Aggravated burglary

Glossary:

	Aggravated burglary Residential properties (excluding home invasions) s 401 Criminal Code
	From 1 January 2021
<u>Glossary:</u> agg att	aggravated attempted assault occasioning bodily harm burglary community based order conditional suspended imprisonment order concurrent cumulative count
AOBH burg	assault occasioning bodily harm burglary
CBO CSIO	community based order
conc	conditional suspended imprisonment order concurrent
cum ct	concurrent cumulative count eligible for parole imprisonment plead guilty total effective sentence
EFP	eligible for parole imprisonment
imp PG	plead guilty
TES VRO/RO	total effective sentence violence restraining order/restraining order
wiss	with intent to sell or supply
YCRO	Youth Conditional Release Order

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	
14.	The State of	24 yrs at time offending (IND	<u>IND 815</u>	IND 815	Appeal allowed.
	Western Australia	815).			
	v Tawhitapou	26 yrs at time offending (IND 92).	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 8 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned fin
	-	27 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Stealing.	Ct 2: 4 mths imp (conc).	sentencing.
	[2024] WASCA 25		Ct 3: Agg burg.	Ct 3: 6 mths imp (conc).	C
		Convicted after PG (20%			Resentenced:
	Delivered	discount)	<u>IND 92</u>	IND 92	
	15/03/2024				15% discount.
		Criminal history; mostly minor	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (conc).	
		and traffic offences.	Ct 2: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 2: 2 yrs 2 mths (HS).	IND 815
			Ct 3: Agg robbery.	Ct 3: 14 mths imp (cum).	
		Born in NZ; permanent resident		ct 3. 1 + mais mp (cum).	Ct 1: 2 yrs 4 mths in
		status; arrived in Australia at 14	IND 815	TES: 4 yrs imp.	Ct 2: No penalty.
		yrs old; moved to WA when he		TES. 4 yrs mp.	Ct 3: 20 mths imp (c
		was 22 yrs old.	The respondent entered through the	EFP.	Ct 5. 20 muis mp (C
		was 22 yrs old.	front door of SWS's home and stole		IND 92
		Depents concreted when he was		The contensing judge emeneously referred to	<u>IND 92</u>
		Parents separated when he was	various items from the living room the	The sentencing judge erroneously referred to	Ct 1, 2 4 the in
		11; father abused alcohol and	kitchen and the study. SWS was at	the offending the subject of ct 1 as occurring	Ct 1: 2 yrs 4 mths in $Ct = 2$
		normalised domestic violence;	home when the offence was committed.	when the victims were not home.	Ct 2: 4 yrs 10 mths (
		grandparents raised him for some	The total value of the property stolen		Ct 3: 2 yrs 2 mths in
		time before moving to Australia.	was about \$650 (cts 1 and 2).	The sentencing judge found there was limited	
				evidence of remorse, apart from the pleas of	TES: 7 yrs imp.
		Attended boarding school; bullied	During the same night the respondent	guilty. However, the respondent was still	
		by students; completed high	burgled another home in an adjacent	relatively young and had taken some positive	EFP.
		school in Queensland.	suburb. The respondent and a co-	steps towards rehabilitation.	
			offender entered CS's premises by a		At [58] 'the prose
		Worked as a telecommunications	gate and unsuccessfully attempted to	Offending had significant impact on EEC and	erroneously transcrib
		technician, trades assistance and	enter the house through an exterior	BG. EEC has been prescribed a high dose of	offence" Howeve
		scaffolder.	bedroom door. The respondent and the	antidepressant medication; resulted in the	the erroneous transc
			co-offender stole two cans of soft drink	need for psychotherapy. BG has experienced	offending.'
		Alcohol and cannabis use from	from a refrigerator in an undercover	depression, and the offending has exacerbated	
		early age, increased consumption	alfresco area (ct 3).	his bipolar disorder.	At [72] 'in the prese
		of substances prior to offending.			a whole, was very se
			<u>IND 92</u>		subject of the counts
		On and off again relationship; one			respondent's offend
		child from that relationship.	EEC answered a knock at the front door		In additionthe res
			of her house. As she opened the door,		AMT while he was
		Depression and anxiety.	the respondent grabbed the flyscreen		
			door and swung it open. The respondent		At [73] 'denunciatio
			punched EEC to the mouth, then		general deterrence w
			punched her again and grabbed her by		
			the throat. He then put EEC in a		At [81] 'the total of
			headlock and dragged her along the		imprisonment was n
			hallway (ct 1).		respondent's offendi
			BG heard the commotion and came to		At [82] 'we consider
			EEC's aid. BG and the respondent		from the perspective
			grappled, and a co-offender with a knife		(b) the facts and circ
			entered the house. BG ran towards the		(c) the vulnerability
					sentences for the off
			co-offender and attempted to push him out the front door. BG and the co-		
			out the front door. BG and the co-		denunciation and per

Appeal

irst limb of totality principle and factual error in

np (conc)

conc).

np (conc). (HS). np (cum).

ecutor's reading of the material facts was ibed as "[t]he victim wasn't home at the time of the er, his Honour found (presumably in reliance upon cription) that SWS was not at home at the time of

ent case, the respondent's offending, considered as berious. In particular, the respondent's offending the is in IND 92 was egregious. The gravity of the ling the subject of the counts in IND 92 is obvious. spondent committed the aggravated robbery against on bail for the other offences.'

on of the respondent's criminality and personal and were important sentencing considerations.'

effective sentence of 4 years' immediate not commensurate with the seriousness of the ling considered as a whole.'

er that, when the total effective sentence is viewed e of: (a) the maximum penalties for the offences; roumstances of the offences considered as a whole; v of the complainants; (d) the general pattern of fences in question; (e) the importance of ersonal and general deterrence; and (f) all other

			offender wrestled for control of the		relevant sentencing fac
			knife, and the co-offender pushed the knife into BG.		merely lenient or at th
			The respondent grabbed BG around the neck and pulled him away from the co- offender. The respondent and the co- offender kicked BG to the head. The respondent then lay on top of BG and held his shoulders, shaking him and		At [83] 'the total effect sentence that was open sentencing discretion."
			hitting his head on the ground.		
			EEC saw the assault, and went inside to call the police. The co-offender forced his way into the house, held the knife towards EEC and demanded money. EEC gave the co-offender \$200 in cash. (ct 2).	Prosec Rtosec	
			Whilst on bail for the above offending, the respondent encountered AMT at a carpark shopping centre. The respondent and a co-offender bumped into AMT, then chased him as he began	E PUIDILE	
			to walk away. The respondent punched AMT to his face, causing him to drop his wallet. The co-offender took the wallet. As the co-offender began to punch AMT, the respondent told him to		
			'get the phone'. The co-offender grabbed AMT's mobile phone and ran to his vehicle; the respondent struck AMT several more times and grabbed		
			AMT's other mobile phone (ct 3).		
13.	Goddard v The	33 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Steal MV.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (cum).	Appeal dismissed (lear
	State of Western Australia	34 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after PG (25%	Ct 2: Agg burg. Ct 3: Stealing. Ct 4: Agg burg.	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (cum). Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 3 yrs imp (cum; HS).	Appeal concerned the
	[2023] WASCA 164	discount).	Ct 5: Stealing. Ct 6: Att agg burg.	Ct 5: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 6: 12 mths (cum).	At [25] 'while it is true actually confront the v
	Delivered 28/11/2023	Significant criminal history; all offences dealt with in Magistrates Court; multiple convictions of	<u>Ct 1</u>	TES: 6 yrs imp.	The appellant's action inherent in the conduc committed by the appe
		driving without a licence; multiple convictions for steal MV and other dishonesty offences.	The appellant and co-offender attended the victim's residence. They then entered his parked vehicle, and drove	EFP. Sentencing judge did not make a finding of	insecurity and vulnera are committed at night
		Born in Perth; positive	off in it.	remorse, but accepted the appellant had expressed a level of victim empathy.	At [26] 'it is well reco be firmed up.'

factors...the total effective sentence was not the lower end of the available range.'

fective sentence was substantially less than the ben to his Honour on a proper exercise of his n.'

eave refused).

ne first limb of the totality principle.

rue that the appellant did not damage the houses or e victims, these circumstances are not mitigating. ons gave rise to the risk of confrontation, which is uct he engaged in. Offences such as those opellant engender in victims senses of fear, erability, which are heightened when the offences ght while they are asleep.'

cognised that sentences for home burglary need to

		upbringing; parents and sister are	Cts 2 and 3		
		supportive; had two significant		No specific findings of the appellant's	At [29] 'while all of th
		relationships with a daughter who	The appellant (alone) attended a house	prospects of rehabilitation.	could easily be consid
		was 8 yrs at time of sentencing.	and gained access through an unlocked		some accumulation wa
			laundry door. Once inside, the appellant	Sentencing judge had express regard to	appellant's overall crin
		Completed yr 10; found school	stole a briefcase, laptop, and wallet.	totality principle, reducing cts 1, 2, and 6 for	relevant sentencing fa
		difficult due to ADHD and		reasons of totality.	imprisonment was a p
		dyslexia; unemployed at time of	<u>Cts 4 and 5</u>		criminality.'
		offending; had previously worked			
		for 8 yrs as a ceiling fixer.	The appellant and co-offender entered a		
			home through an unlocked door. The		
		Long standing addiction to	offenders stole various items to the	C	
		methylamphetamine; drug use	value of \$3,600.		
		since age of 15; completed			
		counselling to address substance	<u>Ct 6</u>		
		misuse; expressed desire to			
		engage in further intervention.	The appellant (alone) attended another	to the Prosect	
			residence with the intention of stealing	• C , *	
			property. The appellant woke the victim		
			whilst trying to force open a pair of		
			large French doors, resulting in the		
			victim turning on the outside lights. The appellant fled on foot.		
			appendit fied on toot.	\mathbf{x}	
2.	Thornley v The	32-33 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 18 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refus
	State of Western	34 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Stealing.	Ct 2: No penalty.	
	Australia		Ct 4: Receiving.	Ct 4: 10 mths 16 days imp (cum).	Appeal concerned part
		Convicted after PG.			
	[2023] WASCA		The complainant and his wife owned a	TES 2 yrs 4 mths 16 days imp.	At [48] We are satisfie
	107	Short criminal history; prior drug	high-value dwelling. They lived		sentence and that impo
		offending, including poss of a	overseas so employed caretakers to	Cum with sentence of 4 yrs 6 mths imp	principle or the princip
	Delivered	trafficable quantity of methyl	pack the furniture and the contents of	already serving.	a sufficient, even gene
	13/07/2023	wiss.	the property prior to the home's	TES 6 yrs 10 mths 16 days imp	
		Dements still together femily	renovation. Some antique furniture was placed in one of the main rooms of the	TES 6 yrs 10 mths 16 days imp.	$\Lambda \in [56]$ The encoded
		Parents still together; family	1	EFP.	At [56] The appella
		supportive.	home.	LFF.	Beynon, took advanta
		Regular employment history;	From time to time the caretakers would	Co-offender Beynon sentenced to a TES 3 yrs	unoccupied and common the house, which re
		small business operator.	check the premises, which were	imp.	valuable property
		sman business operator.	secured, including by locked gates.	b.	and Mr Beynon are pr
		Long-time user of methyl; using	Sterio, including by locked gues.	The sentencing judge found the offending 'a	sentences for this kind
		approx 1 g of methyl a day;	In the early hrs of the morning Thornley	serious premediated and sophisticated course	imposed upon the app
		spending \$3,000 a wk on the	and his co-offender Beynon entered the	of conduct'.	modest.
		drug; significant daily use of	home without the consent of the		
		methyl coincided with significant	owners. They removed from the	Steps undertaken to address drug addiction	At [58] The appellant
		escalation in seriousness of his	property numerous items, including	while in custody.	the overall TES ultimation
		offending.	furniture, household effects and wine.	-	of the totality principle
			A short time later Thornley and Beynon		
			were seen by police driving in separate		
	1		, see seen by ponce unving in separate		L

the offences were committed within hours...and idered a "spree", the appellant's counsel accepted was necessary in order to properly reflect the triminality. In our opinion, having regard to all factors, a total effective sentence of 6 years' proper reflection of the appellant's overall

fused).

arity and totality principle.

fied that the disparity between the appellant's posed on Mr Beynon did not infringe the parity ciple of equal justice. The disparity was objectively nerous, reflection of their different circumstances.

ellant, while on bail and in company with Mr tage of the fact that the complainant's home was mitted a premediated and well-organised burglary resulted in the theft of a substantial amount of ... Offences of the kind committed by the appellant prevalent. This court has stated many times that nd of offending must be firmed up. ... The TES opellant ... for the offences ... was, on any view,

nt has fallen a long way short of demonstrating that mately imposed upon him infringed the first limb ple. ...

		Rebellious; placed into care aged 13 yrs; absconded. Did not enjoy school; left yr 9; later completed yr 12; moved frequently; attending numerous	At the time of the offending Beekman was also the subject of a bail undertaking with the condition he not contact or att to contact the victim. During the night Beekman went to the	the victim's vulnerability to commit the offences; the offences were not isolated, but were part of a history of criminal behaviour towards the victim for some yrs, resulting in her being constantly fearful of him; the offences occurred in breach of both a family	At [49] the community 11(1) of the <i>Sentencia</i> sentencing the appell law principle and s 1 be served cum upon the At [56] It was sign
	Delivered 06/10/2022	Parents separated aged 18 mths; resided with his mother until aged 11 yrs; dysfunctional relationship with mother and stepfather.	constant fear of him. Several mths prior to the offending Beekman had been served with a family VRO protecting the victim.	The sentencing judge found the appellant's offending behaviour unprovoked; he broke into the home knowing that his behaviour would frighten the victim and cause her great fear; the victim was vulnerable in that she was aged 68 yrs and lived alone; he relied upon	Ct 1: 4 yrs 6 mths im Ct 2: No penalty. EFP.
1	130	Substantial prior criminal history.	a result of his behaviour towards her over a number of yrs she lived in	EFP.	Resentenced (15% di
	Australia [2022] WASCA	Convicted after PG (20% discount).	The victim, aged 68 yrs, was Beekman's mother. She lived alone. As	TES 4 yrs 6 mths imp.	Appeal concerned tot Sentencing Act 1995
11.	Beekman v The State of Western	40 yrs at time offending.41 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Agg burg. Ct 2: Criminal damage.	Ct 1: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 1: 12 mths imp (cum).	Allowed (but resente
			The following day a search of Beynon's home recovered further items belonging to the complainant, including crockery and linen.		
			A search of Thornley's home located a number of items, including several large items of furniture, that had been stolen from the complainant's house.	SYV	
			The burglary at the complainant's home was not discovered for some wks. Fingerprints, identified as belonging to Thornley and Beynon, were found inside the house.	1011C Pr	
			Thornley was captured a number of times on CCTV at his home address unloading property from his vehicle. The property was stolen from the complainant's house.	- CSECT	
			About one mth later, Beynon att to sell a chest on Gumtree. The chest had been stolen from the property and was of significant value.		.005
			vehicles. The vehicles were stopped and searched and a number of items were observed in each vehicle. Both were allowed to continue on their way.		

ntenced to same TES).

totality principle and error in sentencing (s 11 95 (WA)).

discount):

mp.

nmon law principle against double punishment and s *ncing Act* precluded her Honour from punishing or bellant for ct 2. Her Honour infringed the common s 11(1) by ordering the individual sentences for ct 2 on the individual sentence for ct 1.

ignificantly aggravating that the appellant's

	 schools; regularly truanted and in trouble. Reasonable work history; periods of unemployment; more than one occasion employment terminated as a result of illicit drug use. A few intimate relationships; relationship difficulties due to illicit substance use. Good physical health; mental health issues. History of illicit substance abuse; including heroin; prescription medication and alcohol; drug use 	 victim's home. He thumped loudly and aggressively on a window. He then climbed onto the roof and walked around on the roof. He then beat loudly on the outside of the victim's door, smashed a window and entered the premises. The victim ran in fear out of her unit and to a neighbour's house. Inside the unit Beekman damaged the home and its contents. He smashed and broke property for about 15 min until police arrived. 	VRO and the bail undertaking. The sentencing judge found the value of the damage was not insignificant. Belated expression of empathy.	offending was commit protective bail condition At [57] The appellant? very serious
10.The State of Western Australia v Krakouer[2022] WASCA 118Delivered 06/09/2022	 remains a significant issue. 32 yrs at time offending. 33 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after PG (20% discount). Very long criminal history; on bail for burglary offences time of offending. Aboriginal; born to young alcoholic mother; methyl-addicted father; raised by maternal grandmother. Left school year 9. No history of employment or job training. Stable relationship at time of sentencing; five children from prior relationships; no contact with his children. Long history of substance abuse; using drugs daily; no serious or enduring mental illness. 	Ct 1: Agg burg. Ct 2: AOBH. Ct 3: Dep lib. Early in the morning Krakouer entered the victim's home. Her partner had just left for work and she and her infant son were still asleep Inside the house Krakouer took poss of a knife, a baseball bat and a pair of scissors. He also put on the victim's hooded dressing gown. Awoken by her son crying the victim went into the kitchen. Krakouer appeared from behind the bench top and tackled her to the floor, causing her to bang the back of her head. When she screamed he placed a hand across her mouth and told her to stop. Once she stopped screaming he let her attend to her infant son. Krakouer told the victim she was going to drive him around to help him find his partner. She obliged out of fear.	Ct 1: 2 yrs 8 mths (cum). Ct 2: No penalty. Ct 3: 1 yr 2 mths (cum). TES 3 yrs 10 mths imp EFP. The sentencing judge noted the respondent was a repeat offender for the purposes of s 401(4) of the <i>Criminal Code</i> . The sentencing judge found the offending persistent and committed over an extended period of time; the respondent was armed with three weapons; he confronted the victim with his face covered; he assaulted the victim; a child was present and he continued with the offending even after he was aware she was caring for her infant son. Offending severe psychological impact on the victim; diagnosed with PTSD and prescribed medication. Remorseful and accepting of responsibility; completed six-wk rehabilitation program in custody.	 Allowed. Appeal concerned lengtotality principle. Resentenced (20% dissection of the sentenced (20% dissection of the length of t

nitted in breach of a family VRO and in breach of itions. ...

nt's offending in relation to ct 1 was undoubtedly

ength of individual sentences cts 1 and 3 and

liscount):

ne burglary offence charged in ct 1 was far from egory of offending. The sentence imposed by the fails to reflect the position of the respondent's ge between the least serious category of offending bry of offending.

nce ... for ct 1 is unreasonable or plainly unjust. by a significant measure to reflect the criminality nding ... the individual sentence imposed for ct 1 equate ...

d note that the TES ... fails, in our view, to reflect he agg home burglary offence considered alone. ...

			within the house and placed them into a		
			bag, which he placed in the car.		
			Krakouer then directed the victim to		
			drive him to various locations in the		
			metropolitan area. He eventually got out		
			of the car, apologising to the victim		Ġ
			before walking off with the bag of items		
			he had taken from the house.		• • • •
9.	Houlahan v The	21 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Steal MV.	Ct 1: 12 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave ref
	State of Western	23 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Fraud.	Ct 2: 6 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia		Ct 7: Agg burg.	Ct 7: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned le
		Convicted after very late PG (cts	Ct 8: Steal MV.	Ct 8: 15 mths imp (conc).	totality principle.
	[2022] WASCA 85		Ct 9: Reckless driving.	Ct 9: 18 mths imp (cum).	
1		Convicted after trial (cts 7-9).			At [35] As to the sen
	Delivered		All offences committed over a period of	TES 5 yrs imp.	relevant circumstanc
	19/07/2022	Very lengthy unenviable criminal	15 days.		modest amount [he]
		history; frequently in detention or		EFP.	manifestly excessive
		imprisoned since aged 14 yrs.	During a burglary, the victim's motor		obtained by fraud to
			vehicle was stolen. It was not alleged	MDL disq for life.	
		Dysfunctional upbringing; parents	Houlahan had taken part in the burglary.		At [36] As to the sen
		separated aged 7 yrs; raised by	However, he drove the vehicle and put	The sentencing judge found the appellant's	appellant substantial
		mother; tumultuous relationship	fuel in the vehicle, paying using the	offending 'very serious'; he drove on	the offence lasted be
		with father; exposed to alcohol	victim's debit card. The vehicle was	suburban streets, often at extreme speeds,	determined and susta
		and illicit drugs young age;	later found damaged. A forensic	posing a very real danger to others and	car and at one point l
		antisocial behaviours and	examination located Houlahan's DNA	showing a total disregard for other road users;	drove with extreme s
		associations.	on the steering wheel. The cost to repair	the agg home burglary was particularly	a manner which put t
			the vehicle was \$2,310.	serious, it occurred at night when people were	jeopardy. The driving
		Mother and sister supportive.		in the house.	others
			In the early hrs of the morning the		
		Educated to yr 9.	victim and his family were asleep in	The sentencing judge found the appellant had	At [44] In the presen
			their home. Houlahan broke into the	a continuing and entrenched disobedience of	some of the sentence
		Introduced to methyl aged 13 yrs.	house through a window. He used a pair	the law in very serious ways; nothing to	criminality which en
			of socks as gloves. Inside the home he	indicate on the path to rehabilitation.	incidents committed
			stole items of property, including the	Einen deller and energian energianes and	appropriate reflection
			keys to a motor vehicle. He then drove	Financial loss and great inconvenience caused	
			the vehicle from the premises.	to victims.	
			That some morning Houlehon and post		
			That same morning Houlahan sped past		
			an unmarked police car, who activated		
		C	the car's lights to pull him over. He did		
			not stop. When police activated both		
			lights and sirens, he accelerated away		
			from the pursuing police car. He drove in excess of 45 km p/hr over the speed		
			limit in order to evade the police. At		
			certain points he reached speeds of		
			between 155 km p/h and 160 km p/hr.		
			He also drove through a number of		
			major intersections at high speed and on		
	I		major mersections at mgn speed and on	1	l

efused).

length of individual sentences cts 1, 2 & 9 and

entence imposed on ct 2, having regard to all of the nces, including the appellant's PG, ... and the e] defrauded, the sentence of ... imp was not ve, bearing in mind that [he] used the petrol he to enable him to continue driving the stolen vehicle.

entence imposed on ct 9, the submissions of the ally understate the seriousness of the offence. While between six and 10 min, it involved a very stained att to evade arrest. He was driving a stolen at had a passenger in the vehicle. In doing so [he] e speed on a major highway and suburban streets in at the lives and safety of other road users in ing involved a selfish disregard for the safety of

ent case, her Honour was correct to accumulate ces to properly reflect the appellant's overall encompassed five distinct offences in two separate ed over a 15-day period. ... The TES was an ion of the appellant's overall criminality, ...

		1		[1
			the incorrect side of the road. Police		
			deployed a stinger device, which		
			Houlahan deliberately evaded.		
			At one point Houlahan stopped to let a		
			passenger out of the vehicle.		
			pussenger out of the veniere.		Ġ
			Eventually the vehicle came to rest		
			against a tree. Houlahan ran from the		
			vehicle and hid. He was eventually		
			located by police.		
8.	Harris v The State	22 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp (conc).	Dismissed (leave refu
	of Western	26 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Agg sex pen.	Ct 2: 16 yrs imp (conc).	
	Australia				Appeal concerned ler
		Convicted after trial; on pre-	In the early hrs of the morning Harris	TES 16 yrs imp.	
	[2022] WASCA 84	sentence order at time offending.	unlocked a security screen and gained		At [39] We do not ac
	_		entry to a house, occupied by L, and his	EFP.	offence and the circu
	Delivered	Lengthy criminal history.	partner, E.	× ×	a case in the least ser
	15/07/2022			The trial judge found the offending	
		Aboriginal; traumatic childhood;	L was asleep, naked, on the couch. E	spontaneous or opportunistic behaviour that	At [40] Adding to
		dysfunctional upbringing;	was asleep in a bedroom.	took place over a short period of time.	vulnerability of L, wl
		profound childhood deprivation;	1		the act of penetration
		born while mother incarcerated;	Harris knelt next to the couch on which	The trial judge found the offending as	to be fleeting and res
		father frequently in prison; raised	L was sleeping. He took L's penis and	'towards the lower end of the scale for agg	humiliation for L. Th
		by grandmother and sister;	performed fellatio on him. L presumed	sex pen without consent', but not at the	apprehension, struck
		exposed to alcohol abuse and	it was his partner.	lowest level having regard to the agg factors.	injury. Compared to
		family violence.	. r		circumstances of the
			When L opened his eyes and saw Harris	Genuinely remorseful; high risk of future sex	the lowest end of the
		Death of grandmother aged 13 yrs	he punched him in the face. Harris said	reoffending.	
		had significant impact on him;	sorry, then ran for the door. L wrestled		At [42] In our opi
		time in care of DCP.	with Harris and tried to detain him.		sentence of 16 yrs' in
			Harris picked up a torch and struck L in		j
		Left home aged 18 yrs; resided	the head, causing a small laceration		
		with cousin who took own life;	which bled. After a short scuffle Harris		
		blamed for death.	left the premises.		
		Attended school to yr 10; some	Harris returned a few minutes later and		
		further education and training.	requested the return of his thongs.		
		Never employed.	At the time of the offending Harris was		
		1 2	under the influence of alcohol, drugs		
		Good physical health;	and solvents.		
		experienced depression, suicidal			
		thoughts; acts of self-harm.	7		
		History of alcohol and illicit drug			
		use; escalated following cousin's			
		death.			
7.	Jabbie v The State	22-23 yrs at time offending.	<u>IND 2405</u>	<u>IND 2405</u>	Dismissed (leave refu
	of Western	24 yrs at time sentencing.	$\overline{\text{Cts 4; 7 \& 12: Agg robbery.}}$	$\overline{\text{Ct 4: 2 yrs 3 mths imp (conc)}}$.	· ·

efused).

length of sentence ct 2.

accept the submission that, when the nature of the cumstances of the appellant are considered, ct 2 was serious category.

to the seriousness of the offending was the who was naked and asleep in his own home. While on was relatively brief in time, it could not be said esulted in L ejaculating. The offence caused The appellant, in an attempt to thwart his ek L in the head with the ... torch causing a minor o other offences of its type, the objective facts and he offending could not reasonably be said to be at he scale of seriousness.

ppinion it is not reasonably arguable that the imp was manifestly excessive.

efused).

Australia		Cts 5 & 11: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (head).	Appeal concerned le
	<u>IND 2405</u>	Cts 8 & 10: Agg burglary.	Ct 7: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	principle and error ir
[2022] WASCA 10		Cts 9; 14-15: Stealing.	Ct 8: 2 yrs 2 mths imp (conc).	
	9 and 11-16 (18% discount).	Ct 13: Steal MV.	Ct 9: 1 yr 8 mths imp (conc).	At [73]-[74] Ct 5 inv
Delivered	Convicted after very late PG – cts	Ct 16: Att agg burglary.	Ct 10: 2 yrs imp (conc).	a weapon, while the
09/02/2022	5 and 10 (15% discount).		Ct 11: 3 yrs 4 mths imp (conc).	the victim in the face
	<u>IND 1443</u>	<u>IND 1443</u>	Ct 12: 3 yrs imp (conc).	endangering the vict
	Convicted after early PG (25%	Ct 1: Wilful damage by fire.	Ct 13: 1 yr 6 mths imp (conc).	providing a service t
	discount).		Ct 14: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	attack while he was
		<u>IND 2405</u>	Ct 15: No further punishment.	enduring effects on t
	Extensive criminal history;	<u>Ct 4</u>	Ct 16: 1 yr's imp (conc).	depression the se
	including offences of violence and	Jabbie approached the victim walking	C	the range of sentence
	dishonesty.	down the street. Without warning he hit	<u>IND 1443</u>	discretion
		the victim around the head, causing him	Ct 1: 1 yr's imp (cum).	
	Disadvantaged and difficult	to fall to the ground. He further		At [75]-[76] Ct 7 inv
	upbringing; born Liberia; only	assaulted the victim. Jabbie stole the	TES 8 yrs 6 mths imp.	The appellant punch
	child; parents separated when	victim's mobile phone, headphones and		unconscious. Again,
	young; largely raised by	wallet.	EFP.	The appellant stole a
	grandparents.			appellant's offending
		<u>Ct 5</u>	The sentencing judge found the appellant's	financial consequence
	Came to Australia to live with his	Two days later, the victim, an Uber	overall offending 'very serious; given the	on ct 7 is well within
	father; arriving via refugee camp;	driver, agreed to drive Jabbie and three	number of victims, some of whom were	exercise of the senter
	troubled relationship with	other males. Jabbie was in the front seat	elderly, and the ongoing consequences for the	
	stepmother; offended against his	when he sprayed the victim in the face	victims.	At [80] The appellan
	stepsister; removed from the	with an unknown substance as he was		different victims. He
	family home by Department of	driving. The victim, in pain, stopped his	The sentencing judge found the offending the	and 12, many of who
	Communities until aged 17 yrs.	vehicle, got out and ran away, before	subject of IND 1332 was serious because of	from the attack
		falling. Jabbie went up to the victim, 📉	the risk of harm to others at the prison. The	
	Poorly educated; limited	searched his pockets and took his wallet	risk of serious injury or death caused by fire	At [81] Given the su
	employment opportunities; some	and a sum of money. Jabbie then tried	was considerably increased within the	[IND 2405], accumu
	salesperson and gardening work.	to leave in the victim's vehicle, but he	confines of the prison due to the significantly	to reflect the serious
		could not start it. The victim required	delayed ability to escape the area's security	sentence on the offer
	Two young sons from former	treatment for his injuries.	mechanisms.	appropriate, given th
	relationship; relationship marred			while the appellant v
	by violence; no contact with his	<u>Ct 7</u>	Appellant remorseful; some insight into his	
	children for over two yrs.	About nine days later the victim, aged	offending; high risk of reoffending.	At [82] In our view,
		65 yrs, collected Jabbie and a female in		the sentencing judge
	Diagnosed with depression aged	his taxi. When he was unable to pay the		
	19 yrs.	fare at the end of the journey the victim		
		told him he would return them to where		
	Commenced alcohol and cannabis	he had picked them up. Jabbie became		
	use aged 13 yrs; methyl aged 17	aggressive and punched the victim. He		
	yrs.	instructed the victim to stop the car.		
		When he did so Jabbie continued		
		kicking and punching him. The victim		
		lost balance and was rendered		
		unconscious.		
		Jabbie then removed \$2,700 in cash		
		from the victim's pocket. The victim		

engths of individual sentences cts 5 and 7; totality n sentencing commencement date.

volved a violent attack on a rideshare driver, using appellant was in company. The appellant sprayed e while the victim was driving, thereby tim and members of the public. The victim was to the public. He was vulnerable to an unexpected driving. The offending has had profound and the victim, who has suffered PTSD and suicidal entence of 4 yrs imp on ct 5 is comfortably within es available on a proper exercise of the sentencing

volved a violent attack on a 65-yr-old taxi driver. ned and kicked the victim, rendering him , the victim was providing a service to the public. a large sum of money ... from the victim. The g has had significant medical, psychological and ces on the victim, ... the sentence of 3 yrs 6 mths in the range of sentences available on a proper encing discretion. ...

tt's offending caused serious harm to a number of e violently attacked the victims of cts 4, 5, 7, 11 om continue to suffer significant adverse effects

abstantial number of serious offences the subject of alation, to some substantial degree, was necessary sness of the offending. ... Accumulation of the nce the subject of [IND 1443] was necessary and nat the offence was serious and was committed was a sentenced prisoner.

the TES ... was well within the proper exercise of 2's discretion.

	was hospitalised due to his injuries.		
	<u>Ct 8</u> Several days later Jabbie and a co- offender entered a house and stole a number of items valued at \$1,170. While inside the house the victim and her daughter returned. Jabbie tried to hide before fleeing.		.01 ^S
	<u>Ct 9</u> After fleeing the home the subject of ct 8 Jabbie jumped a fence into the backyard of the neighbouring home. He stole two cans of soft drink from a fridge in a side room. He fled when the occupants returned home.	Prosect	
	<u>Cts 10 and 11</u> That same day Jabbie entered the garage of the victim, aged 77 yrs, with the intention of stealing his car. The victim went to investigate the noise and was confronted by Jabbie, who sprayed him with a fire extinguisher. Jabbie then tried to enter the house to find the car keys, however the victim pushed him back and closed the door. Jabbie then fled.	orofPuloin	
	<u>Cts 12 and 13</u> The next day Jabbie approached the victim's vehicle. The victim, aged 64 yrs, had just finished work and gotten into his car. Jabbie elbowed the driver's window, smashing it completely. The victim sustained a large cut to his arm.		
0	Jabbie took the keys to the vehicle. The victim got out of the car and an altercation ensured. After the fighting stopped Jabbie took the car keys and demanded property from the victim. The victim said he did not have anything and asked for his keys back. Jabbie refused and left on foot, taking the car keys with him.		
	The victim walked to his place of work. Jabbie then went inside and confronted him again. This time demanding his		

		watch. After a brief altercation he stole the victim's watch. The victim's employer intervened and asked Jabbie to return the victim's belongings, but he refused and left in the victim's vehicle.		
		<u>Cts 14 and 15</u> Later that same day Jabbie smashed a window of the victim's residential unit. He stole jewellery, including family heirlooms of sentimental value, with a value estimated at about \$30,000. Some of the jewellery was recovered, but a large amount remains outstanding.	Sect	tions
		<u>Ct 16</u> The following day Jabbie attempted to gain access to the victim's house by kicking in the door. The victim heard the noise and saw Jabbie on a CCTV camera and called the police. Jabbie left and did not gain access to the house.	outothe Proc	
		<u>IND 1443</u> While incarcerated Jabbie put a sheet over a device he had set up through an electrical socket in his cell. The sheet ignited and the fire spread to the mattress before being extinguished. The fire caused around \$2,000 of damage.	oroft	
Brooks v The State of Western	39 yrs at time sentencing.	Indictment -Supreme Ct 1: Agg armed robbery.	Indictment – Supreme Ct 1: 4 yrs 4 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refus
Australia	Indictment -Supreme Convicted after trial.	Ct 2: Armed so as to cause terror.	Ct 2: 9 mths imp (cum).	Indictment - Supreme Appeal concerned leng
[2021] WASCA 156	Magistrates Court Convicted after PG (20%	Magistrate Court Offending comprised 19 offences on various dates, including breaches of	TES 5 yrs 1 mth imp (cum on sentence imposed by Supreme Court). EFP.	Magistrate Court Appeal concerned total
Delivered 03/09/2021	discount).	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm, no authority to drive, trespass, burglary	Magistrate Court	charges to not be dealt
	Indictment - District	and stealing.	TES 1 yr 3 mths imp.	Indictment - District
	Convicted after late PG (15% discount).	Magistrate Court appeal commenced in	EFP.	Appeal concern error in effect of accumulated s
		Supreme Court referred to Court of	Indictment - District	(plea discount).
	Lengthy criminal history;	Appeal.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc).	
	including interstate offending.		Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	At [54] The Supreme C
		Indictment – District	Ct 3: 15 mths imp (conc).	appellant only for two
	$T_{1} = 1^{1} + 1^{1$			
	Traumatic childhood; experienced	Cts 1 & 3: Criminal damage.	Ct 4: 15 mths imp (conc).	order a degree of accur
	Traumatic childhood; experienced death of older sister when he was aged 6 yrs; mother a yr later.	Cts 1 & 3: Criminal damage. Cts 2 & 4: Stealing. Cts 5-6: Poss stolen or unlawfully	Ct 4: 15 mtns imp (conc). Ct 5: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 6: 12 mths imp (conc).	mind that they involved

efused) – on papers.

me

length of sentence and totality principle.

totality principles and error (allowing summary ealt with by superior court).

ror in cum sentences; totality principle (crushing ated sentences from different jurisdictions) and error

me Court judge was called upon to sentence the two offences: ... It was well open to her Honour to ccumulation between [the] two offences, bearing in olved distinct criminality and had different victims.

rred in the District Court, mths after the Supreme

Lived with physically violent	Ct 7: Escaping lawful custody.	Ct 8: 14 mths imp (cum on Supreme Court	Court judge imposed
grandmother; subsequently lived	Cts 8 & 12: Robbery.	and Magistrates Court sentences).	to allege an infringem
with his father who was	Ct 9: Aiding a person to escape lawful	Ct 9: 6 mths imp (conc).	Supreme Court judge'
physically and emotionally	custody.	Ct 10: 3 mths imp (conc).	4. 50.01
abusive.	Ct 10: Assault public officer.	Ct 11: 3 mths imp (cum).	At [83] we are sati
	Ct 11: Assault with intent to rob.	Ct 12: 21 mths imp (cum).	the summary offences
Left school aged 13 yrs;	Ct 13: Burglary.	Ct 13: 15 mths imp (conc).	together, the overall d
commenced using drugs.	Ct 14: Agg Burglary.	Ct 14: 2 yrs imp (conc).	from the appellant's p
	Ct 15: Steal motor vehicle.	Ct 15: 9 mths imp (conc).	Court was acutely awa
Left home aged 15 yrs; reconciled			had already been impo
with his family aged 28 yrs.	Indictment – Supreme Court	Sentenced in the Supreme Court, District	imposed for the offend
	Brooks and a co-offender decided to rob	Court and the Magistrates Court for a total of	
Inconsistent early employment	a newsagency. With their faces covered	36 offences. The most serious offences, were	At [87]-[88] In our vie
history; trade work late twenties;	and each carrying a knife they rushed	committed in a period of about three wks. The	the subject of his sente
self-employed roof plumber early	into the newsagency.	result of the three sentencing exercises:	extent that demanded
thirties.			Supreme Court to a no
	The co-offender shouted at the woman	TES 9 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP.	arguable that the sente
2 yr relationship at time	working behind the counter to give him		a result that was, in th
offending; young son together;	money. When the co-offender went	Indictment - Supreme	second limb of the tot
partner history of substance abuse	behind the counter the woman picked	The trial judge found the armed robbery	
and offending behaviour, reported	up a cricket bat, so he pushed the	objectively very serious; the offence was	At [117]-[119] The ap
to have made significant positive	woman with force, causing her to fall	planned; both offenders were armed and	offences. Several of th
changes in her lifestyle; partner	on the floor. He put the knife near her	disguised; they chose a vulnerable target and	have terrified or endar
and her parents supportive.	neck and repeated his demand for	threatened two vulnerable women, both	violence to escape from
Source sumptoms of anyiety	money.	shouting and screaming.	subject of cts $7 - 12$ o
Severe symptoms of anxiety, depression and stress; diagnosed	The woman's daughter heard her	The trial judge took into account time spent	required a TES substa District Court. As the
with PTSD.	mother's screams and began to	by the appellant on remand for the murder	serious offences in wh
with FISD.	telephone the police. Brooks screamed	charge and time already spent in protective	innocent members of
Entrenched drug use.	at her to put the phone away and	custody, and would in the future serve, for the	att of which was succe
Entrenened drug use.	pointed his knife at her, telling her that	current offending.	offending were also se
	he would stab her.	current orienting.	serious offences warra
	ne would stab her.	Letter of apology tendered; otherwise no	serious offences warre
	The co-offender grabbed the till drawer	demonstrated genuine remorse; not at a low	At [126] the [Distri
	and took about \$450 in cash before	risk of reoffending; reasonable prospects of	25% discount for the a
	running. Brooks pushed the daughter	rehabilitation; steps taken to become a better	judge to have done so
	off balance and followed.	father while on remand.	
	on surfice and followed.	runor while on remaind.	
	When Brooks was chased by two men,	Indictment – District	
	he stopped and threatened one of them	The sentencing judge found the appellant's	
	with his knife.	offending the subject of cts 1-4 serious and	
C		premediated acts of dishonesty; it would have	
	Brooks hid some items of clothing in an	been a terrifying experience for the victims of	
	att to avoid being caught. He was	cts 11 and 12, were ordinary members of the	
	arrested some wks later. He denied any	community going about their daily business;	
	involvement in the offence.	the offending necessitated a sentence that	
	in or or one in the oriente.	sufficiently denounced the appellant's	
	Indictment – District Court	conduct and provided appropriate personal	
	Brooks drove a stolen truck up to the	and general deterrence.	
	double gates of a business. After trying		
	abudic gates of a busiliess. After tryllig		I

ed sentence, does not (and cannot) provide any basis ement of either limb of the totality principle by the ge's sentence. ...

atisfied that there is no reason to suppose that, had ces, and the indictable offences all been dealt with l disposition would have been any more favourable s perspective. ... the sentencing judge in the District tware of, and carefully weighed, the sentences that nposed ... in determining what sentences should be ences dealt with in the District Court.

view, the appellant's offending conduct that was entence in the Magistrates Court was of a nature and ed a sentence that was cum on the sentence in the not insubstantial extent. ... Not is it reasonably ntences imposed by the Chief Magistrate produced the relevant sense, crushing, so as to infringe the totality principle. ...

appellant was sentenced in the District Court for 15 f them involved appalling offending that would dangered members of the public. Further, [he] used from legal custody. ... the appellant's offending the 2 of itself would ordinarily have justified and stantially higher than the TES ... imposed ... in the he judge observed, cts 11 and 12 were each very which the appellant used violence towards entirely of the public in an att to steal their cars, the second ccessful. ... Other elements of the appellant's o serious. ... the two home burglaries, ... were both urranting substantial terms of imp.

strict Court] judge did not err in failing to award a a appellant's PG. Indeed, it was not open to the so.

		to break the padlock to the gates with		
		bolt cutters, he att to smash through		
		them with the truck. The gates and the		
		linked chain fence were extensively		
		damaged (ct 1).		
		Brooks drove a stolen truck to the entry		S
		of a business. After cutting the lock to a		
		gate he drove to a parked caravan		
		valued at \$45,000 and hitched the	1	k
		caravan to the back of his vehicle. As he		
		drove away the chain snapped, so he	C	
		left, leaving the caravan behind (ct 2).		
		At a car wash Brooks, driving the same		
		stolen truck, reversed at speed into two		
		industrial vacuum units causing		
		\$29,358.20 in damage. He and his male		
		passenger then att unsuccessfully to		
		take one of the units. They left and		
		returned a short time later with a chisel		
		and hammer, which they used to		
		separate one of the units from its base.	c X	
		They then carried it to the truck and left		
		(cts 3 and 4).	O Y	
		Desire a breadance dia day have	× ~	
		During a burglary, a dinghy, boat		
		trailer, boat engine and a fuel jerry can		
		were stolen.		
		Brooks arranged to store a boat at a		
		rural property. The owner agreed and a		
		short time later he attended the property		
		with a boat, a boat motor and fuel jerry		
		can.		
		Some wks later a stealing offence		
		occurred. The stolen items included a		
		bobcat and trailer. The bobcat was fitted		
		with a GPS tracking device. The same		
		day Brooks attended the same rural		
	C	property with the stolen bobcat to store		
		it at the property. The bobcat was		
		tracked to its location and police were		
		alerted. A search of the property located		
		the stolen bobcat (cts 5 and 6).		
		Brooks was apprehended in connection		
		with an armed robbery (the Supreme		
		Court offence). He was conveyed to a		

			 police station and detained. His partner was also held in the same detention area. The two shouted at each other and became increasingly agitated. When an officer opened his cell door he grabbed the officer and during a struggle took the officer's swipe card. After freeing his partner he ran away (cts 7-10). After fleeing custody Brooks ran in front of a vehicle, opened the driver's door, grabbed hold of the driver and tried to forcibly remove her from the car. Fearing for herself and her passenger she accelerated away (ct 11). Brooks then got in the passenger seat of a stationary vehicle. He shouted at the driver to go and, fearing for his safety, he complied. He ignored the driver's request to get out and became more agitated. At a red light he told the driver to get out, which he did. Brooks threatened the driver if he called the police. The vehicle was later found extensively damaged (ct 12). Brooks gained entry to a home by smashing a sliding door. He cut the phone line and searched a bedroom. He left the premises by forcing open a rear window. No items were stolen (ct 13). On the same day Brooks broke into a different residence. The occupants were home at the time. Manipulating a locked door he entered the premises and stole an iPhone, a laptop and the keys to a vehicle. Using the car keys he stole the occupants vehicle. He was later seen by police driving the vehicle and failed to stop when requested to do so, leading to a police pursuit (cts 14-15). 	oroit	
5.	Beynon v The State of Western	32 yrs at time offending.33 yrs at time sentencing.	Ind 1237 Ct 1: Agg burg.	<u>Ind 1237</u> Ct 1: 12 mths imp (cum ct 2 Ind 2149).	Dismissed (leave refu
	Australia	Ind 1237	Ct 2: Stealing.	Ct 2: No punishment.	Appeal concerned to
	[2021] WASCA 153	Convicted after early PG (25% discount ct 1).	Ind 2149 Ct 1: Stealing. Ct 2: Agg burg.	Ind 2149Ct 1: 3 mths imp (conc).Ct 2: 16 mths imp (conc).	At [40] While the confeatures sometimes so weapons, direct confi

refused).

totality principle.

commission of each offence did not involve the agg as seen in offending of this kind, such as the use of onfrontations with the occupiers of the house, or the

	Delivered 31/08/2021	Ind 2149 Convicted after PG (20%	Ind 2149	TES 2 yrs 4 mths imp.	theft of more valuable features. Each offence
		discount). Criminal history; dishonesty offences; numerous outstanding	Shortly after midnight Beynon went to the victim's home. From a vehicle parked in the driveway he stole a number of items, including the remote	EFP. The sentencing judge found the two agg home	at home and asleep. T property. In respect of of entry and the many door from closing has
		charges in New Zealand.	control to the home's garage roller door.	burg offences 'particularly serious'.	the occupant of the he premediated and invo
		Raised in New Zealand; mother multiple male partners with whom he did not get along.	Using the stolen remote control Beynon gained access to the garage. Once inside he placed a trolley underneath the roller door to prevent it closing. He then stole	The sentencing judge accepted that in relation to the agg burg offences, no violence was used; there was no evidence the appellant was armed with any weapon and there was	getaway driver. At [44] The appellant the space of 10 days.
		Left school aged 15-16 yrs. Worked a number of roles; joined	a mountain bike valued at about \$1,000. He left with all the stolen items.	minimal damage to the properties. The sentencing judge found that some	properly reflect the to that the TES infringed merit and must fail.
		New Zealand army; 3 yrs active service, including East Timor.	In the meantime, the victim, awoken by her dog barking, noticed the security light on. She also saw her vehicle was	accumulation of the sentences was appropriate; the appellant engaged in two separate and distinct episodes of offending on	
		Mother and younger brother killed motor vehicle accident.	open. From inside the house she tried unsuccessfully to close the garage roller door. Afraid, she called her husband,	separate and distinct episodes of offending on different days and involving different victims.	
	0	Struggled following sudden loss of mother and brother; experienced anxiety, nightmares	who was overseas, and while on the telephone with him she investigated and discovered someone had broken into the	c P V	
		and flashbacks on return from East Time.	garage and stolen the bike.	NOY OF	
		Commenced using ecstasy and methyl aged 21 yrs; regular user of methyl; some periods of abstinence; increased use of alcohol when not using methyl.	About a week and a half later Beynon and a co-offender were driving a stolen motor vehicle searching for open garages from which to steal property. In the early hrs of the morning, they stopped at the victim's home. Beynon		
			entered the property through the garage door, while the co-offender waited in the vehicle as a lookout and getaway driver.		
			Inside the victim's premises Beynon stole a number of items, including a purse, bank card, cash, sunglasses and some jewellery.		
4.	The State of Western Australia	22 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg burg. Ct 2: Steal motor vehicle.	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum).	Allowed.
	v Quartermaine	Convicted after PG (25% discount).	Ct 3: Agg burg. Ct 4: AOBH.	Ct 3: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 6 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned ler totality principle.
	[2021] WASCA 145	Extensive criminal history;	Ct 5: Agg burg. Ct 6: Stealing.	Ct 5: 2 yrs imp (cum). Ct 6: No penalty.	Resentenced (25% di

Agg Burg (residential excluding home invasions) 20.12.24

able property, the offences were not without serious ence was committed at night when the occupant was b. The appellant then proceeded to steal valuable et of the offence [the subject of Ind 2149], the mode anner in which the appellant prevented the garage had a degree of ingenuity. It also instilled fear into e house. The offence [the subject of Ind 1237] was nvolved the use of a co-offender as a look-out and

ant committed two serious agg home burglaries in ys. Accumulation of the sentences was appropriate to total criminality of the offending. ... The allegation ged the first limb of the totality principle is without

length of individual sentences cts 1, 3 and 5 and

discount):

	previous terms of imp.			
Delivered		Quartermaine was drinking excessively	TES 3 yrs imp.	Ct 1: 12 mths imp (cum
16/08/2021	Difficult up-bringing; raised	at his mother's home and was ejected		Ct 2: 15 mths imp (cond
	family environment marred by	from the premises at around midnight.	EFP.	Ct 3: 4 yrs imp (cum).
	domestic violence; drug and	Upset and wanting a vehicle to get		Ct 4: 10 mths imp (cond
	alcohol abuse.	home he went to a house occupied by a	A 'repeat offender' as a result of offending	Ct 5: 3 yrs 6 mths imp
		couple who, along with their 2 yr old	subject of ct 5.	Ct 6: No penalty.
	Difficult education; changed	son, were asleep inside. He entered the		
	schools on a number of occasions;	house by removing the flyscreen on an	The sentencing judge found the offending	TES 5 yrs imp.
	left aged 13 yrs.	open window. Inside he stole the keys a	very serious.	
		BMW motor vehicle. He then went into		EFP.
	Relationship at time offending;	the garage and stole a bag containing	Remorseful; high risk of reoffending; alcohol	
	two children aged 5 yrs and a new	items valued at about \$400 from a	and drug abuse needs to be addressed.	At [78] In our opinion,
	born.	vehicle. Next, he stole the BMW. He		commensurate with the
		abandoned the vehicle after crashing it.		5 was not the least serie
	Substance abuse issues;			consequently, a sentence
	commenced drinking alcohol aged	Quartermaine was later identified by his		have been imposed
	14 yrs.	fingerprints and DNA. He admitted the		sentence was unreasona
		offences when interviewed by police	A*A ()	
		(cts 1 & 2).	6 Pulolic Y x	At [80] The sentence for
				the sentence that was op
		Several hrs later Quartermaine went to		discretion. Each sentend
		another home. The victims, a couple		
		and their 20 yr old daughter, were		At [83] In our opinion,
		asleep in the home at the time.		bear a proper relationsh
				his offences, viewed to
		Quartermaine entered the home by		unreasonable or plainly
		kicking open the front door. This woke	O ^Y	lower end of the availab
		the victims. The male victim got out of		
		bed and was confronted by		
		Quartermaine, who demanded his keys		
		and threatened to kill him. The victim		
		repeatedly told him to leave. A scuffle		
		ensued during which he punched the		
		victim to the face about three times. The		
		victim suffered soreness and a mark on		
		his cheek. Quartermaine then ran from		
		the house.		
		the house.		
		Quartermaine was captured on CCTV		
		footage and identified by one of the		
	C	victims on a Digiboard. He made no		
		admissions when interviewed by police		
		(cts 3 & 4).		
		(CIS 5 & 4).		
		Several wks later Quartermaine went to		
		another home in the early hrs of the		
		•		
		morning. The victim was asleep inside. After kicking open the front door to		
		01		
		gain entry he stole a set of car keys.		<u> </u>

cum). conc). 1). conc). np (conc).

on, the sentence for each of cts 3 and 5 was not the seriousness of the offence. The offending on ct erious type of agg home burglary and, ence in excess of the statutory min penalty should ... We are satisfied ... that the length of each onable or plainly unjust.

e for each of cts 3 and 5 was substantially less than s open to her Honour on a proper exercise of her tence was manifestly inadequate.

on, the TES imposed on the respondent did not nship to the overall criminality involved in all of together ... The TES imposed ... was nly unjust. It was not merely 'lenient' or 'at the ilable range'....

			Awoken by the noise the victim got out of bed and confronted him walking through the house. Quartermaine fled the premises.		
			Quartermaine was identified through a DNA match from blood recovered at the premises. When interviewed he		
3.	Nannup v The	18 yrs at time offending and	made no admissions (cts 5 & 6). Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp, conditionally susp 15 mths.	Appeal allowed.
5.	State of Western Australia	sentencing.	Ct 2: Stealing.	Ct 2: No penalty.	Appeal concerned lei
	Australia	Convicted after PG (20%	In the early hrs of the morning Nannup	The sentencing judge found the agg burg	Appear concerned ici
	[2021] WASCA 140	discount).	went to the victim's house. The victim and her three children, aged between 2	involved a serious invasion of the victim's home and her privacy and security; his	Resentenced to 14 m
	Delivered	Lengthy criminal history; subject of YCRO at time offending; 173	yrs and 6 yrs were asleep inside.	presence would have been 'absolutely terrifying'.	At [62] The offence of most serious agg bur
	18/05/2021	days spent in in custody on remand; first time in adult prison.	Nannup removed a flyscreen from a kitchen window, slid it open and	The sentencing judge found the appellant's	
		Offending not an isolated	entered the house.	mental and impairments and cognitive difficulties, particularly his FASD, reduced	At [64]-[65] Discussi Having regard to the
		incident; four mths leading up to this offending committed 27	Once inside Nannup looked for items to steal. He took various items, including a	his moral culpability and his time in custody on remand more onerous for him than a	imposed was with and could be characted
		summary offences.	wallet and a set of house and vehicle	person in normal health.	the appellant's risk o justified in this case,
		Very difficult background;	keys.	X	circumstances identif
		exposed to violence, substance abuse and offending behaviours in	The victim and her children were awoken by the noises coming from the	O^{\star}	appellant's FASD an early PG
		childhood; taken into care with twin brother aged 4 yrs; raised by	kitchen. On hearing one of the children crying Nannup fled the house via the		At [66] While we wo
		step-grandmother until aged 10	window.		susp imp was high, w
		yrs; then returned to live with his			time already spent in
		mother.	Nannup was identified following a		judge was not able to
		Diagnosed with Foetal Alcohol	forensic examination of the scene.		custody could only b to be imposed. In our
		Spectrum Disorder (FASD);			of the other mitigatin
		microcephaly; mild intellectual			imp shorter than the
		disability; ADHD; significant	0,		manifestly excessive.
		language impairment and bipolar			
		disorder.	a. C)		
		Commenced drinking alcohol			
2	NOL y The State of	aged 15 yrs; cannabis user.	Ct 1: A gg hurg	Ct 1: 2 vrs imp (2000)	Dismissed.
2.	NOI v The State of Western Australia	39 yrs at time offending and sentencing.	Ct 1: Agg burg. Cts 2 & 3: Criminal damage.	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: No penalty.	Disillissed.
			<u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u>	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned len
	[2021] WASCA 84	Convicted after early PG (25%	Charge subject of ct 2 the grounding		miscarriage of justice
	Delivered	discount).	offence for the agg home burglary	TES 2 yrs imp.	act of intimidation an
	Delivered 18/05/2021	Prior criminal history (convictions	charge.	EFP.	with domestic violen
L	10/03/2021	i noi eminiar mistory (convictions		1/11.	

length of sentence ct 1.

mths imp; conditionally susp 12 mths.

e committed by the appellant was, by no means, the urg. ... However, the offending was serious enough.

ssion of comparable cases. ...

hese cases, it might be thought that the sentence ithin the range of sentences customarily imposed acterised as lenient. However, despite the fact that c of reoffending is elevated, some leniency was se, having regard to the combination of mitigating ntified by the sentencing judge and, in particular, the and his other mental impairments, his youth and

would have concluded that the length of the term of , we would not have interfered with it, but for the in custody by the appellant. ... As the sentencing to backdate a conditionally susp imp order, time in be accounted for by reducing the length of the term our opinion, this factor, considered together with all ting factors, compelled the imposition of a term of he term actually imposed. ... the length of imp was ve. ...

length of sentence ct 1; type of sentence and ice (in finding offending a deliberate and intended and harboured feelings of entitlement consistent ence perpetrators).

1.	Drage v The State of Western Australia	 42 and 44 yrs at time offending. 45 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after early PG 	NOI then left the premises. He was arrested and charged the following day. Ct 1: Agg burg. Ct 2: Agg AOBH. The victim was Drage's de facto	Ct 1: 3 yrs 9 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 3 yrs 9 mths imp (cum). TES 7 yrs 6 mths imp.	Dismissed – on pape Appeal concerned to
		for breach of VROs protecting the victim the subject of current charges). Raised close and supportive family; family remain supportive and positive. 7 yr relationship with victim; separated 8 yrs; two children from union, aged 13 and 12 yrs; eldest child living with him at time offending. Completed yr 12. Stable full-time employment history; worked family's supermarket on leaving school; licenced real estate agent and ran family-owned real estate business; employed family's supermarket at time of sentencing. Financially stable; able to provide for and support his family. Good health; past methyl use; ceased using drugs in his mid-30s.	The victim, aged 35 yrs, was NOI's former de facto partner. The victim and NOI had flexible care arrangements with regard to the children, who would stay with either parent at any time. Prior to the offending a three-day police order protecting the victim had been issued and served on NOI. Shortly after the expiration of the order NOI attended the victim's residence. The victim saw NOI's truck arrive and ran to check the front door was locked. NOI walked up to the door and kicked it in, causing the lock to come away from the door completely. He then entered the victim's home. (ct 1). The victim and NOI's son ran into the backyard. Inside the house he knocked a television onto the floor, damaging the device so that it would no longer turn on (ct 2). He then went into the backyard and yelled out to the victim that a RO would not make any difference. He then shouted at his son, 'you better get back here right now, or you're going to cop it'. The victim called 000 on her mobile phone. As she was talking to an operator NOI snatched the phone out of hand and smashed it, breaking the phone's screen (ct 3).	The sentencing judge found the home burglary a serious offence; it was committed with the intent to intimidate and assert control over the victim and to instil fear in her. The sentencing judge found the offending serious; he used force to gain entry to the victim's home; smashed an expensive television; smashed the victim's phone, thus preventing her from seeking help and he made comments to her that a VRO was not going to make a difference. The sentencing judge considered the offending a form of domestic violence. Limited remorse; no significant insight into the conduct which caused his offending.	At [45] the senter offending as a form of appellant's former de [He] violently for she was present, by h after the expiry of a damaged her propert seeking help, while t would make no differ his former partner At [54] In the present offence was only 100 court referred to sent and 4 yrs 6 mths imm court for agg home b sentence falls below committed with an in Such burglaries are g which involves simp At [57] The seriousn aggravated by the fa- domestic relationship The fact that the offer complaint to police w by threats that a r particularly agg features of significance of person At [59] In our view, that the seriousness of light of the mitigatin susp or conditionally

encing judge was plainly correct to characterise the n of domestic violence. The victim was the de facto partner and the mother of his two children forced entry into the victim's home, when he knew y kicking in the front door. This occurred shortly a police order protecting the victim. [He] wilfully erty, including a mobile phone which was a means of e threatening that the victim obtaining a ... RO ference. He was clearly using violence to intimidate ...

ent case, the sentence ... imposed for the home burg 0% of the available max penalty. In *Serukai*, the entences in the range of 2 yrs 3 mths immediate imp neediate imp recently imposed or upheld by this e burg offences. The length of the appellant's w that range, in the case of a home invasion intention to intimidate the occupants of the house. e generally regarded as more serious than a burg nply an intention to steal.

sness of the appellant's offending in this case was fact that the appellant and the victim had been in a hip, and continued to share the care of their children. If ending was a response to the victim making a e which led to a police order, and was accompanied restraining order would make no difference, were atures of the offending. Combined with the cord of breaching VROs protecting the same victim, of the appellant's offending elevated the sonal deterrence as a sentencing consideration.

y, the sentencing judge was plainly correct to hold s of the appellant's offending, even considered in ing circumstances ..., was so serious as to make ly susp imp inappropriate sentencing options. ...

bers.

totality principle and length of sentence ct 2.

[2021] WASCA 6	(17.5% discount ct 1 and 20% discount ct 2).	partner, LM. Their relationship was marred with domestic violence.	EFP.	At [47] The offending offending was protracted
Delivered		maried with domestic violence.		time, was violent, result
12/01/2021	Long criminal history; terms of	Drage and LM had both been drinking	The sentencing judge found the offending 'a	degrading and humiliat
	imp; no convictions of violence	at home. Drage was verbally abusive	protracted and cowardly attack of quite	weapon and resulted in
	since 2004.	and struck LM. LM's 10-yr-old son	unbelievable savagery'; each attack,	offending occurred whi
		called the police who attended and	particularly the assault the subject of ct 2 was	of ct 1.
	Deprived background; regularly	served him with a police order,	prolonged, sustained and repeated; neither	
	assaulted by alcoholic stepfather;	requiring him to stay away from the	was a one-off aberration; ct 2 was towards the	At [61] the two offe
	left home aged 11 yrs; lived on	premises for 24 hrs.	higher end of the scale of offences giving rise	offending the subject o circumstances of the of
	the streets aged 14-16 yrs.	The same night Drage returned to the	to bodily harm; the victim was 'especially vulnerable' – a vulnerability that arose from	circumstances of the of
	Sporadic employment history;	premises and entered the home by	being in a family and domestic relationship	At [62] The agg hor
	never worked more than 10 mths	breaking a glass door. He went to the	with the appellant.	type. It involved a viole
	at a time.	bedroom in which LM and her son were		in the presence of LM'
		located. They braced themselves against	The sentencing judge found accumulation of	earlier, [he] had been is
	Prior 12 yr relationship; marred	the door to prevent him from entering	both sentences was required to mark the	demonstrated disregard
	by domestic violence and	the room, but he overpowered them. He	obvious escalation in the offending and	despite recent intervent
	substance abuse; four children.	then dragged LM out of the room,	disregard for the law.	that night
		pushed her to the ground and kicked her		
	Cannabis use from aged 12 yrs;	several times. He verbally abused her 10-yr-old son.	No remorse or insight into his offending.	
	methyl use from 16 yrs; history of excessive alcohol use;	10-y1-01d soll.		
	exacerbated substance abuse	LM sustained bruising, lacerations and		
	following death of his teenage son	a bloody nose.		
	in 2018.			
		Drage evaded police and was not		
	History of mental health	arrested until some 16 mths later. After	O T	
	problems; prescribed medication	some mths remanded in custody he was	¥	
	for depression.	granted bail, with a condition that he		
		not behave in an intimidatory, offensive		
		or emotionally abusive manner towards LM.		
		Nine days after Drage's release to bail		
		he attacked LM on and off over a two-		
		day period. He punched and kicked her		
		causing bruising and soft tissue injuries.		
		He also ripped out her hair and made		
		her walk around like a dog and		
		punctured her thigh with a small knife.		
		Police attended the premises to conduct		
		a welfare check on LM. Drage was		
		abusive and aggressive towards the		
		officers and told them LM was not at		
		home. The officers heard LM scream		
		and cry for help and located her hiding		
		under a bed, her face swollen and		
		covered in blood.		

ng the subject of ct 2 was very serious. First, the acted and sustained over a considerable period of esulted in serious injuries and was particularly liating of LM. Second, the offending involved a d in an open wound to LM's person. Third, the whilst [he] was on bail for the offending the subject

offences were quite separate in time. ... the et of ct 2 occurred more than 21 mths later ... The e offences did not overlap. ...

home burg offence was a serious offence of its iolent assault on the appellant's de factor partner, M's 10-yr-old son when, less than half an hr n issued with a 24-hr police order. The offending gard for the law and a preparedness to offend vention of the police to defuse an earlier altercation

	Drage fled from the scene but was later	
	apprehended.	

office of the Director of Public Prosecutions