circumstances in which, and extent o the family caused by an offender's imp can sentence to be imposed for the offender's offence, s here, the offence is of a very serious character. h to this aspect of the sentencing process does not

between the commission of the offence and the llant was not significantly mitigatory.

ngth of sentence.

' offence had a number of features that made it, erious example of the offence of att murder.

tack was premeditated, being planned over a in the centrality of intention to the offence of att duration of Mr Phillips' intention to attack the ously aggravating feature of his offence.

Ar Phillips used weapons in attacking the victim.

Four children to three different	victim, who was standing near a garden		
partners; contact with two	in the prison block. Using the two	Martin	At [106] Thirdly, Mr Ph
children from first partner; no	weapons, he repeatedly stabbed the	The sentencing judge characterised the	pursuing the victim
contact with most recent partners	victim in the head and neck. He threw	respondent's offending as serious and	tried to get away. [He]
and children.	the victim to the ground and continued	aggravated by the fact it was committed while	approached.
	stabbing him repeatedly. He also kicked	he was serving a term of imp; the victim was	approactical
Martin	the victim on the chin, causing him to	targeted on the belief he was a paedophile,	At [107] Fourthly, Mr H
42 yrs at time sentencing.	fall backwards. Phillips pushed the	thereby engaging in vigilante behaviour; it	neck, back and stomach
+2 yrs at time sentenenig.	victim to the ground several times,	was planned and premeditated; he facilitated	fatal injury [He] did
Convicted after trial.	continuing to stab him in the neck,	the offence by making two improvised	Tatai injury [110] uto
Convicted after that.	back, stomach and kidney area. When	weapons and then secreted them for Phillips	At [108] Fifthly, Mr Ph
Significant criminal history;	the victim managed to stand and stagger	to collect and use; the offending resulted in	At [100] Fitting, with th
serving a sentence of 5 yrs imp at	away Phillips gripped him by the neck	serious injury to the victim; although not the	
<b>č i</b> 1	and sliced his neck and throat.		At [109] Sixthly, Mr Pł
time of offending.	and sheed his neck and throat.	principal offender, his role was pivotal.	
Trans and interview distant	A fear and the second Divition and in	Francisco e durini e un de mini de cirl	term of imp for violent
Two younger sisters; raised by	After walking away, Phillips again	Extensive admissions made prior to trial;	by reason of his age; he
mother and stepfather who had	approached the victim and again	accepted responsibility, but not remorseful	
substance abuse issues; transient	stabbed him repeatedly in the lower	and no victim empathy.	At [110] Seventhly, the
upbringing; biological father	stomach.		
sentenced to a lengthy term of			At [111] Any offence th
imp when young; no relationship	Phillips faced prison guards with the		thereby be a very seriou
with him; involved with DCP	knives visible. He then stabbed the		regardless of where the
from aged 14 yrs.	victim three more times before walking	c X	motivation for it. The la
	away and being detained.		to a substantial degree,
Family supportive.		O Y	reinforce the need to give
	When searched a three-page		
Attended several different	handwritten note saying he intended to		At [118] In applying tot
schools; left school yr 8.	murder a paedophile was found in		a serious offence comm
	Phillips pocket.		is important not to creat
Employed various jobs.			in prison, the punishme
	During the attack Martin, who was		substantially discounted
Two serious long-term	standing at the fence line in a different		
relationships; three children.	block, watched from nearby.		Martin
-	<b>7 Y</b>		At [141] Mr Martin's of
History of illicit substance use;	The victim suffered a total of 47		
prescription drugs, cannabis and	wounds. He was not expected to		At [142] First, together
methyl; introduced to heroin by	survive, although, ultimately, he did. He		over a period of mths. H
his parents aged 13 yrs; addicted	has ongoing medical conditions from		crafted the weapons to l
to opioids until aged 20 yrs.	the injuries he received.		then moved them to a lo
e spisites entir agea 20 jib.	and injuries no received.		
No significant physical health	~~ C)		At [143] Secondly, Mr
issues; diagnosed with and			weapons in attacking th
requires ongoing treatment for			
paranoid schizophrenia;	Y		At [144] Thirdly, as a re
			inflicted on the victim.
borderline personality disorder			
and PTSD; history of non-			At [145] Fourthly, Mr M
compliance with antipsychotic			the prison environment
medications; lack of insight into			
his schizophrenia and			At [146] Fifthly, Mr Ma
polysubstance abuse.			-

Phillips' attack was persistent and remorseless. m and continuing to stab him while the victim e] persisted in the attack even when guards

Ir Phillips stabbed the victim in areas – namely the ach – which, by their nature, were liable to cause did everything he could to kill the victim.

Phillips caused very serious injury to the victim.

Phillips committed his offence while serving a nt offending. ... The victim was also vulnerable he was 65 yrs old.

he offending was motivated by vigilantism ....

e that had the first five of these features ... would ious example of the offence of att murder, he offence occurred and regardless of the e last two features in combination further elevate, e, the seriousness of Mr Phillips' offence and give weight to deterrence and denunciation.

totality to moderate a sentence to be imposed for nmitted by a person in the prison environment, it eate any impression that, when a person is already ment for any offence they commit will be ted. ...

offence had a number of very serious features.

er with Mr Phillips, Mr Martin planned the attack s. He chose the victim of the attack. ... [He] to be used in the attack, hid them for a period and a location to enable Mr Phillips to obtain them.

Ir Martin thereby enabled Mr Phillips to use the victim. ....

a result of the offending, serious injury was n.

Ir Martin planned and committed his offence in ent ....

Martin chose the victim because he believed that

				the victim was a paedo At [147] Thus, what is As with Mr Phillips, th a substantial degree, th need to give weight to At [153] having reg matters to which we ha was manifestly inadequ
		2008 Homicide Amer	ndments – effective 1 August 2008	
			Rtos	
		the		

Murder 20.12.24

dophile.

t is said in [109] - [110] above applies equally here. , these features of Mr Martin's offending elevate, to , the seriousness of his offence and reinforce the to deterrence and denunciation.

regard to all the circumstances of the case and the have referred, the sentence imposed on Mr Martin equate. ...