

Non Temperature Dependant Loads Working Group

Minutes of Meeting No. 2

Location:	IMO Level 22, The Forest Centre 221 St Georges Terrace
Date:	Thursday 15 November 2007
Time:	Commencing at 9am

Attendees		
David Lyne	Newmont	
Dora Guzeleva	IMO	
Geoff Gaston	Perth Energy	
Kristian Myhre	Alinta	
Magnus Stensson	IMO	Minutes
Patrick Peak	IMO	
Paul Key	Premier Power	
Sarah Kok	Synergy	
Shane Cremin	Griffin Power	
Steve Gould	Landfill Gas	

Item	Subject	Action
------	---------	--------

1. IMO's Draft paper

The IMO had provided a draft paper, outlining the proposed changes to the Market Rules in regard to the determination of NTDLs. This paper was derived from the conclusions made by the group at its previous meeting.

The group endorsed the drafting. The group concluded that the drafted amendments successfully address the deficiencies in the rules in regard to NTDLs.

The group discussed which % values for downward deviation and frequency of deviation to use in the amended rules. It was considered important that the rules provide for an adequate amount of loads to qualify as NTDLs, while on the other hand not causing unfair additional costs to the group of TDL loads. If only 2-3 loads qualify as NTDLs, the group questioned the merit of having an NTDL definition in the rules.

Item	Subject	Action
------	---------	--------

The IMO presented analysis showing that, under the current rules, a change of % levels to 20/20 would mean an additional cost of 0.7% to the total IRCRs for TDLs.

The group requested analysis to be conducted on the proposed new rules to see the number of loads that would qualify using different % values for deviation and frequency.

The group also requested to see the correlation between individual load variations and temperature. However, as the temperature varies over the SWIS, it was agreed that the system demand would be more consistent to use as the measurement. The assumption from the group was that loads qualifying as NTDLs would have a lower correlation with the overall system demand than a random sample of Temperature Dependant loads.

2. Action Points

The IMO will perform the analysis requested by the group, using the proposed qualification method for NTDLs (step 1 in the proposed Appendix 5A) and present the results at the next meeting.

IMO

The IMO will also present a comparison between the correlation of loads determined as NTDLs and a random sample of TDL loads.

3. Closed/Next Meeting

The meeting closed at 10.15 am.

The next meeting will be held 28 November 2007