

Independent Market Operator Rules Development Implementation Working Group

Minutes

Meeting No.	13
Location:	IMO Board Room Level 3, Governor Stirling Building, 197 St Georges Terrace, Perth
Date:	Tuesday 31 May 2011
Time:	Commencing at 9.35am to 11.50pm

Attendees	
Allan Dawson	IMO (Chair)
Douglas Birnie	IMO
John Rhodes	Market Customer
Corey Dykstra	Market Customer
Steve Gould	Market Customer
Geoff Gaston	Market Customer
Andrew Everett	Market Generator
Andrew Stevens	Market Generator
Andrew Sutherland	Market Generator
Phil Kelloway	System Management
Wana Yang	ERA
Paul Hynch	Office of Energy
Alasdair Macdonald	Minutes
Greg Ruthven	Observer
Ben Williams	Presenter
Mike Thomas (The Lantau Group)	Presenter

Item	Subject	Action
	<p>WELCOME AND APOLOGIES / ATTENDANCE</p> <p>The Chair opened the 11th meeting of the Rules Development Implementation Working Group (RDIWG) at 9.35am.</p> <p>Apologies were noted from Cameron Parrotte (System Management).</p>	
1.	<p>PREVIOUS MEETING'S MINUTES</p> <p>The minutes of RDIWG Meeting No. 11, held on 5 April 2011, were circulated prior to the meeting. The following amendments were agreed:</p>	

Item	Subject	Action
	<p>Mr Mike Thomas (Lantau Group) provided the group with a presentation linking the reserve capacity refunds with the broader Reserve Capacity Review and explained the rationale behind their recommendation that the refund changes be considered as part of the Reserve Capacity Review – with any changes rolled out as a package.</p> <p>The Chair advised the group that the IMO Board were particularly conscious of the significance of the issues with reserve capacity mechanism and the Board had requested a workshop for it to focus on and consider the options for changing the reserve capacity mechanism and that the proposed refund changes be incorporated into this.</p> <p>The RDIWG accepted the advice from the IMO/Lantau group regarding wrapping the refund solutions into the Reserve Capacity Review itself with one exception. The group requested that the removal of the refund Net STEM Shortfall refund obligation proceed with the other MEP changes. The IMO agreed to recommend this to the Board.</p> <p>A general discussion then ensued between group members regarding the outage planning process and associated transparency issues. RDIWG discussed outage planning approvals and associated transparency issues and the IMO agreed to hold an informal discussion on the issues and options surrounding this after the next RDIWG on 21 June.</p> <p>Following the decision to incorporate consideration of a revised capacity refund mechanism into the broader RCM review, a member suggested that reinstatement of System Management's discretion on outage classification be considered. The Chair indicated that the IMO is supportive of the initiative provided that transparency is enhanced. It was agreed that a meeting would be convened of interested generators, System Management and the Chair to discuss the matter.</p>	<p>IMO</p> <p>IMO</p>
5.	<p>GENERAL BUSINESS</p> <p>There was no general business raised.</p>	
6.	<p>OUTSTANDING ACTION POINTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Action item 89: The model will be made available for the Working group in early June. • Action Item 83: This has been completed. • Action Item 90: This has been completed. <p>The IMO agreed to review the Action list to rationalise it in light of where the work of the RDIWG was now at.</p>	IMO
7.	<p>NEXT MEETING</p> <p>Meeting No. 14 will be held on Tuesday 21 June 2011 (9.30am-2.00pm).</p>	
8.	<p>CLOSED: The Chair thanked members and declared the meeting closed at 11.50pm.</p>	

