Cyberpredator

s 204B Criminal Code

From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: Each of the two tables is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

e enacter. These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

Glossary:

agg	aggravated
att	attempted
CEM	child exploitation materia
conc	concurrent
cum	cumulative
ct	count
EFP	eligible for parole
imp	imprisonment
PG	plead guilty
PNG	plead not guilty
susp	suspended
TES	total effective sentence

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	
2.	Hinton v The State	23-24 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1, 2 & 4: Using elec comm to	Ct 1: 18 mths imp (cum).	Allowed.
	of Western	26 yrs at time sentencing.	expose a person U16 yrs to indec	Cts 2 & 4: 18 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia		matter.	Ct 3: 10 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned leng
		Convicted after PG.	Cts 3 & 5: Distributing CEM.	Ct 5: 10 mths imp (conc).	Ċ
	[2023] WASCA 35				Ct 1: 15 mths imp (cur
		Raised close-knit loving family;	The victim, JD, was aged 15 yrs. Over a	TES 28 mths imp.	Ct 2: 15 mths imp. (co
	Delivered on	family; family remain very	period of 12 mths Hinton engaged in	-	Ct 3: 6 mths imp (cum
	22/02/2023	supportive.	online conversations with JD over	EFP.	Ct 4: 15 mths imp (cor
			Snapchat.		Ct 5: 8 mths imp (conc
		Positive character references.	1	The sentencing judge found the offending	
			During the online conversation Hinton	serious; there was a nine yr age difference	At [70] The appellant's
		Bisexual; struggled with his	requested they exchange nude images of	between the appellant and the victim JD; the	including that the appe
		sexuality; fearful of being	each other. Hinton sent several nude	offending involved three separate teenage	old While [he] did
		ostracised.	images and a video of himself to JD.	victims; it could not be seen as a one-off	and sports coach he
			The victim did not send any nude	isolated incident; it occurred over a period of	of communicating in th
		Completed yr 12; university	images of himself (ct 1).	time; was deliberate and persistent in nature	
		studies physical education and		and he offended for the purpose of sexual	At [72] the appellar
		teaching.	On another date in the same time span,	gratification.	of sexual gratification.
			Hinton engaged in further online		of inducing the victim
		Single.	conversations with JD. During these	The sentencing judge found it was not	them to the appellant.
		~8	conversations he sent JD a nude image	appropriate to susp the term of imp.	·····
		Life revolved around playing and	and video of another teenager, SV,	appropriate to susp and terms of maps	At [73] Another aspect
		coaching football and cricket;	masturbating. JD and SV were known	Genuinely remorseful; cooperative; low risk	is that, in sending to JI
		socially isolated since charges	to each other (ct 2). Hinton distributed	of reoffending; despite lack of candidness	offended against both t
		came to light.	the image without SV's consent (ct 3). \searrow	shown in relation to the circumstances of the	respectively, SV and B
				offence and limited insight and victim	other, as were BH and
		As a consequence of the	On another date Hinton had further	empathy.	and other harm to the b
		offending unable to secure	online conversations with JD. During	FJ -	intimate image of the p
		employment as a teacher; unable	those conversations he sent to JD a nude		and does, create the ris
		to coach junior sport.	image and video of another teenager,		, is liable to cause co
		to couch junior sport.	BH, masturbating. Again, BH and JD		offending.
			knew each other (ct 4). The image was		ononang.
			distributed without BH's consent (ct 5).		At [79] the presence
					extent of the risk of the
			It is not known how Hinton obtained the		significance to the asse
			images and videos of SV and BH.		204B
			inages and videos of 5 v and D11.		2040
			2.0		At [87] the appellar
					principle. In our view,
					bearing a proper relation
			Y		appellant's offending,
1.	Siriphanuruk v	38 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1 & 2: Stalking.	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (cum).	Dismissed – leave refu
1.	The State of	41 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 3-5: Producing CEM.	Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (conc).	Distillissed – Icave Telu
	Western Australia	TI yis at third semencing.	Ct 6: Using elec comm to expose a	Ct 3: 6 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerns length
	mesici n Austrana	Convicted after trial.	person U13 yrs to indec matter.	Ct 4: 6 mths imp (conc).	
	[2021] WASCA		Ct 7, 9-12: Distributing CEM.	Ct 5: 6 mths imp (conc).	At [88] Individually
	221 WASCA	No prior criminal history.	Ct 8: Extortion.	Ct 6: 6 mths imp (cum).	
		ino prior criminal instory.	Ct 0. Extortion.		very serious

ength of individual sentences and totality principle.

cum). conc). im). conc).

t's offending had a number of serious features, pellant knew that he was conversing with a 15-yrid not directly use his position as a relief teacher he should have well known the inappropriateness a this vein with a 15-yr-old.

lant ... committed these offences for the purpose on. ... [he] sent the images of himself in the hope m JD to produce nude images of himself and send t.

ect of the seriousness of the appellant's offending JD the nude image and videos ... the appellant h the receipt - JD - and the subject of the videos, l BH. The fact that JD and SV were known to each nd JD, was liable to magnify the embarrassment e boys the very act of transmitting an e person without the persons' consent is liable to, risk of republication. The existence of that risk, considerable stress for a victim of this kind of

the commission of contact offending are of central sessment of the seriousness of offending against s

ant's aggregate sentence infringed the totality v, the sentence exceeded the bounds of a sentence tionship to the overall criminality involved in the

fused - on papers.

gth of sentence and totality principle.

lly and collectively, the offending was patently

			Ct 7: 12 mths imp (cum).	
Delivered on	Born Thailand; resident of	Siriphanuruk engaged in a sustained	Ct 8: 12 mths imp (cum).	At [92] the offences
23/12/2021	Singapore; not an Australian	campaign of intimidation and	Ct 9: 12 mths imp (conc).	characterised as falling
	citizen; good command of	harassment upon three victims, RJ and	Ct 10: 12 mths imp (conc).	
	English.	his wife A and their daughter R, aged	Ct 11: 12 mths imp (conc).	At [95] Given the se
		12-13 yrs.	Ct 12: 12 mths imp (conc).	and 2, it is unthinkable
	Mother; two young children in			of imp to be served im
	Singapore; not seen children since	Siriphanuruk lived in Singapore and	All cum sentences cum with ct 1.	
	her arrest.	met RJ on an internet dating site. She		At [105] none of the
		and JR communicated for some time	TES 5 yrs imp.	reasonably be said to h
	Claims to have a number of	before she flew to Perth to meet him. A		
	university degrees; including in	romantic relationship developed and	EFP.	At [106]-[107] The ap
	medicine; at time offending	they frequently spent time together in		of criminality the of
	making a living from online	Perth or Singapore.	The sentencing judge found the appellant	time and involved the
	trading.		committed the offences very purposefully	including a child.
		$\underline{\text{Cts 1 and 2}}$	with the clear and unequivocal intention of	
	Diagnosed with and treated for	Over a period of about 8 mths	manipulating, harassing and intimidating the	At [112] There is no
	breast cancer on remand; in	Siriphanuruk subjected RJ and A to a	victims; her actions cruel and depraved;	personal circumstance
	remission, requires periodic	series of email barrages. Disguising her	premediated; sustained; particularly harmful	must serve the terms o
	review.	identity using multiple personae and	and she effectively terrorised the victims.	justify any moderation
	Due encieting and is a smaller in	email addresses she sent them hundreds		was sustained over a lo
	Pre-existing cardiac arrythmia;	of emails using various invented	The sentencing judge found cts 1 and 2 'grave	marked by a cruel and
	not requiring treatment.	narratives, frequently using highly	offences that fell at the top end of the range of	her victims. Such calcu
		obscene language. The emails included	seriousness of offences of their kind; the	appropriately lengthy s
		threats of sexual violence, death threats	offending was prolonged; extremely	must expect substantia
		and details about their daily lives, leading them to believe they were being	distressing to the victims and involved threats of sexual and other violence; some of the	
		watched and that their daughter, R, was	emails were the 'most offensive kind' and the	
		at real risk of serious physical harm.	appellant went to extraordinary lengths to	
		at real fisk of serious physical flarm.	create in RJ and A sense of helplessness.	
		Cts 3, 4 & 5	create in KJ and A sense of helplessness.	
		Siriphanuruk obtained digital images of	The sentencing judge described ct 6 as a	
		R and superimposed R's face onto the	particularly 'nasty' and 'depraved' offence;	
		images of a female engaged in sexual	cts $7 - 12$ were agg by the breadth of the	
		activity, creating composite	distribution of the composite images of CEM	
		pornographic images.	and it was difficult 'to image a more vicious	
		pomographie mages.	attempt to harm RJ's family'.	
		<u>Ct 6</u>		
		Siriphanuruk sent R an email attaching	High degree of psychological distress	
		three pornographic videos depicting	suffered by victims.	
		sexual activity among adults.		
		sensar activity among adatts.	No remorse or acceptance of responsibility.	
		Cts 7, 9-12		
		On five occasions Siriphanuruk emailed		
		the composite pornographic images of		
		R to various recipients.		
		She also sent a number of emails that		
		included links to websites to which she		

es were very serious – indeed, close to being ng within the worst category. ...

e seriousness of her conduct in committing cts 1 ole that it could have attracted anything but a term mmediately.

the individual sentences imposed ... could have been manifestly excessive.

ppellant's overall offending involved a high level e offending occurred over an extended period of e terrorisation of three member of one family,

nothing in the appellant's conduct or in her ces, including her ill health and the fact that she s of imp away from her children, which would on of general deterrence. The appellant's conduct a long period, motivated by greed and revenge, and nd callous disregard of the rights and interests of lculated offending must be denounced by an y sentence, and those who choose to engage in it tial punishment.

pornographic images. One of the recipients included an email address associated with R's schoolCt 8 In an email sent to RJ and A, purportedly by a woman with whom RJ had once been in a relationship, Siriphanuruk demanded US\$10,000. In the email she threatened to distribute the CEM images she had created of R and harm her if the demand was not met.	cultons
for	totic Prose
officeofthe Direct	